LATSTAT.F 9 AUG 91 August 15, 1991

title page LATINO DATA BOOK: VOLUME 1

	Latino Institute	
	LOGO	
	LATINO DATA BOOK:	
	VOLUME 1	
ă: Î	The Latino Institute is a not-for-profit organization through research, training and advocacy.	on which promotes Hispanic progress

Authored and Prepared by: Sylvia Puente and Michael Norkewicz, Latino Institute, Division of Research and Documentation

Published by the Latino Institute: Chicago, Illinois September 1991, Migdalia (Millie) Rivera, Executive Director

This report was made possible through a grant received from the Ford Foundation.

The Latino Institute is member of the United Way of Chicago

For additional copies of this report, contact:

Latino Institute 228 So. Wabash, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 663-3603

Copyright, c September 1991, Latino Institute

TABLE OF CONTENTS

L	ist of Maps		i
L	ist of Figur	es	ii
L	ist of Table	2\$	iy
N	otice		vi
I.	INTROD	DUCTION	1
	Overviev	w	
	How Th	is Volume is Organized	
		Data	
		ledgments	
п.	UNITED	STATES POPULATION DATA	5
		221	
	A. U.5	S. Growth	5
	B. U.S	S. Population	5
		p Ten States by Hispanic Population	
		p Ten States by Percent Hispanic	
	E. To	p Ten States by Hispanic Growth	6
	F. To	p Ten U.S. Places by Hispanic Population and Their Growth	6
	G. Toj	p Ten U.S. Places by Percent Hispanic	7
ш.	ILLINOIS	S POPULATION DATA	29
	A. Illin	nois Growth	29
	B. Illin	nois Population	29
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
IV.	CHICAGO	O METROPOLITAN AREA AND SUBURBAN POPULATION DATA.	39
40.77	A. Chi	icago Metropolitan Area	39
	1.	Chicago Metropolitan Area Growth	39
	2.	Chicago Metropolitan Area Population	39
	B. Chi	icago Suburbs	40
	1.	Top Ten Suburbs by Hispanic Population and Their Growth	40
		Top Ten Suburbs by Percent Hispanic	

V.	CH	ICAGO AND COMMUNITY AREA POPULATION AND HOUSING DATA	51
	A.	Chicago Summary	51
		1. Chicago Growth	51
		2. Chicago Population	51
		Chicago Population by Age	52
	B.	Community Areas	52
		Community Area Population Gains/Losses	52
		2. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population	53
		3. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population - Youth	
		4. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population - Adults	55
		5. Top Ten Community Areas by Percent Hispanic	55
		6. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth	55
		7. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth - Youth	57
		8. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth - Adults	57
		9. Proportion of Hispanic Youth and Adult Population in Top Ten	
		Hispanic Community Areas	57
		 Housing Unit Change in Ten Largest Hispanic Community Areas 	59
	C.	Chicago and Community Area Hispanic Population by Type of Origin	60
		1. Chicago Hispanic Population Growth by Type of Origin	60
		Percent of Chicago Hispanic Population by Type of Origin	60
		Community Areas by Type of Hispanic Origin	60
LDDD	work .		
APPE	NDIX .	l - Glossary of Terms	117
APPE	NDIX 2	2 - Methodology	121
ADDE	NDIX 1	3 - Acknowledgments	122

LIST OF MAPS

Ħ.		
13	м	 1

1	Population of Hispanic origin for Chicago by community area: 199054
2	Percent of total population Hispanic for Chicago by community area: 199056
3	Hispanic population growth for Chicago by community area: 1980 to 199058

LIST OF FIGURES

100				
16.7	D.	17	*	0
1.1	ĸ.	ш	т,	C

1	Percent growth of US, Hispanic and non-Hispanic population: 1980 to 19909
2	1990 US population by Hispanic, non-Hispanic
3	Ten states with the largest Hispanic population: 1990
4	Ten states with the highest percentage of Hispanics: 1990
5	Ten states with the largest Hispanic growth: 1980 to 1990
6	Ten US places with the largest Hispanic population: 1990
7	Ten US places with the highest percentage of Hispanics: 199015
8	Illinois population change, Hispanic and non-Hispanic: 1980 to 199030
9	Percent growth of Illinois Hispanic population by area: 1980 to 199031
10	1990 Illinois population by Hispanic, non-Hispanic
11	1990 Illinois Hispanic population by area
12	Percent growth of Chicago metropolitan Hispanic population by area; 1980 to 1990
13	1990 Hispanic population in the Chicago metropolitan area
14	Percent of population which is Hispanic for metropolitan Chicago by area: 199043
15	Ten Chicago suburbs with the largest Hispanic population: 199044
16	Ten Chicago suburbs with the highest percentage of Hispanics: 199045
17	Percent change of Chicago Hispanic and non-Hispanic population: 1980 to 199063
18	Chicago population change, Hispanic and non-Hispanic: 1980 to 1990
19	Chicago population change by Hispanic origin and race: 1980 to 199065
20	1990 Chicago population by origin and race
21	Percent of Chicago youth and adult population by Hispanic origin and race: 1990

22	Youth and adult population for Chicago by Hispanic origin and race: 199068
23	Hispanic and non-Hispanic growth in thirteen community areas with the most growth: 1980 to 1990
24	Ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population: 199070
25	Ten community areas with the largest number of Hispanic youth: 199071
26	Ten community areas with the largest number of Hispanic adults: 199072
27	Ten community areas with the highest percentage of Hispanics: 199073
28	Ten community areas with the largest Hispanic growth: 1980 to 199074
29	Ten community areas with the largest growth in the Hispanic youth population: 1980to 1990
30	Ten community areas with the largest growth in the Hispanic adult population: 1980to 199076
31	Percent youth and adult population in the ten largest Hispanic community areas: 1990
32	Housing units lost in the ten largest Hispanic community areas: 1980 to 199078
22	Population of Hispanic origin by type of origin for Chicago: 1980 and 1990

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1	Percent population change by Hispanic origin for the United States by state: 1980 to 1990	1
2	Population change by Hispanic origin for the United States by state: 1980 to	18
3	Total population, population by Hispanic origin, and percent of population by Hispanic origin for the United States by state: 1990	20
4	Top ten states ranked by Hispanic population and percent Hispanic: 1990, and Hispanic growth: 1980 to 1990.	22
5	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, and Hispanic change: 1980 to 1990 for the United States by state	24
6	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, and Hispanic change 1980 to 1990 for places with 100,000 or more Hispanics ranked by population of Hispanic origin: 1990	26
7	Total population, population by Hispanic origin, and percent of population by Hispanic origin for places with 100,000 or more Hispanics ranked by percent Hispanic: 1990	.27
8	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, Hispanic change: 1980 to 1990, and percent distribution for the State of Illinois by geographic area: 1990	34
9	Population change by Hispanic origin for the State of Illinois by geographic area: 1980 to 1990	35
10	Total population, population by Hispanic origin, and percent of population by Hispanic origin for the State of Illinois by geographic area: 1990	36
11.	Population not of Spanish origin: 1980 and not of Hispanic origin: 1990, and non-Hispanic change 1980 to 1990 for the State of Illinois by geographic area	37
12	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, Hispanic change 1980 to 1990, and percent distribution for the Chicago metropolitan area by geographic area: 1990	16

13	Total population, population by Hispanic origin, and percent of population by Hispanic origin for the Chicago metropolitan area by geographic area: 199047
14	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, and Hispanic change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago suburbs ranked by population of Hispanic origin: 1990
15	Total population, population by Hispanic origin, and percent of population by Hispanic origin for Chicago suburbs ranked by percent Hispanic: 199049
16	Total population and change by Hispanic origin and race for Chicago: 1980 and 199080
17	Total population and percent distribution by Hispanic origin and race for Chicago: 1990
18	Total population by Hispanic origin and race and by age, and percent distribution for Chicago: 1990
19	Total population by Hispanic origin and race and by age, and percent distribution for Chicago; 1990
20	Population change by Hispanic origin for Chicago by community area: 1980 to
21	Total population by Hispanic origin and by race for Chicago by community area:
22	Population by Hispanic origin by age for Chicago by community area: 199088
23	Percent distribution by Hispanic origin and by race for Chicago by community area:
24	Top ten Chicago community areas ranked by Hispanic population and percent Hispanic: 1990, and Hispanic growth: 1980 and 199093
25	Population of Spanish origin: 1980 and Hispanic origin: 1990, and Hispanic change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area94
26	Spanish origin youth: 1980 and Hispanic origin youth: 1990, and Hispanic youth change: 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
27	Spanish origin adults: 1980 and Hispanic origin adults: 1990, and Hispanic adults change: 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area98

28	Chicago community areas ranked by the number of Hispanics by age: 1990, percent of total population Hispanic: 1990, Hispanic change by age 1980 to 1990100
29	Total housing units: 1980 and 1990, and total housing unit change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
30	Hispanic population by type of origin for Chicago by community area: 1990104
31	Hispanic population of Mexican origin: 1980 and 1990, and Mexican origin change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
32	Hispanic population of Puerto Rican origin: 1980 and 1990, and Puerto Rican origin change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
33	Hispanic population of Cuban origin: 1980 and 1990, and Cuban origin change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
34	Hispanic population of Other origin: 1980 and 1990, and Other Hispanic origin change 1980 to 1990 for Chicago by community area
35	Hispanic population by type of origin for ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population: 1990
36	Percent distribution of the Hispanic population by type of origin for ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population: 1990

NOTICE

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has used three concepts for processing data for the Latino population since 1970. Data were published for persons of Spanish language in 1970, persons of Spanish origin in 1980, and persons of Hispanic origin in 1990.

Although these three terms are not preferred by the Latino Institute, they will appear in Institute publications to be consistent with the data collection methods of the U.S. Bureau of the Census. One implication of this categorization of Hispanics is that individuals whohave identified themselves as Latino may not necessarily have been assigned to one of three aforementioned categories by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Similarly, the terms Black, American Indian, and Eskimo, will appear in Institute publications in order to be consistent with the data collection methods of the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

I. INTRODUCTION

I. INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

The <u>Latino Data Book: Volume 1</u> presents 1980 and 1990 demographic information on Hispanics. General data on the Hispanic population are provided for the United States, with increasing specificity for Illinois, Chicago, and Chicago community areas. While some analysis of the data is provided, this document is intended to serve as a reference document providing demographic data. As such, the users can make use of figures and tables to obtain the information they seek and perform additional analysis.

This is the first in a series of data books that the Latino Institute will publish detailing 1980 and 1990 census information on Hispanics over the next year. Its intent is to make census data easily accessible to Latino community based organizations (CBOs), and to provide a base for documenting the demographics of our dynamic community. In today's philanthropic climate it is critical that CBOs have easy access to data in order to attract the limited pool of available dollars with which to meet the challenges of Chicago's growing Latino community. It is hoped that the Latino Data Book: Volume 1 will also serve many other purposes, among them program planning and advocacy, all leading to an equitable share of resources for Chicago's Latino community.

Subsequent volumes of the <u>Latino Data Book</u> will contain census data for Chicago on Hispanics in the areas of age, household and income status, nativity, education, labor force and occupational status, and housing.

HOW THIS VOLUME IS ORGANIZED

The Latino Data Book: Volume 1, is divided into five major sections: the Introduction, United States population data, Illinois population data, Chicago metropolitan area and suburban population data, and Chicago population data. Within each of these sections, the user will find information on Hispanic population growth between 1980 and 1990 (Hispanic growth), the number of Hispanics counted by the Census in 1990 living in each of these areas (Hispanic population), and the geographic distribution of the Hispanic population (e.g., top ten Hispanic states, suburbs, etc.).

Further, comparative information is provided throughout the volume for 1980 and 1990, and for the Hispanic and non-Hispanic population. Also, in some cases data are provided for the White non-Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic, and "Other" non-Hispanic population.

Since the majority (60%) of the Illinois Hispanic population lives in Chicago, a great deal more information is provided in the Chicago population section. It details the number of Hispanics, including the number of youth and adults, community area population gains and losses, housing unit losses, and the number of Hispanics by their ethnicity. In almost all cases this information is provided for the city as a whole and for each of the seventy-seven Chicago community areas.

Each of the five sections contains a brief narrative, followed by corresponding figures and tables. The Chicago community area section contains three maps, which depict the Hispanic population, its concentration, and growth by community area.

This document concludes with three appendices containing census concepts and definitions (see Appendix 1), data sources (see Appendix 2), and a list of CBOs which assisted in

the development of this volume (see Appendix 3).

CENSUS DATA

The sources for the data in this volume were 1980 and 1990 census computer tapes - Public Law 94-171 counts and Summary Tape File 1A (see Appendix 2 for further information). The Latino Institute is aware that many Hispanics were not counted by the Bureau of the Census. In fact, the Census Bureau calculated the national undercount of Hispanics in the 1990 census at 5.2%.

On July 15, 1991, U.S. Secretary of Commerce Mosbacher, while acknowledging an undercount, declined to adjust the results of the 1990 census. He stated that the evidence for adjustment was "inconclusive and unconvincing."

Nonetheless, we believe that the data provided in this document clearly indicate the dramatic growth that has occurred in the Hispanic population between 1980 and 1990. To the extent that the Hispanic population is undercounted, the trends identified in this document are even more compelling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Latino Institute would like to thank the staff of numerous community based organizations (see Appendix 3) who provided input into the design of this document by taking the time to complete the Latino Data Book Survey, and/or attending a workshop to provide input into its content and design. This input was particularly valuable to Sylvia Puente and Michael Norkewicz, of the Institute's Division of Research and Documentation, who authored the Latino Data Book: Volume 1. In addition, the technical assistance of Filemon Cerda, the support services of Dromrac Wood, and the editorial assistance of the managers of the Latino Institute are appreciated.

The Latino Institute assumes responsibility for any data errors or inconsistencies in the Latino Data Book, Volume 1. Please forward any such findings to the Latino Institute for correction in future editions of this publication at the following address:

The Latino Institute

<u>Latino Data Book</u>

228 So. Wabash, Suite 600

Chicago, Illinois 60604

II. UNITED STATES POPULATION DATA

II. UNITED STATES POPULATION DATA

A. U.S. GROWTH

In the decade between 1980 and 1990, the Hispanic population of the United States grew from 14,608,673 to 22,354,059. This growth rate of 53% is staggering when contrasted to that of the non-Hispanic population of 6.8%. In fact, overall Hispanic growth is a major contributing factor to the total U.S. growth of 9.8 %. (See Figure 1; see also Table 1, data for all fifty states.)

This phenomenal growth corresponds to an increase of 7.7 million Hispanics during this ten year period, while non-Hispanic growth was 14.4 million. (See Table 2, data for the U.S. and all fifty states.)

B. U.S. POPULATION

The 1990 population of the United States is 248.7 million. Of this, Hispanics represent 22.3 million, or 9% of the total U.S. population. (See Figure 2; see also Table 3, data for all fifty states.)

C. TOP TEN STATES BY HISPANIC POPULATION

California leads the way as the state with the largest Hispanic population at 7,687,938. The state with the second largest Hispanic population is Texas with 4,339,905 Hispanics; New York follows with 2,214,026 Hispanics; then Florida with 1,574,143 Hispanics. Illinois has the fifth largest Hispanic population in the U.S. at 904,446; New Jersey follows with 739,861; Arizona with 688,338; New Mexico with 579,224; Colorado with 424,302; and Massachusetts ranks tenth with 287,549 Hispanics. (See Figure 3; see also Table 3, data for all fifty states.)

Together these ten states contain 87% of the U.S. Hispanic population and 44.8% of the total U.S. population. The top five are home to 74.8% of all U.S. Hispanics, and 35.8% of the total U.S. population. These five and their proportionate share of the total U.S. Hispanic population are: California with 34.4%, Texas with 19.4%, New York with 9.9%, Florida with 7%, and Illinois with 4% of U.S. Hispanics. (Data not shown.)

While these are the five most populous Hispanic states, they also rank among the top six in total population: California ranks first containing more individuals than any other state; New York ranks second; Texas ranks third; Florida ranks fourth; and Illinois ranks sixth. (Rank not shown, see Table 3, population data for all fifty states.)

D. TOP TEN STATES BY PERCENT HISPANIC

Of the ten states with the largest Hispanic population in 1990, nine are included among the ten states with the highest percentage of Hispanics. New Mexico ranks first with 38.2% of its total population identifying themselves as Hispanic, followed by California at 25.8%, Texas at 25.5%, Arizona at 18.8%, Colorado at 12.9%, New York at 12.3%, Florida at 12.2%, Nevada at 10.4%, and New Jersey at 9.6%. Illinois' population is the tenth most concentrated with one in twelve Illinoisans or 7.9%, identifying themselves as Hispanic. (See Figure 4; see also Table 3, data for all fifty states.)

Of the ten states with the highest percentage of Hispanics, only Nevada does not rank among the states containing the ten largest Hispanic populations. And of the ten states with the largest Hispanic population, only Massachusetts does not rank among the ten states with the highest percentage of Hispanics. (See Table 4.)

E. TOP TEN STATES BY HISPANIC GROWTH

Of the ten states with the greatest Hispanic growth between 1980 and 1990, most are also found among the ten states with the largest Hispanic population, and the highest percentage of Hispanics in 1990. (See Table 4.)

The ten states which increased their Hispanic population the most are: California with an increase of 3,143,607; Texas, with an increase of 1,354,081; Florida with an increase of 715,985; New York with an increase of 554,726; and Illinois with the fifth largest gain, an increase of 268,844. New Jersey and Arizona follow, with population increases of 247,978 and 247,637 respectively; the Massachusetts increase was 146,506; New Mexico's 102,002; and Washington's 94,554. (See Figure 5; see also Table 5, data for all fifty states.)

F. TOP TEN U.S. PLACES1 BY HISPANIC POPULATION AND THEIR GROWTH

Among the twenty-three U.S. places with at least 100,000 Hispanics in 1990, New York City is home to the largest Hispanic population at 1,783,511. The city with the second largest Hispanic population is Los Angeles with 1,391,411 Hispanics. Chicago ranks third with 545,852 Hispanics; San Antonio follows with 520,282; and Houston with 450,483. El Paso ranks sixth with 355,669 Hispanics; followed by San Diego with 229,519; Miami with 223,964 Hispanics; Dallas with 210,240; and San Jose ranks tenth with 208,388 Hispanics. (See Figure 6; see also Table 6, data for all places with 100,000 or more Hispanics.)

¹All places are U.S. cities except for unincorporated East Los Angeles.

The three cities containing the largest Hispanic population - New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago - are also the three largest U.S. cities. (Data not shown.)

Together these ten places contain 26.5% or 5,919,319 of the 22,354,059 Hispanics living in the United States. The Hispanic population in these places increased by at least 20% in the decade between 1980 and 1990, and four - Los Angeles (70.5%), Houston (60.1%), San Diego (75.7%), and Dallas (89.3%)- had growth rates in excess of the national Hispanic growth rate of 53%. The only exception to this was Miami whose Hispanic population increased by 15.4%. (See Table 6, data for all places with 100,000 or more Hispanics.)

G. TOP TEN U.S. PLACES BY PERCENT HISPANIC


Of the twenty-three places with 100,000 or more Hispanics in 1990, 94.7% of the population of East Los Angeles is Hispanic, making it the place with the highest Hispanic concentration. This is followed by Laredo at 93.9%, Hialeah at 87.6%, El Paso at 69%, Santa Ana at 65.2%, and Miami at 62.5%. San Antonio ranks seventh in Hispanic concentration at 55.6%, followed by Corpus Christi at 50.4%, Los Angeles at 39.9%, and Albuquerque ranking tenth at 34.5%. (See Figure 7; see also Table 7, data for all places with 100,000 or more Hispanics.)

While Chicago has the third largest Hispanic population, it ranks twenty-second in Hispanic concentration at 19.6%.

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 1 to 7 are not discussed.

This page intentionally left blank.


J.S., HISPANIC AND NON-HISPANIC FIGURE 1: PERCENT GROWTH OF POPULATION: 1980 TO 1990



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 2: 1990 U.S. POPULATION BY HISPANIC, NON-HISPANIC (U.S. TOTAL = 248,709,873)




FIGURES ARE IN THOUSANDS

SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 3: TEN STATES WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC POPULATION: 1990

STATE




HISPANIC POPULATION

SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 4: TEN STATES WITH THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE OF HISPANICS: 1990


STATE



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC GROWTH: 1980 TO 1990 FIGURE 5: TEN STATES


STATE



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

HISPANIC POPULATION: 1990 FIGURE 6: TEN U.S. PLACES WITH THE LARGEST

PLACE




SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

HIGHEST PERCENTAGE FIGURE 7: TEN U.S. PLACES OF HISPANICS: 1990 WITH THE

PLACE



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.I. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

TABLE 1
PERCENT POPULATION CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE UNITED STATES BY STATE: 1980 TO 1990

Percent Population Change 1980 to 1990

	MENDER.	Non-	2497 W
	Total	Hispanic	Hispanic
State			
ESS 2001 NO LESS ST			
United States	9,8	6.8	53.0
Alabama	3.8	4.0	-26.0
Alaska	36.9	35.7	87.3
Arizona	34.8	30.7	56.2
Arkansas	2.8	2.7	11.0
California	25.7	15.4	69.2
Colorado	14.0	12.5	24.9
Connecticut	5.8	3.0	71.2
Delaware	12.1	11.2	63.8
D.C	-4.9	-7.5	85.0
Florida	32.7	27.9	83.4
Georgia	18.6	17.9	77.8
Hawaii	14.9	14.9	14.2
Idaho	6.7	5.1	44.6
Illinois	. 0	-2.5	42.3
Indiana	1.0	. 8	13.5
Iowa	-4.7	-5.0	27.8
Kansas	4.8	3.6	47.9
Kentucky	. 7	. 8	-19.8
Louisiana	.3	. 5	-6.1
Maine	9.2	9.1	36.4
Maryland	13.4	12.1	93.2
Massachusetts	4.9	2.4	103.9
Michigan	. 4	1	24.1
Minnesota	7.3	6.9	67.7
Mississippi	2.1	2.5	-35.6
Missouri	4.1	3.9	19.5
Montana	1.6	1.3	22.1
Nebraska	. 5	. 0	31.9
Nevada	50.1	44.3	130.9
New Hampshire	20.5	20.0	102.8
New Jersey	5.0	1.7	50.4
New Mexico	16.3	13.3	21.4
New York	2.5	8	33.4
North Carolina	12.7	12.5	35.4
North Dakota	-2.1	-2.3	19.6

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Percent Population Change 1980 to 1990

	Total	Ħ	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic
State				
Ohio	.5		.3	16.5
Oklahoma	4.0		3.1	50.1
Oregon	7.9		6.3	71.2
Pennsylvania	.1		5	50.9
Rhode Island	5.9		3.3	132.2
South Carolina	11.7		11.9	-8.6
South Dakota	. 8		. 6	30.5
Tennessee	6.2		6.3	-3.9
Texas	19.4		12.5	45.4
Utah	17.9		17.0	40.3
Vermont	10.0		10.0	10.8
Virginia	15.7		14.4	100.7
Washington	17.8		16.0	78.8
West Virginia	-8.0		-7.8	-33.2
Wisconsin	4.0		3.4	48.0
Wyoming	-3.4		-3.9	5.1

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 2
POPULATION CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE UNITED STATES BY STATE: 1980 TO 1990

	Numb	er Change 1980 t	0 1990
	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic
State			
United States	. 22,164,068	14,418,682	7,745,386
Alabama	146,699	155,369	-8,670
Alaska	148,192	139,896	8,296
Arizona	947,013	699,376	247,637
Arkansas	64,290	62,318	1,972
California	6,092,119	2,948,512	3,143,607
Colorado	404,430	319,845	84,585
Connecticut	179,540	90,923	88,617
Delaware	71,830	65,671	6,159
D.C	-31,433	-46,464	15,031
Florida	3,191,602	2,475,617	715,985
Georgia	1,015,111	967,449	47,662
Hawaii	143,538	133,411	10,127
Idaho	62,814	46,502	16,312
Illinois	4,084	-264,760	268,844
Indiana	53,935	42,194	11,741
Iowa	-137,053	-144,164	7,111
Kansas	113,895	83,564	30,331
Kentucky	24,519	29,941	-5,422
Louisiana	14,073	20,163	-6,090
Maine	103,268	101,444	1,824
Maryland	564,493	504,137	60,356
Massachusetts	279,388	132,882	146,506
Michigan	33,219	-5,937	39,156
Minnesota	299,129	277,368	21,761
Mississippi	52,578	61,378	-8,800
Missouri	200,387	190,338	10,049
Montana	12,375	10,175	2,200
Nebraska	8,560	-384	8,944
Nevada	401,340	330,800	70,540
New Hampshire	188,642	182,896	5,746
New Jersey	365,365	117,387	247,978
New Mexico	212,175	110,173	102,002
New York	432,383	-122,343	554,726
North Carolina	746,871	726,812	20,059
North Dakota	-13,917	-14,680	763

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Number Change 1980 to 1990

	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic
State			
Ohio	49,485	29,672	19,813
Oklahoma	120,295	91,554	28,741
Oregon	209,216	162,356	46,860
Pennsylvania	17,748	-60,553	78,301
Rhode Island	56,310	30,265	26,045
South Carolina	364,883	367,758	-2,875
South Dakota	5,236	4,007	1,229
Tennessee	286,065	287,401	-1,336
Texas	2,757,319	1,403,238	1,354,081
Utah	261,813	237,518	24,295
Vermont	51,302	50,945	357
Virginia	840,540	760,120	80,420
Washington	734,536	639,982	94,554
West Virginia	-156,167	-151,949	-4,218
Wisconsin	186,002	155,780	30,222
Wyoming	-15,969	-17,221	1,252

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 3
TOTAL POPULATION, POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN, AND
PERCENT OF POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE
UNITED STATES BY STATE: 1990

				% of	Total
	Total			Non-	
	Population	Non-Hispanic	_Hispanic	Hisp.	Hisp.
State					
United States.	248,709,873	226,355,814	22,354,059	91.0	9.0
Alabama	4,040,587	4,015,958	24,629	99.4	. 6
Alaska	550,043	532,240	17,803	96.8	3.2
Arizona	3,665,228	2,976,890	688,338	81.2	18.8
Arkansas	2,350,725	2,330,849	19,876	99.2	.8
California	29,760,021	22,072,083	7,687,938	74.2	25.8
Colorado	3,294,394	2,870,092	424,302	87.1	12.9
Connecticut	3,287,116	3,074,000	213,116	93.5	6.5
Delaware	666,168	650,348	15,820	97.6	2.4
D.C	606,900	574,190	32,710	94.6	5.4
Florida	12,937,926	11,363,783	1,574,143	87.8	12.2
Georgia	6,478,216	6,369,294	108,922	98.3	1.7
Hawaii	1,108,229	1,026,839	81,390	92.7	7.3
Idaho	1,006,749	953,822	52,927	94.7	5.3
Illinois	11,430,602	10,526,156	904,446	92.1	7.9
Indiana	5,544,159	5,445,371	98,788	98.2	1.8
Iowa	2,776,755	2,744,108	32,647	98.8	1.2
Kansas	2,477,574	2,383,904	93,670	96.2	3.8
Kentucky	3,685,296	3,663,312	21,984	99.4	.6
Louisiana	4,219,973	4,126,929	93,044	97.8	2.2
Maine	1,227,928	1,221,099	6,829	99.4	.6
Maryland	4,781,468	4,656,366	125,102	97.4	2.6
Massachusetts.	6,016,425	5,728,876	287,549	95.2	4.8
Michigan	9,295,297	9,093,701	201,596	97.8	2.2
Minnesota	4,375,099	4,321,215	53,884	98.8	1.2
Mississippi	2,573,216	2,557,285	15,931	99.4	.6
Missouri	5,117,073	5,055,371	61,702	98.8	1.2
Montana	799,065	786,891	12,174	98.5	1.5
Nebraska	1,578,385	1,541,416	36,969	97.7	2.3
Nevada	1,201,833	1,077,414	124,419	89.6	10.4
New Hampshire.	1,109,252	1,097,919	11,333	99.0	1.0
New Jersey	7,730,188	6,990,327	739,861	90.4	9.6
New Mexico	1,515,069	935,845	579,224	61.8	38.2
New York	17,990,455	15,776,429	2,214,026	87.7	12.3
North Carolina	6,628,637	6,551,911	76,726	98.8	1.2
North Dakota	638,800	634,135	4,665	99.3	.7

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 3 (Continued)

				% of	Total
;	Total Population	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	Non- Hisp.	Hisp.
State	0		Ħ		
Ohio	10,847,115	10,707,419	139,696	98.7	1.3
Oklahoma	3,145,585	3,059,425	86,160	97.3	2.7
Oregon	2,842,321	2,729,614	112,707	96.0	4.0
Pennsylvania	11,881,643	11,649,381	232,262	98.0	2.0
Rhode Island	1,003,464	957,712	45,752	95.4	4.6
South Carolina	3,486,703	3,456,152	30,551	99.1	. 9
South Dakota	696,004	690,752	5,252	99.2	. 8
Tennessee	4,877,185	4,844,444	32,741	99.3	. 7
Texas	16,986,510	12,646,605	4,339,905	74.5	25.5
Utah	1,722,850	1,638,253	84,597	95.1	4.9
Vermont	562,758	559,097	3,661	99.3	.7
Virginia	6,187,358	6,027,070	160,288	97.4	2.6
Washington	4,866,692	4,652,122	214,570	95.6	4.4
West Virginia.	1,793,477	1,784,988	8,489	99.5	. 5
Wisconsin	4,891,769		93,194	98.1	1.9
Wyoming	453,588	427,837	25,751	94.3	5.7

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, P.L. 94-171 counts.

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

CE 2

TABLE 4

TOP TEN STATES RANKED BY
HISPANIC POPULATION AND PERCENT HISPANIC: 1990,
AND HISPANIC GROWTH: 1980 TO 1990

	Hispanic Population 1990	Percent Hispanic 1990	Hispanic Growth 1980 to 1990
State			
California	à	2	1
Texas	2	3	2
New York	2 3 4 5	6	2 4 3 5
Florida	4	7	3
Illinois	5	10	5
New Jersey	6 7	9	6 7 9
Arizona	7	4	7
New Mexico	8	1	9
Colorado	9	5	<u>=</u> .
Massachusetts	10	2	8
Nevada	₩;	8	421
Washington	224	· 22	10

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE 5
POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
AND HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990 FOR THE UNITED STATES BY STATE

Spanish Origin 1990 Number Percent					
Spanish Hispanic 1980 to 1990 Number Percent					
Origin 1980 1990 Number Percent			Section of Section 1	Hispanic	Change
State United States			Hispanic		
State United States. 14,608,673		Origin	Origin	277 - 61	
United States. 14,608,673 22,354,059 7,745,386 53.0 Alabama. 33,299 24,629 -8,670 -26.0 Alaska. 9,507 17,803 8,296 87.3 Arizona. 440,701 688,338 247,637 56.2 Arkansas. 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California. 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado. 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware. 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C. 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida. 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia. 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts. 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19,5 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19,5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico. 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New Mexico. 477,222 579,224 102,005 35.4		1980	1990	Number	Percent
Alabama. 33,299 24,629 -8,670 -26.0 Alaska. 9,507 17,803 8,296 87.3 Arizona. 440,701 688,338 247,637 56.2 Arkansas. 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California. 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado. 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware. 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C. 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida. 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia. 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19,8 Louisiana. 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	State				
Alaska. 9,507 17,803 8,296 87.3 Arizona. 440,701 688,338 247,637 56.2 Arkansas. 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California. 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado. 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware. 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C. 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia. 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana. 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	United States	14,608,673	22,354,059	7,745,386	53.0
Alaska. 9,507 17,803 8,296 87.3 Arizona. 440,701 688,338 247,637 56.2 Arkansas. 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California. 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado. 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware. 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C. 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia. 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana. 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Alabama	33,299	24.629	-8 670	-26 0
Arizona. 440,701 688,338 247,637 56.2 Arkansas. 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California. 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado. 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware. 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C 17,679 32,710 15.031 85.0 Florida. 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia. 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19,8 Louisiana. 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi. 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New York. 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Alaska				
Arkansas 17,904 19,876 1,972 11.0 California 4,544,331 7,687,938 3,143,607 69.2 Colorado 339,717 424,302 84,585 24.9 Connecticut 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nobraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Wexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Arizona	The state of the s			
California.	Arkansas				
Colorado 339,717 424,302 84,585 24,9 Connecticut. 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Waxico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	California				
Connecticut 124,499 213,116 88,617 71.2 Delaware 9,661 15,820 6,159 63.8 D.C 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts. 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 North Carolina 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
Delaware			13 miles 1 mil		500 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
D.C. 17,679 32,710 15,031 85.0 Florida 858,158 1,574,143 715,985 83.4 Georgia 61,260 108,922 47,662 77.8 Hawaii 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Maxico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York. 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
Florida	D.C	3-5,47,45,79,15,75,7		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	The second secon
Georgia	Florida				
Hawaii. 71,263 81,390 10,127 14.2 Idaho. 36,615 52,927 16,312 44.6 Illinois. 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana. 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa. 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas. 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky. 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana. 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine. 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland. 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts. 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan. 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota. 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri. 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana. 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska. 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada. 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey. 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico. 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York. 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Georgia				
Idaho	Hawaii		THE PARTY OF THE P		
Illinois 635,602 904,446 268,844 42.3 Indiana 87,047 98,788 11,741 13.5 Iowa 25,536 32,647 7,111 27.8 Kansas 63,339 93,670 30,331 47.9 Kentucky 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,74	Idaho	ENVIOLE SECULION			
Indiana	Illinois		Control of the Contro		
Iowa	Indiana			a demonstrate of the same of the	
Kansas	Iowa				
Kentucky 27,406 21,984 -5,422 -19.8 Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississisppi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 <					
Louisiana 99,134 93,044 -6,090 -6.1 Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts. 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4			2012/17 12/20 20/20		
Maine 5,005 6,829 1,824 36.4 Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4			12,425,75		
Maryland 64,746 125,102 60,356 93.2 Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
Massachusetts 141,043 287,549 146,506 103.9 Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Marvland			Same State of the	
Michigan 162,440 201,596 39,156 24.1 Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Massachusetts	4 Carl 1982 Co. 1982			
Minnesota 32,123 53,884 21,761 67.7 Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Michigan				
Mississippi 24,731 15,931 -8,800 -35.6 Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Minnesota				
Missouri 51,653 61,702 10,049 19.5 Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4			/ - M M		
Montana 9,974 12,174 2,200 22.1 Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
Nebraska 28,025 36,969 8,944 31.9 Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4			131.3°76's 0.00	6-10-51 D. USUUTS II.	
Nevada 53,879 124,419 70,540 130.9 New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Nebraska	2.57.7.1			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
New Hampshire. 5,587 11,333 5,746 102.8 New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	Nevada				
New Jersey 491,883 739,861 247,978 50.4 New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4		12-18-90 D 100 B 100 B 1	to all the second secon		The Control of the Co
New Mexico 477,222 579,224 102,002 21.4 New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
New York 1,659,300 2,214,026 554,726 33.4 North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4	New Mexico				
North Carolina. 56,667 76,726 20,059 35.4					
발표가보고했다. 프리크 (1997년 1997년 1					
			4,665	763	19.6

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 5 (Continued)

	Spanish Origin	Hispanic Origin	Hispanic 1980 to	
	1980	1990	Number	Percent
State	2131	147		S.
Ohio	119,883	139,696	19,813	16.5
Oklahoma	57,419	86,160	28,741	50.1
Oregon	65,847	112,707	46,860	71.2
Pennsylvania	153,961	232,262	78,301	50.9
Rhode Island	19,707	45,752	26,045	132.2
South Carolina.	33,426	30,551	-2,875	-8.6
South Dakota	4,023	5,252	1,229	30.5
Tennessee	34,077	32,741	-1,336	-3.9
Texas	2,985,824	4,339,905	1,354,081	45.4
Utah	60,302	84,597	24,295	40.3
Vermont	3,304	3,661	357	10.8
Virginia	79,868	160,288	80,420	100.7
Washington	120,016	214,570	94,554	78.8
West Virginia	12,707	8,489	-4,218	-33.2
Wisconsin	62,972	93,194	30,222	48.0
Wyoming	24,499	25,751	1,252	5.1

TABLE 6
POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
AND HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990 FOR PLACES
WITH 100,000 OR MORE HISPANICS
RANKED BY POPULATION OF HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990

		Spanish Origin	Hispanic Origin	1980 to	500 T
		1980	1990	Number	Percent
Rank	Place				
1	New York, NY	1,406,024	1,783,511	377,487	26.8
2	Los Angeles, CA	816,076		575,335	70.5
3	Chicago, IL	422,063	545,852	123,789	29.3
4	San Antonio, TX	421,954	520,282	98,328	23.3
5	Houston, TX	281,331	450,483	169,152	60.1
6	El Paso, TX	265,819	355,669	89,850	33.8
7	San Diego, CA	130,613	229,519	98,906	75.7
8	Miami, FL	194,037	223,964	29,927	15.4
9	Dallas, TX	111,083	210,240	99,157	89.3
10	San Jose, CA	140,529	208,388	67,859	48.3
11	Phoenix, AZ	116,736	197,103	80,367	68.8
12	Santa Ana, CA	90,646	191,383	100,737	111.1
13	Hialeah, FL	107,908	164,652	56,744	52.6
14	Albuquerque, NM	112,084	132,706	20,622	18.4
15	Corpus Christi,TX	108,175	129,708	21,533	19.9
16	E. Los Angeles, CA	103,536	119,684	16,148	15.6
17	Tucson, AZ	82,189	118,595	36,406	44.3
18	Laredo, TX	85,076	115,360	30,284	35.6
19	Denver, CO	92,348	107,382	15,034	16.3
20	Austin, TX	64,766	106,868	42,102	65.0
21	Fresno, CA	51,489	105,787	54,298	105.5
22	Long Beach, CA	50,700	101,419	50,719	100.0
23	San Francisco, CA	83,373	100,717	17,344	20.8

TABLE 7
TOTAL POPULATION, POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN, AND
PERCENT OF POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR PLACES
WITH 100,000 OR MORE HISPANICS
RANKED BY PERCENT HISPANIC: 1990

					% of	Total
		Total Population	Non- Hisp.	Hispanic	Non- Hisp.	Hisp.
Rank	Place) 		
	10 (12 4 (Martin) 1)					
1	E. Los Angeles, CA	126,379	6,695	119,684	5.3	94.7
2	Laredo, TX		7,539	115,360	6.1	93.9
3	Hialeah, FL	188,004	23,352	164,652	12.4	87.6
4	El Paso, TX	515,342	159,673	355,669	31.0	69.0
5	Santa Ana, CA	293,742	102,359		34.8	65.2
6	Miami, FL	358,548	134,584		37.5	62.5
7	San Antonio, TX		415,651		44.4	55.6
8	Corpus Christi, TX		127,745	to the state of th	49.6	50.4
9	Los Angeles, CA	3,485,398		1,391,411	60.1	39.9
10	Albuquerque, NM				65.5	34.5
11	Fresno, CA	354,202	248,415	105,787	70.1	29.9
12	Tucson, AZ	405,390	286,795	118,595	70.7	29.3
13	Houston, TX	1,630,553		450,483	72.4	27.6
14	San Jose, CA	782,248	573,860	208,388	73.4	26.6
15	New York, NY	7,322,564	5,539,053	1,783,511	75.6	24.4
16	Long Beach, CA	429,433	328,014	101,419	76.4	23.6
17	Austin, TX	465,622	358,754	106,868	77.0	23.0
18	Denver, CO	467,610	360,228	107,382	77.0	23.0
19	Dallas, TX			210,240	79.1	20.9
20	San Diego, CA		881,030	229,519	79.3	20.7
21	Phoenix, AZ	983,403	786,300	197,103	80.0	20.0
22	Chicago, IL	2,783,726		545,852	80.4	19.6
23	San Francisco, CA		623,242	100,717	86.1	13.9

III. ILLINOIS POPULATION DATA

1

III. ILLINOIS POPULATION DATA

A. ILLINOIS GROWTH

In the decade between 1980 and 1990, Illinois' Hispanic population grew from 635,602 to 904,446. (See Table 8.) This growth rate of 42.3% is phenomenal when contrasted to that of the non-Hispanic population, which actually decreased by 2.5%.

In fact, without Hispanic growth Illinois' population would have remained stagnant. This is reflected in the almost one-for-one trade off of Illinois' Hispanic population gain of 268,844 and the Illinois non-Hispanic population loss of 264,760. (See Figure 8; see also Table 9, data for Illinois and other regions of the state.)

While Illinois' overall Hispanic growth was 42.3%, Hispanic growth varied by region of the state. While the downstate² Hispanic population grew by 22.8%, Chicago's Hispanic population grew by 29.3%, and growth in the suburbs was a phenomenal 83.6%. (See Figure 9; see also Table 9, data for Illinois and other regions of the state.)

B. ILLINOIS POPULATION

The 1990 population for Illinois is 11,430,602. Of this Hispanics represent 904,446, or 7.9% of Illinois' total population. (See Figure 10; see also Table 10, data for Illinois and other regions of the state.)


Sixty percent or 545,852 of Hispanics in Illinois live in Chicago, 32% or 291,053 live in the Chicago suburbs, and 7% or 67,541 live downstate.³ (See Figure 11; see also Table 8.)

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 8 to 11 are not discussed.

²For purposes of this report downstate is defined as all Illinois counties except those in the Chicago metropolitan area: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

³Ibid.

CHANGE, HISPANIC AND NON-HISPANIC: 1980 TO 1990 (Net change = 4,084) FIGURE 8: ILLINOIS POPULATION




SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991


FIGURE 9: PERCENT GROWTH OF ILLINOIS HISPANIC POPULATION BY AREA: 1980 TO 1990

GEOGRAPHIC AREA



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.
LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 10: 1990 ILLINOIS POPULATION BY HISPANIC, NON-HISPANIC (ILLINOIS TOTAL = 11,430,602)




FIGURES ARE IN THOUSANDS

Source: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 11: 1990 ILLINOIS HISPANIC POPULATION BY AREA (State Total = 904,446)



OHICAGO SUBURBS 32% 291,053

> SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

TABLE 8
POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990, AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE
STATE OF ILLINOIS BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA: 1990

	Spanish Origin	Hispanic Origin		c Change to 1990	% of
	1980	1990	Number	Percent	State's Hispanics
Geographic Area					
Illinois	635,602	904,446	268,844	42.3	100.0
Chicago city Chicago suburbs. Downstate	422,063 158,546 54,993	545,852 291,053 67,541	123,789 132,507 12,548	29.3 83.6 22.8	60.4 32.2 7.5

TABLE 9
POPULATION CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE
STATE OF ILLINOIS BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA: 1980 TO 1990

	Number Change 1980 to 1990			Percent Change 1980 to 1990		
31	Total	Non- Hisp.	Hispanic	Total	Non- Hisp.	Hisp.
Geographic Area						
Illinois	4,084	-264,760	268,844	.0	-2.5	42.3
Chicago city Chicago suburbs Downstate	378,898	-345,135 246,391 -166,016	123,789 132,507 12,548	-7.4 9.2 -3.6	-13.4 6.3 -3.9	29.3 83.6 22.8

A second

TABLE 10

TOTAL POPULATION, POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN, AND PERCENT OF POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA: 1990

				% of	Total
	Total Population	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Non- Hisp.	Hisp.
Geographic Area					
Illinois	11,430,602	10,526,156	904,446	92.1	7.9
Chicago city Chicago suburbs. Downstate	2,783,726 4,477,450 4,169,426	2,237,874 4,186,397 4,101,885	545,852 291,053 67,541	80.4 93.5 98.4	19.6 6.5 1.6

TABLE 11
POPULATION NOT OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980
AND NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
AND NON-HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990
FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA

	Not Spanish Origin	Non-Hispanic Origin	Non-Hispan 1980 to	
	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Geographic Area				
Illinois	10,790,916	10,526,156	-264,760	-2.5
Chicago city Chicago suburbs Downstate	2,583,009 3,940,006 4,267,901	2,237,874 4,186,397 4,101,885	-345,135 246,391 -166,016	-13.4 6.3 -3.9

IV. CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA AND SUBURBAN POPULATION DATA

IV. CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA AND SUBURBAN POPULATION DATA

A. CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA

1. Chicago Metropolitan Area Growth

In the decade between 1980 and 1990, the Chicago metropolitan area⁴ Hispanic population grew from 580,609 to 836,905. (See Table 12.) This growth rate of 44.1% is very high when contrasted to that of the non-Hispanic population loss of 1.5% in the six county Chicago area.

While Chicago metropolitan Hispanic growth was 44.1%, Hispanic growth varied within its geographic areas. Hispanic population growth in Chicago was 29.3%, growth in the Cook County suburbs, DuPage and McHenry counties virtually doubled, at 92%, 99.9%, and 100.5% respectively. Growth was 83.1% in Lake County, 66.7% in Kane County, and 45% in Will County. (See Figure 12; see also Table 12.)

2. Chicago Metropolitan Area Population

The 1990 population for the Chicago metropolitan area is 7,261,176. Of this Hispanics represent 836,905, or 11.5% of the area's total population. (See Table 13.)

Sixty-five percent or 545,852 of the region's Hispanics live in Chicago; 18% or 148,342 live in the Chicago suburbs; 4% or 34,567 live in DuPage County; 5% or 43,535 live in Kane County; 5% or 38,570 live in Lake county, 1% or 6,066 live in McHenry County, and 2% or 19,973 of the region's Hispanics live in Will County. (See Figure 13; see also Table 12.)

While the Hispanic population has grown dramatically in the Chicago metropolitan area, Hispanics are not nearly as concentrated in the suburban areas as they are in Chicago. In Chicago, Hispanics represent 19.6%, or almost one in five Chicagoans. The next largest concentration of Hispanics resides in Kane County, comprising almost one of every seven individuals, or 13.7% of the total population. In all the other counties, Hispanics are less than 8% of the total population. (See Figure 14; see also Table 13.)

⁴ For purposes of this report the Chicago metropolitan area is defined as the six counties of: Cook County, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will. Data for Cook County are presented for the city of Chicago and Cook County suburbs separately.

B. CHICAGO SUBURBS

1. Top Ten Suburbs by Hispanic Population and Their Growth

Cicero leads the way as the suburb with the largest Hispanic population at 24,931, in 1990. The suburb with the second largest Hispanic population is Aurora with 22,864 Hispanics; followed by Waukegan with 16,443 Hispanics; and Elgin with 14,576 Hispanics. Joliet has the fifth largest suburban Hispanic population at 9,741; followed by Melrose Park with 6,303; Blue Island with 5,280; Chicago Heights with 4,976; West Chicago with 4,510; and Addison with 4,287. (See Figure 15; see also Table 14, data for the top twenty-five suburbs.)

Together these ten suburbs are home to 39.1% or 113,911 of the 291,053 Chicago suburban Hispanics. These suburbs also demonstrate the phenomenal growth that occurred in the Chicago metropolitan area: Cicero's Hispanic population increased by 373% in the decade between 1980 and 1990. Elgin (123.3%), Melrose Park (106.0%), West Chicago (115.4%), and Addison (147.5%), also had growth rates in excess of 100%. (See Table 14, data for the top twenty-five suburbs.)

2. Top Ten Suburbs by Percent Hispanic


Of the ten suburbs with the highest percentage of Hispanics, Stone Park ranks first with 58% of its total population identifying themselves as Hispanic. This is followed by Cicero at 37%, Summit at 31.2%, West Chicago at 30.5%, Hodgkins at 30.3%, Melrose Park at 30.2%, Blue Island at 24.9%, Highwood at 23.9%, Waukegan at 23.7%, and Aurora at 23%. (See Figure 16; see also Table 15, data for the top twenty-five suburbs.)

Of the ten suburbs with the highest percentage of Hispanics, four -Stone Park, Summit, Hodgkins, and Highwood - do not rank among the suburbs containing the ten largest Hispanic populations. While these suburbs have a large Hispanic concentration, they are not large enough to rank among those with the largest number of Hispanics. For example, Stone Park is 58% Hispanic, but its total population is only 4,383 and its Hispanic population is 2,544. This is considerably less than the suburb with the largest number of Hispanics, Cicero at 24,931.

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 12 to 15 are not discussed.

POPULATION BY AREA: 1980 TO 1990 CHICAGO METROPOLITAN HISPANIC FIGURE 12: PERCENT GROWTH OF


GEOGRAPHIC AREA



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991


FIGURE 13: 1990 HISPANIC POPULATION IN THE CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA (CHICAGO METRO TOTAL = 836,905)



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

WHICH IS HISPANIC FOR METROPOLITAN FIGURE 14: PERCENT OF POPULATION CHICAGO BY AREA: 1990

GEOGRAPHIC AREA




SOURCE: U.S.Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 15: TEN CHICAGO SUBURBS WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC POPULATION: 1990

SUBURB



HISPANIC POPULATION


SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 16: TEN CHICAGO SUBURBS WITH THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE OF HISPANICS: 1990

SUBURB



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

TABLE 12
POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990, AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE
CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA: 1990

	Spanish Origin 1980	Hispanic Origin 1990	Hispanio 1980 to Number	Change 1990 Percent	% of Metro. Hisp. 1990
Geographic Area					
Chicago metro. area	580,609	836,905	256,296	44.1	100.0
Chicago city* Cook County suburbs DuPage County Kane County Lake County McHenry County Will County	422,063 77,268 17,293 26,118 21,064 3,025 13,778	545,852 148,342 34,567 43,535 38,570 6,066 19,973	123,789 71,074 17,274 17,417 17,506 3,041 6,195	99.9 66.7	65.2 17.7 4.1 5.2 4.6 .7 2.4

^{*} Nine persons of Spanish origin in 1980 who lived in Chicago were categorized by the U.S. Bureau of the Census as living in DuPage County and were subtracted from the DuPage County figure for 1980 shown in this table.

TABLE 13
TOTAL POPULATION, POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN, AND
PERCENT OF POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR THE
CHICAGO METROPOLITAN AREA BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA: 1990

	2	W		% of	rotal
4	Total Population	Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Non- Hisp.	Hisp.
Geographic Area					
Chicago metro. area	7,261,176	6,424,271	836,905	88.5	11.5
Chicago city Cook County suburbs	2,783,726 2,321,341	2,237,874 2,172,999	545,852 148,342	80.4 93.6	19.6
DuPage County Kane County	781,666 317,471	747,099	34,567 43,535	95.6 86.3	4.4
Lake County McHenry County	516,418	477,848 177,175	38,570 6,066	92.5 96.7	7.5
Will County	357,313	337,340	19,973	94.4	5.6

0.1858.50A

TABLE 14

POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
AND HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990 FOR CHICAGO SUBURBS
RANKED BY POPULATION OF HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990

		Spanish	Hispanic		c Change to 1990
		Origin 1980	Origin 1990	Number	Percent
Rank	Suburb				
1	Cicero	5,271	24,931	19,660	373.0
2	Aurora	14,482	22,864	8,382	57.9
3	Waukegan	9,253	16,443	7,190	77.7
2 3 4 5	Elgin	6,529	14,576	8,047	123.3
5	Joliet	6,565	9,741	3,176	48.4
6 7	Melrose Park	3,060	6,303	3,243	106.0
	Blue Island	3,469	5,280	1,811	52.2
- 8	Chicago Heights	4,205	4,976	771	18.3
9	West Chicago	2,094	4,510	2,416	115.4
10	Addison	1,732	4,287	2,555	147.5
11	Franklin Park	1,543	3,849	2,306	149.4
12	Carpentersville	2,141	3,840	1,699	79.4
13	Hanover Park	1,748	3,616	1,868	106.9
14	Berwyn	1,128	3,573	2,445	216.8
15	Des Plaines	2,139	3,520	1,381	64.6
16	Mount Prospect	1,225	3,411	2,186	178.4
1.7	Bensenville	1,353	3,333	1,980	146.3
18	North Chicago	1,963	3,213	1,250	63.7
19	Summit	2,022	3,115	1,093	54.1
20	Mundelein	1,287	2,867	1,580	122.8
21	Evanston	1,715	2,689	974	56.8
22	Stone Park	1,272	2,544	1,272	100.0
23	Hoffman Estates	1,203	2,543	1,340	111.4
24	Rolling Meadows	1,303	2,522	1,219	93.6
25	Wheeling	1,282	2,508	1,226	95.6

TABLE 15
TOTAL POPULATION, POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN, AND
PERCENT OF POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR CHICAGO SUBURBS
RANKED BY PERCENT HISPANIC: 1990

					-	
		Total Popula- tion	Non- Hisp.	Hispanic	% of Non- Hisp.	Total
Rank	Suburb					
1.	Stone Park	4,383	1,839	2,544	42.0	58.0
2	Cicero	67,436	42,505	24,931	63.0	37.0
3	Summit	9,971	6,856	3,115	68.8	31.2
3	West Chicago	14,796	10,286	4,510	69.5	30.5
5	Hodgkins	1,963	1,368	595	69.7	30.3
6	Melrose Park	20,859	14,556	6,303	69.8	30.2
7	Blue Island	21,203	15,923	5,280	75.1	24.9
8	Highwood	5,331	4,059	1,272	76.1	23.9
9	Waukegan	69,392	52,949	16,443	76.3	23.7
10	Aurora	99,581	76,717	22,864	77.0	23.0
11	Franklin Park	18,485	14,636	3,849	79.2	20.8
12	Rosemont	3,995	3,210		80.4	19.6
13	Elgin	77,010	62,434	14,576	81.1	18.9
14	Bensenville	17,767	14,434	3,333	81.2	18.8
1.5	Carpentersville.	23,049	19,209	3,840	83.3	16.7
16	Northlake	12,505	10,477	2,028	83.8	16.2
17	Park City	4,677	3,941	736	84.3	15.7
18	Chicago Heights.	33,072	28,096	4,976	85.0	15.0
19	Prospect Heights	15,239	13,049	2,190	85.6	14.4
20	Round Lake Beach	16,434	14,087	2,347	85.7	14.3
21	Burnham	3,916	3,359	557	85.8	14.2
22	Harvard	5,975	5,128	847	85.8	14.2
23	Rockdale	1,709	1,477	232	86.4	13.6
24	Mundelein	21,215	18,348	2,867	86.5	13.5
25	S. Chicago Hts	3,597	3,114	483	86.6	13.4

V. CHICAGO AND COMMUNITY AREA POPULATION AND HOUSING DATA

V CHICAGO AND COMMUNITY AREA POPULATION AND HOUSING DATA

A. CHICAGO SUMMARY

1. Chicago Growth

In the decade between 1980 and 1990, Chicago's Hispanic population grew from 422,063 to 545,852. This growth rate of 29.3% is much greater than that of the non-Hispanic population, which actually decreased by 13.4% (See Figure 17; see also Table 16.)

To further highlight this change, Chicago's population decreased in the decade between 1980 and 1990, from 3,005,072 to 2,783,726. However, the Hispanic population grew by 123,789 during this ten year period, while the non-Hispanic population decreased by 345,135. (See Figure 18; see also Table 16.)

The majority of the non-Hispanic loss occurred among Chicago's White population, which decreased by 243,509. Chicago's Black population followed with a loss of 113,434. "Other" population in Chicago increased slightly by 11,808. (See Figure 19; see also Table 16.)

2. Chicago Population

Hispanics represent 545,852, or almost 20% of Chicago's population. (See Figure 20; see also Table 17.)

The eighty percent of Chicago's population which is not Hispanic is almost evenly distributed between 1,074,471 Blacks, and 1,056,048 Whites. Respectively, these two groups represent almost 39% and 38% of Chicago's population. Individuals of "Other" races number 107,355, or almost 4% of Chicago's population. (See Figure 20; see also Table 17.)

^{5&}quot;Other" populations include: Asian and Pacific Islander; American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut; and others.

3. Chicago Population by Age

On average, the Hispanic population is much younger than any other group with 36.7% under age 18. In comparison, 31.4% of all Blacks, 15% of all Whites, and 23.9% of "Other" races are under age 18. Citywide, 26% of Chicago's population falls in this category. Consequently, there are proportionately fewer Hispanic adults than adults in other racial groups. (See Figure 21; see also Table 18.)

To further highlight the overall youth of the Hispanic population, Hispanics represent almost 28% of all Chicagoans under the age of 18, but only 17% of all adults. In comparison, Whites represent 22% of all youth, but 44% of all adults. The disparity among Blacks is not quite as large, as Black youth represent 47% of all youth, and 36% of all adults. (See Figure 22, see also Table 19.)

The overall youth of the Hispanic population is a factor that must be considered when assessing Hispanic voting strength.

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 16 to 19 are not discussed.

B. COMMUNITY AREAS

Community Area Population Gains/Losses

In the decade between 1980 and 1990, only nineteen of seventy- seven Chicago community areas gained population, and only thirteen of these had population growth in excess of one thousand people. Fifty-eight community areas in Chicago suffered population losses. (See Table 20, data for all seventy-seven community areas.) One obvious reason for this trend is Chicago's overall population loss of 221,346 between 1980 and 1990.

Of the thirteen areas that gained more than one thousand persons, twelve had Hispanic growth: and in ten of these, there was a loss of non-Hispanic population. Therefore, without Hispanic population growth, these ten areas would have lost population. These areas are: South Lawndale whose Hispanic population increased by 13,431, and whose non-Hispanic population decreased by 7,480; Rogers Park whose Hispanic population increased by 5,384 and whose non-Hispanic population decreased by 531; Chicago Lawn followed with an Hispanic increase of 9,609 and a non-Hispanic decrease of 4,934; Hermosa showed an Hispanic population increase of 9,822 and a non-Hispanic decrease of 6,238. Albany Park followed with an Hispanic population increase of 6,664 and a non-Hispanic population decrease of 3,238. Other community areas which followed this pattern were: Belmont Cragin, increase of 13,994, decrease of 10,578; Gage Park, increase of 7,873, decrease of 5,361; Edgewater with an increase of 4,444.

Brighton Park had the thirteenth largest net gain, with an Hispanic population increase of 7,505 and a non-Hispanic decrease of 6,068. (See Figure 23; see also Table 20, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Of the three exceptions to this pattern, two had both Hispanic and non-Hispanic growth: West Ridge had an increase in Hispanic population of 3,132, and a non-Hispanic population increase of 1,113; and the Loop had an Hispanic population increase of 457, and a non-Hispanic population increase of 5,035. (See Figure 23; see also Table 20, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Only one Chicago community area which experienced growth in the decade between 1980 and 1990 experienced a loss of Hispanic population. This was Lincoln Park which lost 2,010 Hispanics, and gained 5,956 non-Hispanics. (See Figure 23; see also Table 20, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)


2. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population

South Lawndale (Little Village) leads the way as the community area with the largest Hispanic population at 69,131. The community area with the second largest Hispanic population is Logan Square with 54,740 Hispanics; West Town closely follows with 54,361 Hispanics; then the Lower West Side (Pilsen) with 40,227 Hispanics. Humboldt Park has the fifth largest Hispanic population in Chicago at 29,735; New City follows with 20,906; Belmont Cragin with 17,066; Hermosa with 15,923; Albany Park with 15,738; and Chicago Lawn with 14,549. (See Map 1 and Figure 24; see also Table 21, data for all seventy-seven community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Together these ten community areas contain 60.9% of Chicago's Hispanic population: and, the top five are home to almost one-half (45.5%) of Chicago's Hispanics. (Data not shown.)

3. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population - Youth

Not too surprisingly, all of the ten areas identified as having the largest Hispanic population, also had the largest Hispanic youth population (under age eighteen) in 1990. Areas with the largest Hispanic youth population were: South Lawndale with 27,261; West Town with 21,313; Logan Square follows with 20,565; then the Lower West Side (Pilsen) with 16,009 Hispanic youth. Humboldt Park has the fifth largest Hispanic youth population in Chicago at 11,846; New City follows with 8,621; Belmont Cragin with 6,070; Hermosa with 6,057; Chicago Lawn with 5,772; and Albany Park with 5,454 Hispanic youth round out the top ten. (See Figure 25; see also Table 22, data for all seventy-seven community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)



4. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Population - Adults

Also not surprising, virtually all of the ten areas identified as having the largest Hispanic population, and largest Hispanic youth population, also had the largest Hispanic adult population in 1990. Community areas with the largest Hispanic adult population were: South Lawndale with 41,870; Logan Square with 34,175; West Town follows with 33,048; then the Lower West Side (Pilsen) with 24,218 Hispanic adults. Humboldt Park has the fifth largest Hispanic adult population in Chicago at 17,889; New City follows with 12,285; Belmont Cragin with 10,996; Albany Park with 10,284; and Hermosa, with 9,866 Hispanic adults, ranks ninth. Uptown, which has the tenth largest Hispanic adult population at 9,813, ranks eleventh in overall Hispanic population. (See Figure 26; see also Table 22, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

5. Top Ten Community Areas by Percent Hispanic


Of the ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population in 1990, seven are included among the ten community areas with the highest percentage of Hispanics. The Lower West Side (Pilsen) ranks first with 88.1% of its total population identifying themselves as Hispanic, followed by South Lawndale at 85.2%, Hermosa at 68.8%, Logan Square at 66.3%, West Town at 62%, Humboldt Park at 44%, East Side at 40%, McKinley Park at 39.5% and New City at 39.3%. Gage Park's population is the tenth most concentrated with 39.2% identifying themselves as Hispanic. (See Map 2 and Figure 27; see also Table 23, data for all seventy-seven community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Of the ten community areas with the highest percentage of Hispanics, three - East Side, McKinley Park, and Gage Park - do not rank among the community areas containing the ten largest Hispanic populations. And, of the ten largest Hispanic community areas three -Belmont Cragin, Albany Park, and Chicago Lawn - do not rank among the ten most concentrated. (See Table 24.)

6. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth

Of the ten community areas with the greatest Hispanic growth between 1980 and 1990, most are also found among the ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population, and the highest percentage of Hispanics in 1990. (See Table 24.)

The ten community areas which increased their Hispanic population the most are: Belmont Cragin with an increase of 13,994; South Lawndale with an increase of 13,431; Logan Square with an increase of 10,911; Hermosa with an increase of 9,822; and Chicago Lawn with the fifth largest gain, an increase of 9,609. Irving Park's Hispanic population increased by 7,955; Gage Park followed with an increase of 7,873; Brighton Park's increased by 7,505; and Albany Park followed, with a population



increase of 6,664. Avondale's increase was the tenth largest at 6,496. (See Map 3 and Figure 28; see also Table 25, data for all seventy-seven community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)

7. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth - Youth


Virtually all of the ten areas identified as having the largest overall Hispanic growth, also had the largest growth in the Hispanic youth population (under age 18) between 1980 and 1990. Areas with the largest gains in the Hispanic youth population were: Belmont Cragin with 4,953 additional youth; Chicago Lawn with 3,671; Hermosa with 3,624, South Lawndale with 3,459; and Brighton Park with 3,055. Gage Park showed the sixth largest gain in the youth population with an increase of 2,971; followed by Irving Park with an increase of 2,613, and Logan Square with an increase of 2,481 Hispanic youths. East Side with the ninth largest Hispanic youth gain at 2,189 ranked eleventh in overall Hispanic population gains. Avondale ranked tenth in overall Hispanic population and Hispanic youth gain with a youth increase of 2,182. (See Figure 29; see also Table 26, data for all seventy-seven Chicago community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)

8. Top Ten Community Areas by Hispanic Growth - Adults

As to be expected, the ten areas identified as having the largest overall Hispanic growth, also had the largest growth in the Hispanic adult population between 1980 and 1990. Areas with the largest gains in the Hispanic adult population were: South Lawndale with 9,972 additional adults; Belmont Cragin with 9,041 additional adults; Logan Square with 8,430, Hermosa with 6,198, Chicago Lawn with 5,938. Irving Park showed the sixth largest gain in the adult population with an increase of 5,342; followed by Gage Park with an increase of 4,902; and Albany Park with an increase of 4,584 Hispanic adults. Brighton Park had the ninth largest Hispanic adult gain at 4,450, and Avondale ranked tenth with an increase of 4,314. (See Figure 30; see also Table 27, data for all seventy-seven Chicago community areas; see also Table 28, rankings for all seventy-seven community areas.)

9. Proportion of Hispanic Youth and Adult Population in Top Ten Hispanic Community Areas

As previously discussed, citywide, 36.7% of all Hispanics are under the age of 18. However, in eight of the ten largest Hispanic community areas, the concentration of Hispanic youth is even larger than the citywide average for Hispanics. These are New City with 41.2% of its Hispanic population under the age of 18; the Lower West Side and Humboldt Park, each with 39.8%; Chicago Lawn with 39.7% of its population; South Lawndale, 39.4%; West Town with 39.2% of its Hispanic population under the age of 18; Hermosa at 38%, and Logan Square at 37.6%. Only Belmont Cragin at



35.6%, and Albany Park at 34.7% are slightly under the citywide Hispanic average. Even then, these Hispanic community areas have a much larger proportion of youth than the city average of 26%. (See Figure 31; see also Table 22, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Conversely, 63.3% of all Hispanics are over the age of 18. However, in eight of the ten largest Hispanic community areas, the concentration of Hispanic adults is less than the citywide average for Hispanics. This occurs because of the large number of Hispanic youth in these areas. They are: New City with 58.8% of its Hispanic population over the age of 18, the Lower West Side and Humboldt Park, each with 60.2%, Chicago Lawn with 60.3%, South Lawndale at 60.6%, West Town with 60.8% of its Hispanic population over the age of 18, Hermosa at 62%, and Logan Square at 62.4%. Only Belmont Cragin at 64.4%, and Albany Park at 65.3% are slightly over the citywide Hispanic average. Even then, these Hispanic community areas have a much smaller proportion of adults than the city average of 74%. (See Figure 31; see also Table 22 data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

10. Housing Unit Change in the Largest Hispanic Community Areas

In the ten community areas with the largest Hispanic population, 13,574 housing units were lost between 1980 and 1990. This represents 32.6% of the 41,667 housing units lost in Chicago between 1980 and 1990. Six of these ten areas are also communities which experienced the greatest Hispanic growth. These areas, which experienced both Hispanic growth and housing unit losses, are: Logan Square which lost 2,741 housing units; South Lawndale and Belmont Cragin which each lost 911 housing units; Hermosa which lost 98; Albany Park which lost 87; and Chicago Lawn which lost 334. In addition, the Lower West Side, which lost 832 housing units, also ranks among the largest Hispanic community areas. (See Figure 32; see also Table 29, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

Thus, it appears that areas where the Hispanic population is large and growing, correspond to the areas where housing units were lost during the past decade. In addition, these areas, except for Logan Square, also rank among the top thirteen in citywide population growth. Although Logan Square has not grown in total population, its Hispanic population grew in the decade between 1980 and 1990.

The greatest housing unit losses among Chicago's ten largest Hispanic community areas occurred in Logan Square, with 2,741 losses; West Town, with 2,457 losses; Humboldt Park with 3,394 losses; and New City with 1,809 losses. Together these four community areas represent 76.7% of the housing unit loss in Chicago's ten largest Hispanic community areas, and 25% of the city's total housing unit loss. (See Figure 32; see also Table 29, data for all seventy-seven community areas.)

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 16 to 29 are not discussed.

C. CHICAGO AND COMMUNITY AREA HISPANIC POPULATION BY TYPE OF ORIGIN

1. Chicago Hispanic Population Growth by Type of Origin

As previously discussed, Chicago's Hispanic population grew by 29.3% in the decade between 1980 and 1990. Yet all of Chicago's Hispanic population did not grow at the same rate. The largest increase was in Chicago's population of Mexican descent which increased by 37.8% (96,758) from 255,802 to 352,560. In contrast, Chicago's Puerto Rican population grew by 7% (7,792) from 112,074 to 119,866. Chicago's Cuban population declined by 12.8% (-1,469) from 11,513 to 10,044. And the proportion of Chicago's Other Hispanic population increased the most, by 48.5% (20,708) from 42,674 to 63,382. Other Hispanics represent an increase in Central and South American populations, but also represent individuals of "mixed" Hispanic backgrounds, e.g., children whose parents are of two different Hispanic groups. (See Table 30, data by community area for each ethnic group; see also Table 31, 1980 and 1990 data by community area for the Mexican population; Table 32, 1980 and 1990 data by community area for the Puerto Rican population; Table 33, 1980 and 1990 data by community area for the Cuban population; and Table 34, 1980 and 1990 data by community area for the Other Hispanic population.)

2. Percent of Chicago Hispanic Population by Type of Origin

Given these growth rates, Chicago's 1990 population of Mexican descent now represent almost 65% of Chicago's Hispanic community, Puerto Ricans represent 22%, Cubans almost 2%, and Other Hispanics nearly 12%. Each group's proportion of the total Hispanic population changed from 1980 due to immigration, net migration, and natural increase during the decade. In 1980, Mexicans represented almost 61% of Chicago's Hispanic community, Puerto Ricans nearly 27%, Cubans 3%, and Other Hispanics 10%. (See Figure 33.)

3. Community Areas by Type of Hispanic Origin


As previously discussed, 60.9% of all Hispanics live in the top ten Hispanic community areas. This pattern holds for individuals of Mexican descent with 60.7% living in these ten areas. However, this pattern differs among the remaining Hispanic ethnic groups. The proportion of Puerto Ricans living in theses areas is more concentrated at 73.2%. The group least represented in the ten largest Hispanic community areas are Cubans, with only 32.7% living in these ten areas, Other Hispanics follow with 43.1% living in these ten areas. (See Table 35, data citywide and for the top ten Hispanic community areas.)

It is also interesting to note the Hispanic ethnic diversity that exists within the ten largest Hispanic community areas. Four are overwhelmingly (more than 80%) Mexican. These are: South Lawndale at 92.7%, the Lower West Side 92.4%, New City at 88.2%, and Chicago Lawn at 81.1%. Five of the remaining areas, all on the northside of the city, have both large Mexican and Puerto Rican populations. Each group, Mexicans and Puerto Ricans, represent between 38% and 52% of the Hispanic population. The tenth area, also on the northside is Albany Park, 47.3% of its Hispanic population is Mexican, 20.3% Puerto Rican, 28.3% Other Hispanic, and 4.1% Cuban. (See Table 36, data for the top ten Hispanic community areas.)

NOTE: Additional data provided in Tables 30 to 36 are not discussed.


- -----

CHICAGO HISPANIC AND NON-HISPANIC FIGURE 17: PERCENT CHANGE OF POPULATION: 1980 TO 1990



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991


1980 TO 1990 (NET CHANGE = -221,346) FIGURE 18: CHICAGO POPULATION CHANGE, HISPANIC AND NON-HISPANIC:



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991


FIGURE 19: CHICAGO POPULATION CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE: 1980 TO 1990



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

POPULATION BY ORIGIN AND RACE (CHICAGO TOTAL=2,783,726) FIGURE 20: 1990 CHICAGO




HISPANIC, NON-HISPANIC HISPANIC ORIGINNRACE

SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

YOUTH AND ADULT POPULATION BY FIGURE 21: PERCENT OF CHICAGO HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE: 1990



POPULATION FOR CHICAGO BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE: 1990 FIGURE 22: YOUTH AND ADULT




SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

GROWTH IN THIRTEEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE MOST GROWTH: 1980 TO 1990 FIGURE 23: HISPANIC AND NON-HISPANIC

COMMUNITY AREA





NON-HISPANIC

HISPANIC

6,000 12,000 18,000


-12,000-6,000

SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L.94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

HISPANIC POPULATION: FIGURE 24: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS 1990 (CHICAGO TOTAL = 545,852) WITH THE LARGEST

COMMUNITY AREA



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:


HISPANIC POPULATION

1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 25: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST NUMBER OF HISPANIC YOUTH: 1990 (CHICAGO TOTAL= 200,545)


COMMUNITY AREA



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 26: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST NUMBER OF HISPANIC ADULTS: 1990 (CHICAGO TOTAL = 345,307)


COMMUNITY AREA




SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

PERCENTAGE OF HISPANICS: 1990 FIGURE 27: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE HIGHEST

COMMUNITY AREA






SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 28: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC GROWTH: 1980 TO 1990

COMMUNITY AREA

19 BELMONT CRAGIN
30 SOUTH LAWNDALE
22 LOGAN SQUARE
20 HERMOSA
66 CHICAGO LAWN
16 IRVING PARK
63 GAGE PARK
58 BRIGHTON PARK
14 ALBANY PARK
21 AVONDALE




SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population:

HISPANIC GROWTH

1980 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 29: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST GROWTH IN THE HISPANIC YOUTH POPULATION: 1980 TO 1990


COMMUNITY AREA



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 30: TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST GROWTH IN THE HISPANIC ADULT POPULATION. 1980 TO 1990


COMMUNITY AREA



HISPANIC ADULT GROWTH


SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

FIGURE 31: PERCENT YOUTH AND ADULT POPULATION IN THE TEN LARGEST HISPANIC COMMUNITY AREAS: 1990



FEN LARGEST HISPANIC COMMUNITY AREAS: 1980 TO 1990 (CHICAGO CITY LOSS= -41667) FIGURE 32: HOUSING UNITS LOST IN THE

COMMUNITY AREA




NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS LOST

SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts.

LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, APRIL 1991

HISPANIC ORIGIN BY TYPE OF ORIGIN FOR CHICAGO: 1980 AND 1990 FIGURE 33: POPULATION OF



SOURCE: U.S. Census of Population: 1990 Summary Tape File 1A. LATINO INSTITUTE RESEARCH, JUNE 1991

TABLE 16
TOTAL POPULATION AND CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE
FOR CHICAGO: 1980 AND 1990

Population 1980	Population 1990	Change 19 Number	80 to 1990 Percent
3,005,072	2,783,726	-221,346	-7.4
422,063 2,583,009 1,187,905 1,299,557 95,547	545,852 2,237,874 1,074,471 1,056,048 107,355	123,789 -345,135 -113,434 -243,509 11,808	29.3 -13.4 -9.5 -18.7 12.4
	1980 3,005,072 422,063 2,583,009 1,187,905 1,299,557	1980 1990 3,005,072 2,783,726 422,063 545,852 2,583,009 2,237,874 1,187,905 1,074,471 1,299,557 1,056,048	Population 1990 Number 3,005,072 2,783,726 -221,346 422,063 545,852 123,789 2,583,009 2,237,874 -345,135 1,187,905 1,074,471 -113,434 1,299,557 1,056,048 -243,509

Note: Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to Total. White, Black, and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (98,777); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (4,997); and others (3,581).

TABLE 17
TOTAL POPULATION AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE FOR CHICAGO: 1990

	Population	Percent of Total Population
Group		
Total	2,783,726	100.0
Hispanic	545,852 2,237,874	19.6 80.4
Black White "Other"	1,074,471 1,056,048 107,355	38.6 37.9 3.9
Ocher	2011000	100000

Note: Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to Total. White, Black, and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (98,777); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (4,997); and others (3,581).

TABLE 18
TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE
AND BY AGE, AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION FOR CHICAGO: 1990

				2	
	Age Cohort			% of Group's Total by Age	
	Total Population	Under 18 Years	18 Years and Older	Under 18	18 and Older
Group					
Total	2,783,726	722,704	2,061,022	26.0	74.0
Hispanic Non-Hispanic Black White	545,852 2,237,874 1,074,471 1,056,048	200,545 522,159 337,911 158,643	345,307 1,715,715 736,560 897,405	36.7 23.3 31.4 15.0	63.3 76.7 68.6 85.0
"Other"	107,355	25,605	81,750	23.9	76.1

Note: Percent distribution reads across, and sums to 100.0.

Note: Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to Total. White, Black, and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (98,777); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (4,997); and others (3,581).

TABLE 19
TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND RACE
AND BY AGE, AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION FOR CHICAGO: 1990

	= 7	-		-	
		Age	Cohort	Group as a of Age Coho	
	Total Population	Under 18 Years	18 Years and Older	Under 18	18 and Older
Group					
Total	2,783,726	722,704	2,061,022	100.0	100.0
Hispanic	545,852	200,545	345,307	27.7	16.8
Non-Hispanic	2,237,874	522,159	1,715,715	72.3	83.2
Black	1,074,471	337,911	736,560	46.8	35.7
White	1,056,048	158,643	897,405	22.0	43.5
"Other"	107,355	25,605	81,750	3.5	4.0

Note: Percent distribution reads down, and sums to 100.0.

Note: Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to Total. White, Black, and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (98,777); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (4,997); and others (3,581).

TABLE 20
POPULATION CHANGE BY HISPANIC ORIGIN FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA: 1980 TO 1990

			Number Cha 1980 to 19			cent Ch	
			Non-			Non-	
Co	mmunity Area	Total	Hispanic '	Hispanic	Total		Hisp.
	mber - Name	2.50	napana o	IIISpairio	10001	птар.	irrah.
20000							
Cl	icago city	-221,346	-345,135	123,789	-7.4	-13.4	29.3
1	Rogers Park	4,853	-531	5,384	8.7	-1.1	81.3
2	West Ridge	4,245	1,113	3,132	6.9	1.9	138.2
	Uptown		11	-586	-,9	.0	-3.9
	Lincoln Square		-4,443	5,380	2.1	-11.4	108.2
	North Center		-4,515	2,364	-6.1	-15.9	35.4
	Lake View	and the second of the second o	-1,087	-5,401	-6.7	-1.4	-29.5
	Lincoln Park			-2,010	6.9	11.6	-33.6
	Near N. Side	-4,325	-4,237	-88	-6.4	-6.5	-4.5
	Edison Park	-1,031	-1,128	97	-8.3	-9.1	80.8
	Norwood Park	-2,866	-3,481	615	-7.1	-8.7	157.7
	Jefferson Park	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	-1,580	646	-3.8	-6.5	163.5
	Forest Glen	-1,336	-1,624	288	-7.0	-8.7	97.6
	North Park	963	328	635	6.3	2.3	75.1
	Albany Park	3,426	-3,238	6,664	7.4	-8.8	73.4
	Portage Park	-836	-3,769	2,933	-1.5	-6.7	197.4
16	Irving Park	670	-7,285	7,955	1.4	-16.1	186.4
17	Dunning	-903	-2,172	1,269	-2.4	-5.8	207.0
	Montclare	-220	-1,240	1,020	-2.0	-11.7	569.8
	Belmont Cragin	3,416	-10,578	13,994	6.4	-21.0	455.5
20	Hermosa	3,584	-6,238	9,822	18.3	-46.4	161.0
	Avondale	2,052	-4,444	6,496	6.1	-16.7	94.7
22		-2,163	-13,074	10,911	-2.6	-31.9	24.9
23		-3,306	-4,169	863	-4.7	-9.9	3.0
24	West Town	-8,725	-8,393	-332	-9.0	-20.1	6
25	Austin	-23,947	-19,953	-3,994	-17.3	-15.4	-49.0
	W. Garfield Pk	-9,770	-9,625	-145	-28.8	-28.7	-52.2
	E. Garfield Pk	-7,550	-7,438	-112	-23.9	-23.8	-42.6
	Near West Side		-9,819	-1,289	-19.4	-19.0	-22.6
	North Lawndale		-14,056	-182	-23.1	-23.5	-11.0
	South Lawndale		-7,480	13,431	7.9	-38.4	24.1
	Lower W. Side.	703	-4,657	5,360	1.6	-46.2	15.4
	Loop	5,492	5,035	457	85.0	80.7	205.9
33	Near S. Side	-415	-415	0	-5.7	-5.8	. 0
34	Armour Square.	-1,674	-1,542	-132	-13.4	-13.0	-21.9
	Douglas	-5,048	-4,988	-60	-14.1	-14.1	-19.2
	Oakland	-8,551	-8,488	-63	-51.1	-51.0	-64.9
	Fuller Park	-1,468	-1,438			-25.0	-42.3
38	Grand Blvd	-17,844	-17,640	-204	-33.2	-33.0	-58.3

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 20 (Continued)

Number Change Percent Change 1980 to 1990 1980 to 1990 Non-Non-Community Area Total Hispanic Hispanic Hisp. Total Hisp. Number - Name 39 Kenwood..... -3,796-3,796-17.3 -17.540 Washington Pk. -12,510-12,353-157-76.6 -39.2-38.941 Hyde Park.... -2,568-2,742174 -8.2 -9.0 24.1 42 Woodlawn..... -8,850-8,743-107-24.4 -24.3-37.543 South Shore ... -16,226-15,806-420-20.9 -20.6 -45.8 44 Chatham..... -3,946-3,873-73-9.7-9.6 -26.945 Avalon Park... -2,081 -2,053 -28 -15.1-15.0-25.7 46 South Chicago. -5,646 -1,061-4,585 -12.2-3.8 -25.2 47 Burnside..... -628 -544-84 -15.9-14.2-84.0 -3,05248 Calumet Hts... -2,491-561 -14.9-13.1-37.949 Roseland..... -7,879 -7,612-11.9 -267-12.2-48.5 50 Pullman..... -997 -1,135138 -9.6 -11.721.5 51 South Deering. -1,645-1,920275 -8.5 -13.1 5.8 52 East Side.... -881 -6,380 -34.25,499 -4.1205.3 53 West Pullman.. -5,058 -4,520 -538 -11.3-10.6 -23.354 Riverdale.... -2,718-2,692 -26 -20.1 -20.1 -14.855 Hegewisch.... -2,008 79.7 -1,436572 -12.4-18.556 Garfield Ridge -3,987-4,930 943 -10.5-13.660.2 57 Archer Heights -481-906 425 -5.0-9.7 120.1 58 Brighton Park. 1,437 -6,068 7,505 -23.14.7 165.3 59 McKinley Park. 49 -3,077 3,126 . 4 -27.7 146.8 60 Bridgeport.... -1,046-2,258 1,212 -3.4 -9.3 18.4 61 New City..... -2,634-3,595 961 -4.7-10.04.8 62 West Elsdon... -531 -1,369838 -4.1-11.0282.2 63 Gage Park.... 2,512 -5,361 7,873 10.3 -24.7291.5 -1,79364 Clearing..... -1,094699 -4.8 -8.3 76.3 65 West Lawn.... -1,346-3,266 1,920 -5.4-13.5320.5 66 Chicago Lawn ... 4,675 -4,934 -11.99,609 10.0 194.5 67 West Englewood -9,297-8,942-355 -15.0-14.6-51.768 Englewood..... -10,641 -10,375-17.7-266 -18.0-53.5 69 Gr. Gr. Cross. -6,574 -6,497-14.5-77 -14.5-28.970 Ashburn..... -3,385 -4,811 1,426 -8.4 --12.2 157.6 71 Auburn Gresham -5,324-5,065 -259-8.2 -7.8 -55.9 72 Beverly..... -975-1,060 85 -4.2 -4.6 27.6 73 Washington Hts -4,339 -4,302 -37-11.9 -11.9-20.9 74 Mt. Greenwood. -905 -1,113208 -4.5 -5.6 135.1 75 Morgan Park... -2,575-2,63560 -8.8 -9.1 21.4 76 O'Hare..... 124 -1071.1 -1.0231 80.2 77 Edgewater.... 2,142 -6202,762 3.7 -1.235.4

TABLE 21
TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND BY RACE
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA: 1990

	_						
				Waran	Non-His	panic Popu	Lation
		Total	Hisp.	Total Non-Hisp.			
Co	mmunity Area	Pop.	Pop.	Pop.	Black	White	WALE AND
	mber - Name	200.		rop.	Diack	wille	"Other"
Ch	icago city 2	792 726	E/E 0E0	2 027 074	** ***	3 (698)(676)	TERRETER TOTAL
104	icago city2,	103,120	343,632	2,231,814	1,0/4,4/1	1,056,048	107,355
1	Rogers Park	60,378	12,005	48,373	15,885	27,030	5,458
2	West Ridge		5,398	59,976	2,045	47,098	10,833
3			14,398	49,441	15,134	24,743	9,564
	Lincoln Square		10,353	34,538	1,087	27,068	
5	North Center	33,010	9,048	23,962	992	21,070	1,900
6	Lake View	91,031	12,932	78,099	5,575	68,204	4,320
7	Lincoln Park	61,092	3,981	57,111	3,590	51,968	1,553
:8	Near N. Side	62,842	1,856	60,986	14,538	44,622	1,826
. 9	Edison Park	11,426	217	11,209	3	11,053	153
10	Norwood Park			36,714	19	35,947	748
11	Jefferson Park		1,041	22,608	16	21,762	830
	Forest Glen		583	17,072	9	15,992	1,071
	North Park		1,481	14,755	129	11,267	3,359
14	Albany Park	49,501	15,738	33,763	1,491	20,458	11,814
	Portage Park		4,419	52,094	146	50,244	the second second second
	Irving Park		12,222	37,937	409	33,193	1,704
	Dunning		1,882	35,075	158	34,038	4,335 879
	Montclare		1,199	9,374	36		
	Belmont Cragin		17,066	39,721		9,086	252
	Hermosa		15,923		564	37,265	1,892
21	Avondale	35,579	13,359	7,208	310	6,354	544
	Logan Square			22,220	332	20,653	1,235
23	Humboldt Park.	67 673	54,740	27,865	4,497	22,081	1,287
	West Town		29,735	37,838	33,077	4,068	693
25	Austin	114 020	54,361	33,342	7,959	24,117	1,266
25	W. Garfield Pk	114,079	4,154	109,925	98,504	10,391	1,030
27	W. Garrield PR	24,095	133	23,962	23,834	87	41
	E. Garfield Pk		151	23,879	23,674	163	42
	Near West Side		4,416	41,781	30,700	8,672	2,409
	North Lawndale		1,471	45,825	45,371	358	96
	South Lawndale		69,131	12,024	6,652	5,037	335
	Lower W. Side.		40,227	5,427	404	4,806	217
	Loop		679	11,275	2,255	8,550	470
	Near S. Side	6,828	108	6,720	6,305	354	61
	Armour Square.		471	10,330	2,384	2,326	5,620
35	Douglas	The second secon	253	30,399	27,957	1,597	845
		8,197	34	8,163	8,117	37	9
	Fuller Park	4,364	41	4,323	4,292	20	11
3.0	Grand Blvd	35.897	146	35,751	35,574	96	81

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 21 (Continued)

Non-Hispanic Population

				Total			
		moto?	Wien	Non-Hisp.			
CO	mmunity Area	Total Pop.	Hisp. Pop.	Pop.	Black	White	"Other"
	mber - Name	rop.	100.	100.	Diction	1111100	
11.0	IIIDEL Hame						
39	Kenwood	18,178	241	17,937	13,855	3,564	518
	Washington Pk.		48	19,377	19,268	69	40
	Hyde Park		895	27,735	10,714	14,440	
	Woodlawn		178		26,234	847	and the same of th
	South Shore	TAILS THAT THE PARTY	497	61,020	59,662	1,141	137
44			198	36,581	36,295	208	
	Avalon Park		81	11,630	11,429	148	
	South Chicago.		13,644	27,132	24,672	2,290	
47	The state of the s	3,314	16	3,298	3,191	105	9.00
G/a					15,983	430	
48	그는 그 아이들은 아니까지 아니는 얼마나 얼마나 나 그 때문다.		920	16,533		430	
49			283	56,210	55,661		
	Pullman		780	8,564	7,316	1,206 2,230	
	South Deering.		5,038		10,417		
100	East Side	And the last of th	8,177		10	12,179	87
53	771		1,771	38,075	37,285	703	
54	and the state of t		150		10,527	120	
55			1,290		. 66	8,719	
56		and the same of th	2,509		4,337	26,681	421
57			779		2	8,388	
58		SECTION AND CARL THE PROPERTY AND	12,044	20,163	17	19,431	715
59	이 17시 하게 하시고 있습니다. 이 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 하시다고 있다면 되어	and the second second second second	5,255	8,042	10	7,665	
60		Will have a first the said	7,796	22,081	38	17,000	5,043
61			20,906	32,320	21,568	10,449	303
62	Strategie and the strategie an	\$1000000 m \$200000000000000000000000000000	1,135	11,131	4	11,055	72
63		TARGETT CONTRACTOR AND	10,574	16,383	1,292	14,819	272
64	Clearing		1,615	19,875	9	19,712	154
65		The second transfer of the second	2,519	20,883	58	20,617	208
66	[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[14,549	36,694	13,404	22,216	1,074
67	West Englewood	the state of the s	331	52,441	51,750	578	113
68	Englewood		231	48,203	47,870	190	143
	Gr. Gr. Cross.		189	38,455	38,217	170	68
	Ashburn		2,331	34,761	3,697	30,656	408
71	Auburn Gresham	59,808	204	59,604	59,172	350	82
72	Beverly	22,385	393	21,992	5,369	16,451	172
73	Washington Hts	32,114	140	31,974	31,621 -	293	60
74	Mt. Greenwood.	19,179	362	18,817	247	18,473	97
75	Morgan Park	26,740	340	26,400	17,225	9,043	132
76	O'Hare	11,192	519	10,673	312	10,037	324
77	Edgewater	60,703	10,567	50,136	11,643	31,070	7,423

Note: Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to Total. White, Black, and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (98,777); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (4,997); and others (3,581).

TABLE 22
POPULATION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN BY AGE
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA: 1990

	Hier	oanic Popu	ilatian		ent of
	1125	Danie Popi	ilacion	Hist	anics
Community Area Number - Name	Total	Youth	Adults	Youth	Adults
Chicago city	545,852	200,545	345,307	36.7	63.3
1 Rogers Park	12,005	3,921	8,084	32.7	67 7
2 West Ridge	5,398	1,593	3,805	The second secon	67.3
3 Uptown	14,398	4,585	9,813	29.5	70.5
4 Lincoln Square	10,353	3,260		31.8	68.2
5 North Center	9,048	3,146	7,093	31.5	68.5
6 Lake View			5,902	34.8	65.2
7 Lincoln Park		3,457	9,475	26.7	73.3
8 Near N. Side		1,005	2,976	25.2	74.8
9 Edison Park	Company of the Company of the	205	1,651	11.0	89.0
10 Names and Deed		56	161	25.8	74.2
10 Norwood Park	promise the property of the pr	282	723	28.1	71.9
11 Jefferson Park	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	283	758	27.2	72.8
12 Forest Glen		149	434	25.6	74.4
13 North Park	1,481	420	1,061	28.4	71.6
14 Albany Park	15,738	5,454	10,284	34.7	65.3
15 Portage Park	4,419	1,385	3,034	31.3	68.7
16 Irving Park	12,222	4,104	8,118	33.6	66.4
17 Dunning	1,882	581	1,301	30.9	
18 Montclare	1,199	399	800		69.1
19 Belmont Cragin	17,066	6,070		33.3	66.7
20 Hermosa	15,923	6,057	10,996	35.6	64.4
21 Avondale	13,359	200 mm - 100	9,866	38.0	62.0
22 Logan Square	54,740	4,852	8,507	36.3	63.7
23 Humboldt Park.	AND THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF	20,565	34,175	37.6	62.4
24 West Town	29,735	11,846	17,889	39.8	60.2
25 Augtin	54,361	21,313	33,048	39.2	60.8
25 Austin	4,154	1,440	2,714	34.7	65.3
26 W. Garfield Pk	133	48	8.5	36.1	63.9
27 E. Garfield Pk	151	38	113	25.2	74.8
28 Near West Side	4,416	1,305	3,111	29.6	70.4
29 North Lawndale	1,471	592	879	40.2	59.8
30 South Lawndale	69,131	27,261	41,870	39.4	60.6
31 Lower W. Side.	40,227	16,009	24,218	39.8	60.2
32 Loop	679	19	660	2.8	
33 Near S. Side	108	21	87	19.4	97.2
34 Armour Square.	471	113			80.6
35 Douglas	253	33	358	24.0	76.0
36 Oakland	34		220	13.0	87.0
37 Fuller Park	41	21	13	61.8	38.2
38 Grand Blvd		3	38	7.3	92.7
TOTAL TRANSPORT OF A STATE OF THE STATE OF T	146	46	100	31.5	68.5

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 22 (Continued)

		Hispa	anic Popu	lation		nt of anics
	nity Area r - Name	Total	Youth	Adults	Youth	Adults
		5				
39 Ker	wood	241	51	190	21.2	78.8
40 Was	shington Pk.	48	14	34	29.2	70.8
41 Hy	ie Park	895	142	753	15.9	84.1
42 Woo	odlawn	178	62	116	34.8	65.2
43 Sot	th Shore	497	123	374	24.7	75.3
44 Cha	atham	198	45	153	22.7	77.3
	alon Park	81	14	67	17.3	82.7
Contract to the second	th Chicago.	13,644	5,148	8,496	37.7	62.3
	cnside	16	4	12	25.0	75.0
A San Company of the	Lumet Hts	920	269	651	29.2	70.8
49 Ros	seland	283	81	202	28.6	71.4
50 Pul	llman	780	302	478	38.7	61.3
51 Sou	ith Deering.	5,038	1,827	3,211	36.3	63.7
52 Eas	st Side	8,177	3,286	4,891	40.2	59.8
53 Wes	st Pullman	1,771	749	1,022	42.3	57.7
54 Riv	verdale	150	65	85	43.3	56.7
55 He	gewisch	1,290	462	828	35.8	64.2
56 Gar	rfield Ridge	2,509	823	1,686	32.8	67.2
57 Arc	cher Heights	779	238	541	30.6	69.4
58 Br:	ighton Park.	12,044	4,799	7,245	39.8	60.2
59 Mc1	Kinley Park.	5,255	2,020	3,235	38.4	61.6
60 Br:	idgeport	7,796	2,923	4,873	37.5	62.5
61 Nev	v City	20,906	8,621	12,285	41.2	58.8
62 Wes	st Elsdon	1,135	394	741	34.7	65.3
63 Gag	ge Park	10,574	4,106	6,468	38.8	61.2
64 Cle	earing	1,615	536	1,079	33.2	66.8
65 Wes	st Lawn	2,519	906	1,613	36.0	64.0
66 Chi	cago Lawn	14,549	5,772	8,777	39.7	60.3
67 Wes	st Englewood	331	117	214	35.3	64.7
68 Eng	lewood	231	68	163	29.4	70.6
69 Gr.	Gr. Cross.	189	60	129	31.7	68.3
70 Ash	burn	2,331	871	1,460	37.4	62.6
71 Aub	urn Gresham	204	57	147	27.9	72.1
72 Bev	erly	393	148	245	37.7	62.3
73 Was	hington Hts	140	47	93	33.6	66.4
74 Mt.	Greenwood.	362	154	208	42.5	57.5
75 Mor	gan Park	340	108	232	31.8	68.2
76 O'E	Tare	519	92	427	17.7	82.3
77 Edg	gewater	10,567	3,104	7,463	29.4	70.6

Note: Percent distribution reads across, and sums to 100.0.

TABLE 23
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION BY HISPANIC ORIGIN AND BY RACE
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA: 1990

Community Area Number - Name Chicago city 1 Rogers Park 2 West Ridge	% Hisp. 19.6 19.9 8.3	80.4	% Black Non-Hisp.	% White Non-Hisp.	% "Other" Non-Hisp.
1 Rogers Park	19.9		38.6		
1 Rogers Park 2 West Ridge			ನಾರಾಜಿಕಾ	37.9	3.9
2 West Ridge		80.1	26.3	44.8	2000
	0 - 1	91.7	3.1		9.0
3 Uptown	22.6	77.4	23.7	72.0	16.6
4 Lincoln Square	23.1		2.4	38.8	15.0
5 North Center	27.4			60.3	14.2
6 Lake View	14.2	The Control of the Control	3.0	63.8	5.8
7 Lincoln Park	6.5	85.8 93.5	6.1	74.9	4.7
8 Near N. Side	3.0		5.9	85.1	2.5
9 Edison Park	1.9	97.0	23.1	71.0	2.9
10 Norwood Park		98.1	.0	96.7	1.3
11 Jefferson Park	2.7	97.3	-1	95.3	2.0
12 Forest Glen	4.4	95.6	-1	92.0	3.5
13 North Park	3.3	96.7	•1	90.6	6.1
	9.1	90.9	.8	69.4	20.7
14 Albany Park	31.8	68.2	3.0	41.3	23.9
15 Portage Park	7.8	92.2	. 3	88.9	3.0
16 Irving Park	24.4	75.6	. 8	66.2	8.6
17 Dunning	5.1	94.9	. 4	92.1	2.4
18 Montclare	11.3	88.7	. 3	85.9	2.4
19 Belmont Cragin	30.1	69.9	1.0	65.6	3.3
20 Hermosa	68.8	31.2	1.3	27.5	2.4
21 Avondale	37.5	62.5	. 9	58.0	3.5
22 Logan Square	66.3	33.7	5.4	26.7	1.6
23 Humboldt Park.	44.0	56.0	49.0	6.0	1.0
24 West Town	62.0	38.0	9.1	27.5	1.4
25 Austin	3.6	96.4	86.3	9.1	. 9
26 W. Garfield Pk	. 6	99.4	98.9	.4	. 2
27 E. Garfield Pk	. 5	99.4	98.5	. 7	. 2
28 Near West Side	9.6	90.4	66.5	18.8	5.2
29 North Lawndale	3.1	96.9	95.9	.8	.2
30 South Lawndale	85,2	14.8	8.2	6.2	. 4
31 Lower W. Side.	88.1	11.9	.9	10.5	.5
32 Loop	5.7	94.3	18.9	71.5	3.9
33 Near S. Side	1.6		92.3	5.2	.9
34 Armour Square.	4.4	95.6	22.1	21.5	52.0
35 Douglas	.8	99.2	91.2	5.2	2.8
36 Oakland	. 4	99.6	99.0	.5	.1
37 Fuller Park	. 9	99.1	98.4	.5	
38 Grand Blvd	. 4	99.6	99.1	. 3	.3

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 23 (Continued)

	mmunity Area mber - Name	% Hisp.	% Total Non-Hisp.	% Black Non-Hisp.	% White Non-Hisp.	% "Other" Non-Hisp.
This	inder iteme					
	Kenwood	1.3	98.7	76.2	19.6	2.8
	Washington Pk.	.2	99.8	99.2	. 4	.2
	Hyde Park	3.1	96.9	37.4	50.4	9.0
42	Woodlawn	. 6	99.4	95.5	3.1	. 8
43		.8	99.2	97.0	1.9	. 4
44	Chatham	. 5	99.5	98.7	. 6	.2
45	Avalon Park	. 7	99.3	97.6	1.3	.5
46	South Chicago.	33.5	66.5	60.5	5.6	. 4
47	Burnside	.5	99.5	96.3	3.2	.1
48	Calumet Hts	5.3	94.7	91.6	2.5	. 7
49	- 100 UV	. 5	99.5	98.5	. 8	.2
50		8.3	91.7	78.3	12.9	. 4
51		28.4	71.6	58.7	12.6	. 4
52		40.0	60.0	.0	59.6	. 4
1677000	West Pullman	4.4	95.6	93.6	1.8	.2
54		1.4	98.6	97.3	1.1	. 2
55		12.7	87.3	. 7	86.0	. 6
56	Garfield Ridge	7.4	92.6	12.8	78.6	1.2
57		8.4	91.6	. 0	90.9	. 6
58		37.4	62.6	. 1	60.3	2.2
59		39.5	60.5	. 1	57.6	2.8
60		26.1	73.9	. 1	56.9	16.9
61		39.3	60.7	40.5	19.6	. 6
62		9.3	90.7	. 0	90.1	. 6
63	Gage Park	39.2	60.8	4.8	55.0	1.0
64		7.5	92.5	.0	91.7	. 7
65	West Lawn	10.8	89.2	. 2	88.1	. 9
66	Chicago Lawn	28.4	71.6	26.2	43.4	2.1
67	West Englewood	.6	99.4	98.1	1.1	. 2
68	Englewood	.5	99.5	98.8	. 4	. 3
69	Gr. Gr. Cross.	. 5	99.5	98.9	. 4	. 2
	Ashburn	6.3	93.7	10.0	82.6	1.1
71	Auburn Gresham	. 3	99.7	98.9	. 6	.1
	Beverly	1.8	98.2	24.0	73.5	.8
	Washington Hts	. 4	99.6	98.5	. 9	. 2
	Mt. Greenwood.	1.9	98.1	1.3	96.3	. 5
	Morgan Park	1.3	98.7	64.4	33.8	. 5
	O'Hare	4.6	95.4	2.8	89.7	2.9
	Edgewater	17.4	82.6	19.2	51.2	12.2

Note: Percent distribution reads across. Hispanic and Non-Hispanic sum to 100.0. White, Black and "Other" sum to Non-Hispanic. "Other" includes Asian and Pacific Islander (3.5%); American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut (.2%); and others (.1%).

This page intentionally left blank.

- -

TABLE 24

TOP TEN CHICAGO COMMUNITY AREAS RANKED BY
HISPANIC POPULATION AND PERCENT HISPANIC: 1990,
AND HISPANIC GROWTH: 1980 TO 1990

				:
		Hispanic Population 1990	Percent Hispanic 1990	Hispanic Growth 1980 to 1990
	mmunity Area mber - Name			
30	South Lawndale	1	2	2
22	Logan Square	2	4	2 3
	West Town	3	5	-
31	Lower West Side	1 2 3 4 5	2 4 5 1 6	
23	Humboldt Park	5	6	(-10)
61	New City	6	9	(=)
19	Belmont Cragin	6 7 8 9		1
20	Hermosa	8	3	1 4 9 5
14	Albany Park		-1	9
66	Chicago Lawn	10	=	5
52	East Side	51	7	-
59	McKinley Park	=	7 8	7
63	Gage Park	=	10	-
16	Irving Park	-	-	6
58	Brighton Park	IH.	A 18	8
21	Avondale	: =		10

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, P.L. 94-171 counts.

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

Some

TABLE 25
POPULATION OF SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN: 1990,
AND HISPANIC CHANGE 1980 TO 1990 FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

			Hispanic	Change
	Spanish	Hispanic	1980 to	1990
	Origin	Origin		× 5.551
Community Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Number-Name				rercenc
Chicago city	422,063	EVE OF5	***	120E CA
3 3 3 3	422,000	545,852	123,789	29.3
1 Rogers Park	6,621	12,005	5,384	81.3
2 West Ridge	2,266	5,398	3,132	138.2
3 Uptown	14,984	14,398	-586	-3.9
4 Lincoln Square	4,973	10,353	5,380	108.2
5 North Center	6,684	9,048	2,364	35.4
6 Lake View	18,333	12,932	-5,401	-29.5
7 Lincoln Park	5,991	3,981	-2,010	-33.6
8 Near N. Side	1,944	1,856	-88	-4.5
9 Edison Park	120	217	97	80.8
10 Norwood Park	390	1,005	615	
11 Jefferson Park	395	1,041	646	157.7
12 Forest Glen	295	583	288	163.5
13 North Park	846	1,481	635	97.6
14 Albany Park	9,074	15,738		75.1
15 Portage Park	1,486	4,419	6,664	73.4
16 Irving Park	4,267	12,222	2,933	197.4
17 Dunning	613	1,882	7,955	186.4
18 Montclare	179		1,269	207.0
19 Belmont Cragin	3,072	1,199	1,020	569.8
20 Hermosa	6,101	17,066	13,994	455.5
21 Avondale	6,863	15,923	9,822	161.0
22 Logan Square	43,829	13,359	6,496	94.7
23 Humboldt Park.		54,740	10,911	24.9
24 West Town	28,872	29,735	863	3.0
25 Austin	54,693	54,361	-332	6
26 W. Garfield Pk	8,148	4,154	-3,994	-49.0
27 E. Garfield Pk	278	133	-145	-52.2
28 Near West Side	263	151	-112	-42.6
29 North Lawndale	5,705	4,416	-1,289	-22.6
30 South Lawndale	1,653	1,471	-182	-11.0
31 Tower W Cid-	55,700	69,131	13,431	24.1
31 Lower W. Side. 32 Loop	34,867	40,227	5,360	15.4
	222	679	457	205.9
33 Near S. Side	108	108	0	.0
34 Armour Square.	603	471	-132	-21.9
35 Douglas	313	253	-60	-19.2
36 Oakland	97	34	-63	-64.9
37 Fuller Park	71	41	-30	-42.3
38 Grand Blvd	350	146	-204	-58.3

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 25 (Continued)

		-	-		
		Spanish	Hispanic	Hispanic 1980 to	
		Origin	Origin	2300 00	2,550
Co	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
	umber-Name				
(2) 8	FREEZE ESTENSE		55		
39	Kenwood	241	241	0	.0
40	Washington Pk.	205	48	-157	-76.6
41	Hyde Park	721	895	174	24.1
42	Woodlawn	285	178	-107	-37.5
43	South Shore	917	497	-420	-45.8
44	Chatham	271	198	-73	-26.9
	Avalon Park	109	81	-28	-25.7
46	South Chicago.	18,229	13,644	-4,585	-25.2
	Burnside	100	16	-84	-84.0
	Calumet Hts	1,481	920	-561	-37.9
	Roseland	550	283	-267	-48.5
	Pullman	642	780	138	21.5
51	South Deering.	4,763	5,038	275	5.8
	East Side	2,678	8,177	5,499	205.3
	West Pullman	2,309	1,771	-538	-23.3
54	Riverdale	176	150	-26	-14.8
	Hegewisch	718	1,290	572	79.7
	Garfield Ridge	1,566	2,509	943	60.2
57	Archer Heights	354	779	425	120.1
	Brighton Park.	4,539	12,044	7,505	165.3
	McKinley Park.	2,129	5,255	3,126	146.8
	Bridgeport	6,584	7,796	1,212	18.4
	New City	19,945	20,906	961	4.8
	West Elsdon	297	1,135	838	282.2
	Gage Park	2,701	10,574	7,873	291.5
	Clearing	916	1,615	699	76.3
	West Lawn	599	2,519	1,920	320.5
	Chicago Lawn	4,940	14,549	9,609	194.5
	West Englewood	686	331	-355	-51.7
	Englewood	497	231	-266	-53.5
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	266	189	-77	-28.9
	Ashburn	905	2,331	1,426	157.6
	Auburn Gresham	463	204	-259	-55.9
	Beverly	308	393	85	27.6
73	Washington Hts	177	140	-37	-20.9
	Mt. Greenwood.	154	362	208	135.1
	Morgan Park	280	340	60	21.4
	O'Hare	288	519	231	80.2
77	Edgewater	7,805	10,567	2,762	35.4
000		200222			13/5/15

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 26

SPANISH ORIGIN YOUTH: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN YOUTH 1990, AND HISPANIC YOUTH CHANGE: 1980 TO 1990 FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

euros.	ATTHOUGH BLEZIS OF	Spanish Origin Youth	Hispanic Origin Youth		Youth Change to 1990
	ommunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Nu	mber - Name	- ci			<u> </u>
Ch	icago city	169,903	200,545	30,642	18.0
	Rogers Park	2,014	3,921	1,907	94.7
2	West Ridge	691	1,593	902	130,5
3	Uptown	5,088	4,585	-503	-9.9
	Lincoln Square	1,604	3,260	1,656	103.2
	North Center	2,594	3,146	552	21.3
6	Lake View	6,307	3,457	-2,850	-45.2
	Lincoln Park	2,027	1,005	-1,022	-50.4
	Near N. Side	393	205	-188	-47.8
	Edison Park	36	56	20	55.6
	Norwood Park	125	282	157	125.6
	Jefferson Park	134	283	149	111.2
	Forest Glen	90	149	59	65.6
	North Park	236	420	184	78.0
14	Albany Park	3,374	5,454	2,080	61.6
15	Portage Park	448	1,385	937	209.2
1.6	Irving Park	1,491	4,104	2,613	175.3
17	Dunning	193	581	388	201.0
18	Montclare	53	399	346	652.8
	Belmont Cragin	1,117	6,070	4,953	443.4
20	Hermosa	2,433	6,057	3,624	149.0
21	Avondale	2,670	4,852	2,182	81.7
22	Logan Square	18,084	20,565	2,481	13.7
	Humboldt Park.	12,792	11,846	-946	-7.4
	West Town	24,127	21,313	-2,814	-11.7
	Austin	3,371	1,440	-1,931	-57.3
	W. Garfield Pk	124	48	-76	-61.3
27	E. Garfield Pk	118	38	-80	-67.8
	Near West Side	2,093	1,305	-788	-37.6
29	North Lawndale	743	592	-151	-20.3
30	South Lawndale	23,802	27,261	3,459	14.5
31	Lower W. Side.	14,695	16,009	1,314	8.9
32	Loop	21	19	-2	-9.5
33	Near S. Side	43	21	-22	-51.2
34	Armour Square.	198	113	-85	-42.9
35	Douglas	103	33	-70	-68.0
	Oakland	52	21	-31	-59.6
	Fuller Park	23	3	-20	-87.0
	Grand Blvd	163	46	-117	-71.8
		2.5			A175-7 A5

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 26 (Continued)

		-		-	
. No	androper medoro	Spanish Origin Youth	Hispanic Origin Youth	1980	Youth Change to 1990
	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
NU	mber - Name				
39	Kenwood	67	51	-16	-23.9
	Washington Pk.	73	14	-59	-80.8
41	Control of the Contro	136	142	6	4.4
	Woodlawn	103	62	-41	-39.8
43	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	287	123	-164	-57.1
	Chatham	59	45	-14	-23.7
	Avalon Park	40	14	-26	-65.0
	South Chicago.	7,623	5,148	-2,475	-32.5
	Burnside	42	4	-38	-90.5
	Calumet Hts	565	269	-296	-52.4
	Roseland	192	81	-111	-57.8
	Pullman	253	302	49	19.4
	South Deering.	1,977	1,827	-150	-7.6
	East Side	1,097	3,286	2,189	199.5
	West Pullman	1,004	749	-255	-25.4
	Riverdale	93	65	-28	-30.1
	Hegewisch	286	462	176	61.5
	Garfield Ridge	536	823	287	53.5
	Archer Heights	117	238	121	103.4
	Brighton Park.	1,744	4,799	3,055	175.2
	McKinley Park.	835	2,020	1,185	141.9
	Bridgeport	2,649	2,923	274	10.3
	New City	8,792	8,621	-171	-1.9
	West Elsdon	117	394	277	236.8
	Gage Park	1,135	4,106	2,971	261.8
	Clearing	338	536	198	58.6
	West Lawn	214	906	692	323.4
	Chicago Lawn	2,101	5,772	3,671	174.7
	West Englewood	285	117	-168	-58.9
	Englewood	207	68	-139	-67.1
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	76	60	-16	-21.1
	Ashburn	376	871	495	131.6
	Auburn Gresham	167	57	-110	-65.9
72	Beverly	137	148	11	8.0
	Washington Hts	65	47	-18	-27.7
	Mt. Greenwood.	60	154	94	156.7
75	Morgan Park	97	108	11	11.3
	O'Hare	71	92	21	29.6
	Edgewater	2,217	3,104	887	40.0

Note: Youth is defined as persons under 18 years of age.

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 27 SPANISH ORIGIN ADULTS: 1980 AND HISPANIC ORIGIN ADULTS: 1990, AND HISPANIC ADULTS CHANGE: 1980 TO 1990 FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

		Spanish	Fignania	174	and the second
		Origin	Hispanic		Adults Change
		Adults	Origin Adults	1980	to 1990
Co	mmunity Area	1980		WASHINGTON TO	
	mber - Name	1980	1990	Number	Percent
1114	mber wante				
Ch	icago city	252,160	345,307	93,147	36.9
1	Rogers Park	4,607	8,084	3,477	75.5
	West Ridge	1,575	3,805	2,230	141.6
	Uptown	9,896	9,813	-83	8
	Lincoln Square	3,369	7,093	3,724	110.5
	North Center	4,090	5,902	1,812	44.3
	Lake View	12,026	9,475	-2,551	
	Lincoln Park	3,964	2,976	-2,931	-21.2
	Near N. Side	1,551	1,651		-24.9
	Edison Park	84	161	100	6.4
	Norwood Park	265		77	91.7
	Jefferson Park	And the second	723	458	172.8
	Forest Glen	261	758	497	190.4
	North Park	205	434	229	111.7
		610	1,061	451	73.9
	Albany Park	5,700	10,284	4,584	80.4
	Portage Park	1,038	3,034	1,996	192.3
10	Irving Park	2,776	8,118	5,342	192.4
1/	Dunning	420	1,301	881	209.8
10	Montclare	126	800	674	534.9
	Belmont Cragin	1,955	10,996	9,041	462.5
	Hermosa	3,668	9,866	6,198	169.0
	Avondale	4,193	8,507	4,314	102.9
	Logan Square	25,745	34,175	8,430	32.7
	Humboldt Park.	16,080	17,889	1,809	11.3
	West Town	30,566	33,048	2,482	8.1
	Austin	4,777	2,714	-2,063	-43.2
	W. Garfield Pk	154	85	-69	-44.8
	E. Garfield Pk	145	113	-32	-22.1
	Near West Side	3,612	3,111	-501	-13.9
	North Lawndale	910	879	-31	-3.4
	South Lawndale	31,898	41,870	9,972	31.3
	Lower W. Side.	20,172	24,218	4,046	20.1
	Loop	201	660	459	228.4
	Near S. Side	65	87	22	33.8
34	Armour Square.	405	358	-47	-11.6
35	Douglas	210	220	10	4.8
36	Oakland	45	13	-32	-71.1
	Fuller Park	48	38	-10	-20.8
	Grand Blvd	187	100	-87	-46.5

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 27 (Continued)

		Spanish	Hispanic	Hispanic .	Adults Change
		Origin	Origin		to 1990
		Adults	Adults	2000	
Co	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
	mber - Name	**			
	•)		8:		
39	Kenwood	174	190	16	9.2
	Washington Pk.	132	34	-98	-74.2
	Hyde Park	585	753	168	28.7
	Woodlawn	182	116	-66	-36.3
43	Control of the Contro	630	374	-256	-40.6
	Chatham	212	153	-59	-27.8
		69	67	-2	-2.9
45				-2,110	-19.9
	South Chicago.	10,606	8,496		-79.3
	Burnside	58	12	-46	
	Calumet Hts	916	651	-265	-28.9
	Roseland	358	202	-156	-43.6
	Pullman	389	478	89	22.9
	South Deering.	2,786	3,211	425	15.3
	East Side	1,581	4,891	3,310	209.4
Charles	West Pullman	1,305	1,022	-283	-21.7
	Riverdale	83	85	2	2.4
	Hegewisch	432	828	396	91.7
56	Garfield Ridge	1,030	1,686	656	63.7
57	Archer Heights	237	541	304	128.3
58	Brighton Park.	2,795	7,245	4,450	159.2
59	McKinley Park.	1,294	3,235	1,941	150.0
60	Bridgeport	3,935	4,873	938	23.8
61	New City	11,153	12,285	1,132	10.1
62	West Elsdon	180	741	561	311.7
63	Gage Park	1,566	6,468	4,902	313.0
64	Clearing	578	1,079	501	86.7
65	West Lawn	385	1,613	1,228	319.0
66	Chicago Lawn	2,839	8,777	5,938	209.2
	West Englewood	401	214	-187	-46.6
	Englewood	290	163	-127	-43.8
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	190	129	-61	-32.1
	Ashburn	529	1,460	931	176.0
	Auburn Gresham	296	147	-149	-50.3
	Beverly	171	245	74	43.3
	Washington Hts	112	93	-19	-17.0
	Mt. Greenwood.	94	208	114	121.3
	Morgan Park	183	232	49	26.8
	O'Hare	217	427	210	96.8
	Edgewater	5,588	7,463	1,875	33.6
36.78	Lugendoct	2/300	16 1 mark c		- Table 1988

Note: Adult is defined as persons 18 years of age and older.

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 28
CHICAGO COMMUNITY AREAS RANKED BY THE
NUMBER OF HISPANICS BY AGE: 1990,
PERCENT OF TOTAL POPULATION HISPANIC: 1990,
HISPANIC CHANGE BY AGE: 1980 TO 1990

			umber ispani		% of Total Pop.		anic Cl	
Co	mmunity Area	Total	Youth	Adult	Hisp.	Total	Youth	Adult
Nu	mber - Name		-				300000000000000000000000000000000000000	110000
1	Rogers Park	17	17	16	23	12	12	13
2	West Ridge	24	26	24	3.3	15	17	16
3	Uptown	11	14	10	22	72	70	63
4	Lincoln Square.	20	20	19	21	13	13	12
5	North Center	21	21	21	18	19	20	20
6	Lake View	14	18	11	25	77	77	77
7	Lincoln Park	30	30	29	38	74	73	74
8	Near North Side	35	47	32	51	56	67	42
9	Edison Park	62	63	62	53	42	37	44
10	Norwood Park	44	44	45	52	3.2	30	33
11	Jefferson Park.	43	43	42	44	3.0	31	31
12	Forest Glen	50	49	50	48	36	34	38
13	North Park	38	39	37	31	31	28	34
14	Albany Park	9	1.0	8	14	9	11	8
15	Portage Park	27	28	28	35	17	16	17
16	Irving Park	15	16	15	20	6	7	6
17	Dunning	34	36	35	42	22	22	26
18	Montclare	41	40	41	27	24	23	27
19	Belmont Cragin.	7	7	7	15	1	1	2
20	Hermosa	8	8	9	3	4	3	4
21		13	12	13	11	10	10	10
22	Logan Square	2	3	2	4	3	8	3
23	Humboldt Park		5	5	6	27	7.2	21
24	West Town	3	2	3	5	67	76	15
25	Austin		27	30	47	75	74	75
26	W. Garfield Pk.		65	72	65	60	55	62
27	E. Garfield Pk.	67	69	67	66	58	56	55
28	Near West Side.	28	29	27	29	73	71	73
29	North Lawndale.	39	35	39	49	62	63	54
30	South Lawndale.	1	1	1	2	2	4	1
31	Lower West Side	4	4	4	1	14	14	11
32	Loop	49	73	46	40	34	41	32
33	Near South Side		71	70	56	45	47	47
34	Armour Square	53	54	53	44	59	57	58
35			70	56	61	51	54	49
36	Oakland	76	72	76	74	52	50	55
	Fuller Park	75	77	74	60	49	46	52
38	Grand Boulevard	69	67	68	73	63	60	64

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 28 (Continued)

		Number Hispan		% of Total Pop.	the second secon	anic Ch	the state of the s
Community Area Number - Name	Total	Youth	Adult	Hisp.	Total	Youth	Adult
39 Kenwood	60	64	60	58	45	43	48
40 Washington Park	74	74	75	77	61	53	65
41 Hyde Park		51	43	49	40	40	40
42 Woodlawn		60	66	64	57	52	61
43 South Shore		52	52	61	69	64	70
44 Chatham		68	63	70	53	42	59
45 Avalon Park		74	73	63	48	48	51
46 South Chicago.		11	14	13	76	75	76
47 Burnside		76	77	69	55	51	57
48 Calumet Heights		45	47	41	71	69	71
49 Roseland	114	57	59	68	66	59	68
50 Pullman		42	49	33	41	35	43
51 South Deering	227270	25	26	16	37	62	35
52 East Side		19	22	7	11	9	14
53 West Pullman		34	38	44	70	68	72
54 Riverdale		59	71	57	47	49	50
55 Hegewisch		38	40	26	33	29	36
56 Garfield Ridge.		33	31	37	26	24	28
57 Archer Heights.		46	48	32	35	32	37
58 Brighton Park		13	18	12	8	5	9
59 McKinley Park.		24	25	8	16	15	18
60 Bridgeport		23	23	19	23	26	24
61 New City		6	6	9	25	66	23
62 West Elsdon		41	44	30	28	25	29
63 Gage Park		15	20	10	7	6	7
64 Clearing		37	36	36	29	27	30
65 West Lawn		31	33	28	20	19	22
66 Chicago Lawn		9	12	16	5	2	5
67 West Englewood.		53	57	67	68	65	69
68 Englewood		58	61	71	65	61	66
69 Gr. Gr. Cross		61	65	72	54	43	60
70 Ashburn		32	34	39	21	21	25
71 Auburn Gresham.		62	64	76	64	58	67
72 Beverly		50	54	55	43	38	45
73 Washington Hts.		66	69	75	. 50	4.5	
74 Mount Greenwood		48	58	53	39	33-	41
	1.7	55	55	58	44	38	46
75 Morgan Park	1 4 5	56	51	43	38	36	39
76 O'Hare		22	17	24	18	18	19
77 Edgewater	13	22	7.4	A-75	10		4

Notes: Youth is defined as persons under 18 years of age.
Adult is defined as persons 18 years of age and older.
Community areas which are tied have the same rank.

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts, and City of Chicago, Department of Planning, Areas at Risk: Chicago's Potential Undercount in the 1990 Census. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 29
TOTAL HOUSING UNITS: 1980 AND 1990, AND TOTAL HOUSING UNIT
CHANGE 1980 TO 1990 FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

	-		Total	Housing
	Total Hou	sing Units		Change to 1990
Community Taxa	27 (05-0)	<u> </u>	547.35-11	175 277
Community Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Number - Name				**
Chicago city	1,174,706	1,133,039	-41,667	-3.5
1 Rogers Park	28,401	27,770	-631	-2.2
2 West Ridge	26,081	26,130	49	. 2
3 Uptown	33,727	31,956	-1,771	-5.3
4 Lincoln Square	19,459	19,278	-181	9
5 North Center	14,977	14,728	-249	-1.7
б Lake View	56,836	57,690	854	1.5
7 Lincoln Park	35,336	37,750	2,414	6.8
8 Near North Side.	41,403	45,487	4,084	9.9
9 Edison Park	4,778	4,810	32	. 7
10 Norwood Park	15,182	15,533	351	2.3
11 Jefferson Park	10,179	10,336	157	1.5
12 Forest Glen	6,911	7,044	133	1.9
13 North Park	5,586	6,007	421	7.5
14 Albany Park	17,123	17,036	-87	4.5
15 Portage Park	23,427	23,696	269	1,1
16 Irving Park	21,358	20,860	-498	-2.3
17 Dunning	14,162	14,376	214	1.5
18 Montclare	4,328	4,180	-148	-3.4
19 Belmont Cragin	22,202	21,291	-911	-4.1
20 Hermosa	7,373	7,275	-98	-1.3
21 Avondale	13,994	13,828	-166	-1.2
22 Logan Square	32,538	29,797	-2,741	-8.4
23 Humboldt Park	23,771	20,377	-3,394	-14.3
24 West Town	36,825	34,368	-2,457	-6.7
25 Austin	44,771	38,030	-6,741	-15.1
26 W. Garfield Park	9,583	7,934	-1,649	-17.2
27 E. Garfield Park	10,945	8,531	-2,414	-22.1
28 Near West Side	20,074	21,543	1,469	7.3
29 North Lawndale	18,595	15,686	-2,909	-15.6
30 South Lawndale	20,941	20,030	-911	-4.4
31 Lower West Side.	14,701	13,869	-832	-5.7
32 Loop	4,334	8,388	4,054	93.5
33 Near South Side.	2,488	3,123	635	25.5
34 Armour Square	4,684	4,385	-299	-6.4
35 Douglas	15,173	14,964	-209	-1.4
36 Oakland	5,210	4,335	-875	-16.8
37 Fuller Park	2,028	1,750	-278	-13.7
38 Grand Boulevard.	20,868	16,409	-4,459	-21.4
	20,000	70,403	-4,439	64.4

Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 29 (Continued)

		Total Hous	ing Units	Unit	Housing Change to 1990
Co	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
	mber - Name		3.		
39	Kenwood	11,270	10,127	-1,143	-10.1
40	Washington Park.	12,091	9,124	-2,967	-24.5
41	Hyde Park	15,510	15,116	-394	-2.5
42	Woodlawn	15,824	13,109	-2,715	-17.2
43	South Shore	34,166	29,686	-4,480	-13.1
44	Chatham	17,141	17,234	93	. 5
45	THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	4,302	4,152	-150	-3.5
46	South Chicago	15,628	14,866	-762	-4.9
47	The state of the s	1,114	1,082	-32	-2.9
	Calumet Heights.	6,322	6,100	-222	-3.5
	Roseland	18,777	18,317	-460	-2.4
50	Pullman	3,527	3,589	62	1.8
51	South Deering	5,804	5,855	51	. 9
52	East Side	7,756	7,498	-258	-3.3
53	West Pullman	12,286	11,919	-367	-3.0
54	Riverdale	3,505	3,251	-254	-7.2
55	Hegewisch	4,365	4,149	-216	-4.9
56	이 집에 가면서 좀 하면 그렇게 보고 있었다. 그 이 그들이 있는데 이 이 없는데 하는데 하다 하다.	12,749	12,720	-29	2
57	그가 하면 없는 얼굴에 가게하는데 그 아프라를 잃었다. 얼마는 사람이 얼마나를 살아 먹었다.	3,787	3,737	-50	-1.3
58	Brighton Park	12,767	12,345	-422	-3.3
59	McKinley Park	5,234	5,130	-104	-2.0
60	Bridgeport	12,288	11,975	-313	-2.5
61	New City	18,609	16,800	-1,809	-9.7
62	West Elsdon	4,910	4,948	38	. 8
63	Gage Park	9,603	9,403	-200	-2.1
64	Clearing	8,300	8,493	193	2.3
65	West Lawn	9,153	8,952	-201	-2.2
66	Chicago Lawn	18,168	17,834	-334	-1.8
67	West Englewood	16,986	14,878	-2,108	-12.4
68	Englewood	19,309	16,916	-2,393	-12.4
69	Gr. Gr. Crossing	17,672	16,600	-1,072	-6.1
70	Ashburn	12,875	13,026	151	1.2
71	Auburn Gresham	20,126	20,068	-58	3
72	Beverly	7,885	7,921	36	.5
73	Washington Hts	10,245	10,174	-71	7
74	Mount Greenwood.	6,813	7,024	211	3.1
75	Morgan Park	9,121	8,809	-312	-3.4
76	O'Hare	5,740	6,088	348	6.1
77	Edgewater	32,626	33,444	818	2.5

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and 1990 P.L. 94-171 counts. Latino Institute Research, April 1991.

TABLE 30 HISPANIC POPULATION BY TYPE OF ORIGIN FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA: 1990

20 Chang 51-4			Туре	of Origi	n	
Chicago city 545,852 352,560 119,866 10,044 63,382 1 Rogers Park 12,005 8,437 778 346 2,444 2 West Ridge 5,398 1,904 643 687 2,164 3 Uptown 14,398 8,433 2,115 510 3,340 4 Lincoln Square 10,353 4,562 1,575 618 3,598 5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park. 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park. 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park. 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park. 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park. 12,222 5,394 3,293 552 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 14 Norodale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 21 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 20 Carriellar Park 471 368 19 4 80 30 Goaland 34 13 15 0 6			Mexican		Cuban	Other
1 Rogers Park 12,005 8,437 778 346 2,444 2 West Ridge 5,398 1,904 643 687 2,164 3 Uptown 14,398 8,433 2,115 510 3,340 4 Lincoln Square 10,353 4,562 1,575 618 3,598 5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 553 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 10 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 10 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 10 Hermosa 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 13 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 14 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 15 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 16 29 18 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 10 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 10 South Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 11 South Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 12 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 13 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 14 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 15 Douglas 253 106 34 33 110 16 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6	Number - Name					
2 West Ridge 5,398 1,904 643 687 2,164 3 Uptown 14,398 8,433 2,115 510 3,340 4 Lincoln Square 10,353 4,562 1,575 618 3,598 5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 19 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 11 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 14 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 North Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6	Chicago city	545,852	352,560	119,866	10,044	63,382
2 West Ridge 5,398 1,904 643 687 2,164 3 Uptown 14,398 8,433 2,115 510 3,340 4 Lincoln Square 10,353 4,562 1,575 618 3,598 5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,445 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 19 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 11 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 14 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 North Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6	1 Rogers Park	12,005	8.437	778	346	2 777
3 Uptown	2 West Ridge		\$45. W. SERVER ST.			
4 Lincoln Square 5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 688 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 29 Noar West Side 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 30 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 31 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Fuller Park 34 13 15 0 68	3 Uptown		Company Annual Company			
5 North Center 9,048 4,652 2,656 223 1,517 6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park. 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 18 Montclare 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 12 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 14 Mumboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 29 109 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 109 109 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	4 Lincoln Square		10114 - 3-20 32 4		12" (F110) 2 July 1	
6 Lake View 12,932 6,894 2,789 551 2,698 7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Trving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 10 Hermosa 15,933 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 F. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6	5 North Center		The second secon		Printer 1987	
7 Lincoln Park 3,981 2,138 1,002 157 684 8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Trving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 154 Portage Park 1,199 703 299 43 154 159 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 169 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 12 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 13 13 89 38 0 6 29 15 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	6 Lake View	and the second s				and the second second
8 Near N. Side 1,856 902 301 120 533 9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park. 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Trving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 18 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 12 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 12 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 14 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 1,173 5,498 14 Mest Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 14 Mest Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 15 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 89 38 0 6 6 19 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 North Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 11 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 126 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	7 Lincoln Park	The second secon		The state of the s	7.8717	
9 Edison Park 217 128 25 16 48 10 Norwood Park 1,005 466 224 77 238 11 Jefferson Park 1,041 417 256 80 288 12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 47 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8			E A STATE OF THE S			
10 Norwood Park.	9 Edison Park	The state of the s				
11 Jefferson Park	10 Norwood Park.	10.07				
12 Forest Glen 583 167 134 92 190 13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8		(1.1 1.01 1.25 7	6.18180.000			
13 North Park 1,481 470 280 199 532 14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	12 Forest Glen					
14 Albany Park 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 4,446 15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6						
15 Portage Park 4,419 1,940 1,347 203 929 16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	14 Albany Park					
16 Irving Park 12,222 5,394 3,293 522 3,013 17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	15 Portage Park			2.15/ -17/20/		
17 Dunning 1,882 911 538 74 359 18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	16 Trying Park	The second secon				
18 Montclare 1,199 703 299 43 154 19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6	17 Dunning		to the contract of the contrac			
19 Belmont Cragin 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 2,319 20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 8	18 Montolare			THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	0.00	
20 Hermosa 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 1,694 21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 8	19 Belmont Cross		The second secon		2000 (1994)	
21 Avondale 13,359 6,230 4,836 417 1,876 22 Logan Square. 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8					346	2,319
22 Logan Square 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 5,498 23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8					190	1,694
23 Humboldt Park. 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 2,540 24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 8	21 Avondate	The state of the s			417	1,876
24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	22 Logan Square.				1,173	5,498
24 West Town 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 3,828 25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	23 numboldt Park.			15,331	295	
25 Austin 4,154 2,156 1,184 131 683 26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 253 106 34 3 110 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8			27,541	22,626	366	
26 W. Garfield Pk 133 89 38 0 6 27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	25 Austin		2,156	1,184	131	The second second second second second
27 E. Garfield Pk 151 74 32 16 29 28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8			89	38	0	
28 Near West Side 4,416 3,474 500 41 401 29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	27 E. Garfield Pk	151	74	32		
29 North Lawndale 1,471 1,196 166 0 109 30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8		4,416	3,474	500		
30 South Lawndale 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 2,636 31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8		1,471	1,196			
31 Lower W. Side. 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 1,887 32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side. 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8		69,131				14 CO 15 CO
32 Loop 679 271 177 105 126 33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	31 Lower W. Side,					
33 Near S. Side 108 24 10 7 67 34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	32 Loop			1 TO THE RESERVE OF T		
34 Armour Square. 471 368 19 4 80 35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	33 Near S. Side	108				
35 Douglas 253 106 34 3 110 36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	34 Armour Square.					
36 Oakland 34 13 15 0 6 37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	35 Douglas				3	
37 Fuller Park 41 30 3 0 8	36 Oakland				6	
30 Chang Bl4	37 Fuller Park					
CO	38 Grand Blvd	146	57	15	5	69

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 30 (Continued)

Type o	of O	rig	in
--------	------	-----	----

Cor	nmunity Area	Hispanic Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other
Nu	mber - Name					
20	Kenwood	241	109	21	15	96
	Washington Pk.	48	17	10	6	15
	Hyde Park	895	287	116	53	439
	Woodlawn	178	57	54	7	60
	South Shore	497	201	90	28	178
		198	49	43	34	72
	Chatham	81	26	13	7	35
	Avalon Park	Sec. 2011 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	11,713	983	44	904
	South Chicago.	13,644	2	1	7	6
	Burnside	16	725	109	3	83
-	Calumet Hts	920	115	50	33	85
40.00	Roseland	283	675	30	3	72
	Pullman	780	4,603	201	6	228
	South Deering.	5,038	7,379	470	5	323
	East Side	8,177	1,533	82	8	148
	West Pullman.,	1,771 150	102	16	ĭ	31
E 20 P.	Riverdale		1,140	59	4	87
	Hegewisch	1,290	2,087	181	18	223
	Garfield Ridge	2,509	595	33	15	136
	Archer Heights	779	10,893	517	42	592
58		12,044	4,748	252	18	237
	McKinley Park.	5,255	6,806	386	7	597
	Bridgeport	7,796		1,171	18	1,288
	New City	20,906	18,429 966	72	4	93
	West Elsdon	1,135	8,967	908	34	665
	Gage Park	10,574	1,219	178	25	193
	Clearing	1,615		168	17	220
85,700	West Lawn	2,519	2,114	1,552	34	1,157
	Chicago Lawn	14,549	11,806	83	0	70
67	West Englewood		178	55	4	79
68	Englewood	231	93	38	3	71
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	189	77	227	18	288
	Ashburn	2,331	1,798	36	7	84
	Auburn Gresham	204	77	42	7	88
72	Beverly	393	256 64	39	19	18
	Washington Hts	140		29	7	47
	Mt. Greenwood.	362	279	51	15	69
	Morgan Park	340	205	108	58	161
100	O'Hare	519	192		930	2,997
77	Edgewater	10,567	5,576	1,064	930	21331

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A. Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 31
HISPANIC POPULATION OF MEXICAN ORIGIN: 1980 AND 1990,
AND MEXICAN ORIGIN CHANGE 1980 TO 1990
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

				The SECRET HOUSE LOSS SERVICE	
		ESSENTE MODELLE CO	W.A. 5 VO. 4 A ST 1 TO THE		Change
		Mexican	Mexican	1980 t	0 1990
	entral to page ye haven	Origin	Origin		
	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Nu	mber - Name				
Ch	icago city	255,802	352,560	96,758	37.8
1	Rogers Park	4,071	8,437	4,366	107.2
	West Ridge	484	1,904	1,420	293.4
	Uptown	7,842	8,433	591	7.5
4	Lincoln Square	1,654	4,562	2,908	
	North Center	2,588	10 E 22 Jan 199		175.8
	Lake View	2.57 (8) (7.5)	4,652	2,064	79.8
		8,079	6,894	-1,185	-14.7
	Lincoln Park	2,963	2,138	-825	-27.8
	Near N. Side	1,089	902	-187	-17.2
	Edison Park	69	128	59	85.5
	Norwood Park	156	466	310	198.7
	Jefferson Park	169	417	248	146.7
	Forest Glen	95	167	72	75.8
	North Park	203	470	267	131.5
14	Albany Park	3,250	7,449	4,199	129.2
15	Portage Park	720	1,940	1,220	169.4
16	Irving Park	1,746	5,394	3,648	208.9
	Dunning	275	911	636	231.3
18	Montclare	7.8	703	625	801.3
	Belmont Cragin	1,321	7,508	6,187	468.4
	Hermosa	2,011	6,112	4,101	203.9
	Avondale	2,521	6,230	3,709	147.1
	Logan Square	14,961	22,319		
	Humboldt Park.	8,876		7,358	49.2
	West Town	The second secon	11,569	2,693	30.3
		23,477	27,541	4,064	17.3
	Austin	3,824	2,156	-1,668	-43.6
	W. Garfield Pk	178	89	-89	-50.0
	E. Garfield Pk	225	74	-151	-67.1
	Near West Side	4,794	3,474	-1,320	-27.5
	North Lawndale	1,411	1,196	-215	-15.2
	South Lawndale	51,208	64,114	12,906	25.2
31	Lower W. Side.	32,178	37,184	5,006	15.6
32	Loop	121	271	150	124.0
33	Near S. Side	48	24	-24	-50.0
34	Armour Square.	549	368	-181	-33.0
35	Douglas	148	106	-42	-28.4
	Oakland	48	13	-35	-72.9
	Fuller Park	53	30	-23	-43.4
	Grand Blvd	275	57	-218	-79.3
		47.0	200	-210	- F. J. 13

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 31 (Continued)

		-				
		Mexican	Mexican	Mexican Change 1980 to 1990		
	ommunity Area ımber - Name	Origin 1980	Origin 1990	Number	Percent	
100	mber wane					
39	Kenwood	105	109	4	3.8	
	Washington Pk.	127	17	-110	-86.6	
	Hyde Park	225	287	62	27.6	
	Woodlawn	150	57	-93	-62.0	
	South Shore		201	-104	-34.1	
	Chatham	98	49	-49	-50.0	
	Avalon Park		26	-24	-48.0	
	South Chicago.	15,960	11,713	-4,247	-26.6	
47		91	2	-89	-97.8	
1.5	Calumet Hts	1,258	725	-533	-42.4	
	Roseland	356	115	-241	-67.7	
	Pullman	552	675	123	22.3	
	South Deering.	4,445	4,603	158	3.6	
	East Side	2,384	7,379	4,995	209.5	
	West Pullman	2,006	1,533	-473	-23.6	
54	Riverdale	147	102	-45	-30.6	
	Hegewisch	582	1,140	558	95.9	
	Garfield Ridge	1,205	2,087	882	73.2	
57	Archer Heights	278	595	317	114.0	
58	Brighton Park.	3,947	10,893	6,946	176.0	
	McKinley Park.	1,952	4,748	2,796	143.2	
60	Bridgeport	5,952	6,806	854	14.3	
61	New City	17,234	18,429	1,195	6.9	
	West Elsdon	218	966	748	343.1	
	Gage Park	2,134	8,967	6,833	320.2	
	Clearing	715	1,219	504	70.5	
65	West Lawn	469	2,114	1,645	350.7	
66	Chicago Lawn	3,797	11,806	8,009	210.9	
67	I STATE OF THE STA		178	-214	-54.6	
68	Englewood	257	93	-164	-63.8	
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	135	77	-58	-43.0	
70	Ashburn	654	1,798	1,144	174.9	
71		225	77	-148	-65.8	
72	Beverly	206	256	50	-24.3	
	Washington Hts	88	64	-24	-27.3	
	Mt. Greenwood.	104	279	175	168.3	
	Morgan Park	188	205	17	9.0	
	0'Hare	109	192	83	76.1	
77	Edgewater	2,944	5,576	2,632	89.4	

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A.

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 32
HISPANIC POPULATION OF PUERTO RICAN ORIGIN: 1980 AND 1990,
AND PUERTO RICAN ORIGIN CHANGE 1980 TO 1990
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

	ommunity Area umber - Name	Puerto Rican Origin	Puerto Rican	Puerto Cha	Rican
		Rican			
			4 4 4 4 4 4 4		0 1990
			Origin	5500	0 1550
		1980	1990	Number	Downont
				Number	Percent
Ch	icago city	112,074	119,866	7,792	7.0
			A/1455	11,122	7.0
1	Rogers Park	418	778	360	86.1
2	West Ridge	253	643	390	154.2
3	Uptown	2,888	2,115	-773	-26.8
4	Lincoln Square	736	1,575	839	114.0
5	North Center	2,312	2,656	344	14.9
	Lake View	6,166	2,789	-3,377	-54.8
7	Lincoln Park	2,117	1,002	-1,115	-52.7
8	Near N. Side	339	301	-38	-11.2
9	Edison Park	8	25	17	212.5
10	Norwood Park	67	224	157	234.3
11	Jefferson Park	54	256	202	374.1
	Forest Glen	38	134	96	252.6
13	North Park	141	280	139	98.6
	Albany Park	2,357	3,197	840	35.6
	Portage Park.,	253	1,347	1,094	432.4
	Irving Park	1,152	3,293	2,141	185.9
	Dunning	125	538	413	330.4
18	Montclare	32	299	267	834.4
19	Belmont Cragin	963	6,893	5,930	615.8
20	Hermosa	3,374	7,927	4,553	134.9
21	Avondale	3,087	4,836	1,749	56.7
22	Logan Square	23,792	25,750	1,958	8.2
23	Humboldt Park.	17,769	15,331	-2,438	-13.7
24	West Town	28,469	22,626	-5,843	
	Austin	2,805	1,184	-1,621	-20.5 -57.8
	W. Garfield Pk	18	38	20	111.1
	E. Garfield Pk	13	32		
	Near West Side	494	500	19	146.2
	North Lawndale	123	166	6 43	1.2
	South Lawndale	2,428	2,221		35.0
	Lower W. Side.	1,557	1,099	-207	-8.5
	Loop	28		-458	-29.4
	Near S. Side	31	177	149	532.1
34	Armour Square.	- 1	10	-21	→67.7
35	Douglas	10	19	9	90.0
36	Oakland	24	34	10	41.7
37	Fuller Park	16	15	-1	-6.3
	Grand Blvd	0	3	3 6	.0
3.0	ording Dryders.	9	15	6	66.7

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 32 (Continued)

				Puerto	Rican
		Puerto	Puerto	Cha	inge
		Rican	Rican	1980 t	0 1990
		origin	Origin		
CO	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
	mber - Name				
I u	mper name		ři.		
39	Kenwood	36	21	-15	-41.7
40	Washington Pk.	16	10	-6	-37.5
41	Hyde Park	91	116	25	27.5
42	Woodlawn	32	54	22	68.8
43	South Shore	99	90	-9	-9.1
	Chatham	40	43	3	7.5
	Avalon Park	11	13	2	18.2
	South Chicago.	1,486	983	-503	-33.8
	Burnside	1	1	0	.0
	Calumet Hts	122	109	-13	-10.7
	Roseland	46	50	4	8.7
	Pullman	12	30	18	150.0
	South Deering.	173	201	28	16.2
	East Side	134	470	336	250.7
		89	82	-7	-7.9
	West Pullman	2	16	14	700.0
	Riverdale	42	59	17	40.5
	Hegewisch		181	79	77.5
	Garfield Ridge	102	33	7	26.9
	Archer Heights	26		301	139.4
	Brighton Park.	216	517	186	281.8
	McKinley Park.	66	252		Committee of the Commit
	Bridgeport	323	386	63	19.5
	New City	1,812	1,171	-641	-35.4
	West Elsdon	37	72	35	94.6
63	Gage Park	339	908	569	167.8
64	Clearing	52	178	126	242.3
65	West Lawn	23	168	145	630.4
66	Chicago Lawn	663	1,552	889	134.1
	West Englewood	184	83	-101	-54.9
	Englewood	116	55	-61	-52.6
	Gr. Gr. Cross.	30	38	8	26.7
	Ashburn	73	227	154	211.0
	Auburn Gresham	35	36	1	2.9
	Beverly	15	42	27	180.0
	Washington Hts	30	39	9	30.0
	Mt. Greenwood.	16	29	13	81.3
	Morgan Park	18	51	33	183.3
	O'Hare	46	108	62	134.8
	Edgewater	954	1,064	110	11.5
11	rudewarer	334	1,004		

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A.

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 33
HISPANIC POPULATION OF CUBAN ORIGIN: 1980 AND 1990,
AND CUBAN ORIGIN CHANGE 1980 TO 1990
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

	Cuban	Cuban		Change
	Origin	Origin	1900 (0 1990
Community Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
Number - Name	2500	1990	Number	Percent
Parameter Ferrance				
Chicago city	11,513	10,044	-1,469	-12.8
1 Rogers Park	561	346	-215	-38.3
2 West Ridge	549	687	138	25.1
3 Uptown	847	510	-337	-39.8
4 Lincoln Square	685	618	-67	-9.8
5 North Center	376	223	-153	-40.7
6 Lake View	859	551	-308	-35.9
7 Lincoln Park	147	157	10	6.8
8 Near N. Side	90	120	30	33.3
9 Edison Park	7	16	9	128.6
10 Norwood Park	34	77	43	
11 Jefferson Park	39	80	41	126.5
12 Forest Glen	67	92		105.1
13 North Park			25	37.3
14 Albany Park	205	199	-6	-2.9
15 Portage Park	947	646	-301	-31.8
	148	203	55	37.2
16 Irving Park	301	522	221	73.4
17 Dunning	42	74	32	76.2
18 Montclare	21	43	22	104.8
19 Belmont Cragin	203	346	143	70.4
20 Hermosa	172	190	18	10.5
21 Avondale	386	417	31	8.0
22 Logan Square	1,590	1,173	-417	-26.2
23 Humboldt Park.	318	295	-23	-7.2
24 West Town	376	366	-10	-2.7
25 Austin	209	131	-78	-37.3
26 W. Garfield Pk	10	0	-10	-100.0
27 E. Garfield Pk	6	16	10	166.7
28 Near West Side	46	41	-5	-10.9
29 North Lawndale	28	0	-28	-100.0
30 South Lawndale	126	160	34	27.0
31 Lower W. Side.	44	57	13	29.5
32 Loop	22.5	105	94	854.5
33 Near S. Side	5	7	2	40.0
34 Armour Square.	9	4	-5	-55.6
35 Douglas	20	3	-17	-85.0
36 Oakland	O	3	Ó	.0
37 Fuller Park	o	o	ŏ	
38 Grand Blvd	10	5	+5	-50.0
THE PERSONAL PROPERTY IS	- W	LHK	13.4	~~~

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 33 (Continued)

	-			
	Cuban	Cuban		Change to 1990
Community Area	Origin a 1980	Origin 1990	Number	Percent
Number - Name	100	- 100 (100 Mg)	21 314143 31 22	
39 Kenwood	8	15	7	87.5
40 Washington	Pk. 5	6	1	20.0
41 Hyde Park.		53	13	32.5
42 Woodlawn		7	-6	-46.2
43 South Shore		28	-5	-15.2
44 Chatham		34	9	36.0
45 Avalon Parl		7	-1	-12.5
46 South Chica		44	10	29.4
47 Burnside		7	4	133.3
48 Calumet Hts		3	-11	-78.6
49 Roseland		33	15	83.3
50 Pullman			0	.0
51 South Deer:	ing. 7	3 6 5 8	-1	-14.3
52 East Side.		5	4	400.0
53 West Pullma		8	-8	-50.0
54 Riverdale.		1	1	.0
55 Hegewisch	0	4	4	.0
56 Garfield R	idge 14	18	4	28.6
57 Archer Heig		15	-4	-21.1
58 Brighton Pa		42	7	20.0
59 McKinley Pa	ark. 5	18	13	260.0
60 Bridgeport.	2	7	5	250.0
61 New City	33	18	-15	-45.5
62 West Elsdor	1 3	4	1	33.3
63 Gage Park		34	26	325.0
64 Clearing		25	-8	-24.2
65 West Lawn	22	17	-5	-22.7
66 Chicago Law	m 37	34	-3	-8.1
67 West Englew	700d 19	0	-19	-100.0
68 Englewood	13	4	-9	-69.2
69 Gr. Gr. Cro		3	-8	-72.7
70 Ashburn	19	18	-1	-5.3
71 Auburn Gres	ham 26	7	-19	-73.1
72 Beverly		7	2 =	-22.2
73 Washington		19	9	90.0
74 Mt. Greenwo		7	6	600.0
75 Morgan Park		15	10	200.0
76 O'Hare		58	32	123.1
77 Edgewater	1,441	930	-511	-35.5

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A.

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 34
HISPANIC POPULATION OF OTHER ORIGIN: 1980 AND 1990,
AND OTHER HISPANIC ORIGIN CHANGE 1980 TO 1990
FOR CHICAGO BY COMMUNITY AREA

		Other Hispanic Origin	Other Hispanic Origin	Cha	Mispanic unge so 1990
Co	mmunity Area	1980	1990	Number	Percent
	mber - Name				2 22 00110
	Action and the second	word analysis	ACT CITY SOUTH		
Ch	icago city	42,574	63,382	20,708	48.5
	Rogers Park	1,571	2,444	873	55.6
	West Ridge	980	2,164	1,184	120.8
3	Uptown	3,407	3,340	-67	-2.0
	Lincoln Square	1,898	3,598	1,700	89.6
	North Center	1,408	1,517	109	7.7
	Lake View	3,229	2,698	-531	-16.4
	Lincoln Park	764	684	-80	-10.5
	Near N. Side	426	533	107	25.1
	Edison Park	36	48	12	33.3
	Norwood Park.,	133	238	105	78.9
	Jefferson Park	133	288	155	116.5
	Forest Glen	95	190	95	100.0
	North Park	297	532	235	79.1
	Albany Park	2,520	4,446	1,926	76.4
	Portage Park	365	929	564	154.5
	Irving Park	1,068	3,013	1,945	182.1
17		171	359	188	109.9
	Montclare	48	154	106	220.8
	Belmont Cragin	585	2,319	1,734	296.4
20		544	1,694	1,150	211.4
	Avondale	869	1,876	1,007	115.9
22	Logan Square	3,486	5,498	2,012	57.7
	Humboldt Park.	1,909	2,540	631	33.1
	West Town	2,371	3,828	1,457	61.5
	Austin	1,310	683	-627	-47.9
	W. Garfield Pk	72	6	-66	-91.7
	E. Garfield Pk	19	29	10	52.6
	Near West Side		401	30	8.1
	North Lawndale	91	109	18	19.8
100	South Lawndale	1,938	2,636	698	36.0
	Lower W. Side.	1,088	1,887	799	73.4
	Loop	62	126	64	103.2
	Near S. Side	24	67	43	179.2
	Armour Square.	35	8.0	45	128.6
	Douglas	121	110	-11	-9.1
	Oakland	33	6	-27	-81.8
	Fuller Park	18	8	-10	-55.6
38	Grand Blvd	56	69	13	23.2

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

	nunity Area per - Name	Other Hispanic Origin 1980	Other Hispanic Origin		ispanic nge
		Hispanic Origin	Hispanic		
		Hispanic Origin		1980 t	0 1990
		Origin			0 1000
		The second secon			
			1990	Number	Percent
	Jel Hame		7-2-3-2-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-	- 0.5 Marin 1.5	
TA COMP					
39 P	Kenwood	92	96	4	4.3
40 F	Washington Pk.	57	15	-42	-73.7
41 F	lyde Park	365	439	74	20.3
42 V	Woodlawn	90	60	-30	-33.3
43 8	South Shore	480	178	-302	-62.9
44 0	Chatham	108	72	-36	-33.3
45 7	Avalon Park	40	35	-5	-12.5
46 8	South Chicago.	749	904	155	20.7
	Burnside	5	6	1	20.0
78 1771 2016	Calumet Hts	87	83	-4	-4.6
		130	85	-45	-34.6
	Pullman	75	72	-3	-4.0
	South Deering.	138	228	90	65.2
	Bast Side	159	323	164	103.1
	West Pullman	198	148	-50	-25.3
	Riverdale	27	31	4	14.8
	Hegewisch	94	87	-7	-7.4
	Sarfield Ridge	245	223	-22	-9.0
	Archer Heights	31	136	105	338.7
	Brighton Park.	341	592	251	73.6
	AcKinley Park.	106	237	131	123.6
	Bridgeport	307	597	290	94.5
	New City	866	1,288	422	48.7
	West Elsdon	39	93	54	138.5
	Gage Park	220	665	445	202.3
	learing	116	193	77	66.4
	West Lawn	85	220	135	158.8
	Chicago Lawn	443	1,157	714	161.2
	West Englewood	91	70	-21	-23.1
	Inglewood	111	79	-32	-28.8
	r. Gr. Cross.	90	71	-19	-21.1
ACCORDING TO BOTH	shburn	159	288	129	81.1
	uburn Gresham	177	84	-93	-52.5
E-10-76-14-76-15-1	Severly	78	88	10	12.8
	ashington Hts	49	18	-31	-63.3
	t. Greenwood.	33	47	14	42.4
	organ Park	69	69	0	.0
	'Hare	107	161	54	50.5
	dgewater	2,466	2,997	531	21.5

Note: The category Other Hispanic includes origins other than Mexican, Puerto Rican, and Cuban. Examples are single origin groups such as Costa Rican or Peruvian or general groups such as Hispanic, Latino or Spanish.

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1980, and U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A.

Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 35
HISPANIC POPULATION BY TYPE OF ORIGIN FOR TEN COMMUNITY AREAS
WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC POPULATION: 1990

	Mars -					
Type of Origin						
Hispanic		Puerto				
Total	Mexican	Rican	Cuban	Other		
		S				
69,131	64,114	2.221	160	2,636		
				5,498		
54,361	the second of th		366	3,828		
40,227			57	1,887		
29,735	11,569	15,331	295	2,540		
20,906	18,429	1,171	18	1,288		
17,066	7,508	6,893	346	2,319		
15,923	6,112	7,927	190	1,694		
15,738	7,449	3,197	646	4,446		
14,549	11,806	1,552	34	1,157		
332,376	214,031	87,767	3,285	27,293		
545,852	352,560	119,866	10,044	63,382		
60.9%	60.7%	73.2%	32.7%	43.1%		
	Total 69,131 54,740 54,361 40,227 29,735 20,906 17,066 15,923 15,738 14,549 332,376 545,852	Hispanic Total 69,131 64,114 54,740 22,319 54,361 27,541 40,227 37,184 29,735 11,569 20,906 18,429 17,066 7,508 15,923 6,112 15,738 7,449 14,549 11,806 332,376 214,031 545,852 352,560	Hispanic Mexican Puerto 69,131 64,114 2,221 54,740 22,319 25,750 54,361 27,541 22,626 40,227 37,184 1,099 29,735 11,569 15,331 20,906 18,429 1,171 17,066 7,508 6,893 15,923 6,112 7,927 15,738 7,449 3,197 14,549 11,806 1,552 332,376 214,031 87,767 545,852 352,560 119,866	Total Mexican Rican Cuban 69,131 64,114 2,221 160 54,740 22,319 25,750 1,173 54,361 27,541 22,626 366 40,227 37,184 1,099 57 29,735 11,569 15,331 295 20,906 18,429 1,171 18 17,066 7,508 6,893 346 15,923 6,112 7,927 190 15,738 7,449 3,197 646 14,549 11,806 1,552 34 332,376 214,031 87,767 3,285		

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A. Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

TABLE 36
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF THE HISPANIC POPULATION BY TYPE OF ORIGIN
FOR TEN COMMUNITY AREAS WITH THE LARGEST HISPANIC POPULATION: 1990

	•					
	Type of Origin					
Community Area Number - Name	Hispanic Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other	
30 South Lawndale.	100.0	92.7	3.2	.2	3.8	
22 Logan Square	100.0	40.8	47.0	2.1	10.0	
24 West Town	100.0	50.7	41.6	.7	7.0	
31 Lower W. Side	100.0	92.4	2.7	.1	4.7	
23 Humboldt Park	100.0	38.9	51.6	1.0	8.5	
61 New City	100.0	88.2	5.6	.1	6.2	
19 Belmont Cragin.	100.0	44.0	40.4	2.0	13.6	
20 Hermosa	100.0	38.4	49.8	1.2	10.6	
14 Albany Park	100.0	47.3	20.3	4.1	28.3	
66 Chicago Lawn	100.0	81.1	10.7	. 2	8.0	
SUBTOTAL THESE TEN	100.0	64.4	26.4	1.0	8.2	
CITYWIDE TOTALS	100.0	64.6	22.0	1.8	11.6	

Source: U.S. Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A. Latino Institute Research, June 1991.

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1

Glossary of Terms

Adult: 1980 and 1990

The term "adult" as used by the Latino Institute refers to all persons who are eighteen years of age and older.

Age: 1980 and 1990

Age is the number of completed years from birth to April 1, 1980 or 1990 based on replies to a question on month and year of birth. The age classification is based on the age of the person in completed years as of the census year.

Black: 1980 and 1990

Persons who indicated their race as Black or Negro, as well as persons who did not classify themselves in the Other category, but reported entries such as African American, Afro-American, Black Puerto Rican, Haitian, Jamaican, Nigerian or West Indian.

Census Tract: 1980 and 1990

Census tracts are small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons and, when first delineated, are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions. Census tract boundaries are delineated with the intention of being maintained over a long time so that statistical comparisons can be made from census to census.

Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980 and 1990

The Chicago Metropolitan Standard Statistical Area (SMSA) as defined for the 1980 census consisted of six counties in northeastern Illinois: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, and Will. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget adopted a new concept called metropolitan statistical area after the 1980 census. The Chicago SMSA was split into four primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs): 1) Chicago consisting of Cook, DuPage, and McHenry counties, 2) Aurora-Elgin consisting of Kane and Kendall counties, 3) Joliet consisting of Will County, and 4) Lake County consisting of Lake County. The old six county definition has been used for both 1980 and 1990 in this document for purposes of historical comparability.

Community Area: 1980 and 1990

Community areas are aggregations of census tracts in the city of Chicago consisting of groups of census tracts. Community areas usually have popularly recognized boundaries. Originally, there were seventy-five community areas. The seventy-sixth was added before the 1960 census when the city annexed land to connect with O'Hare Field. The seventy-seventh was added before the 1980 census when community area 77-Edgewater was created from the northern part of community area 3-Uptown.

Hispanic Origin: 1990

The 1990 data on Spanish/Hispanic origin were derived from answers to questionnaire item seven, which was asked of all persons. Persons of Hispanic origin are those who classified themselves in one of the specific Hispanic origin categories listed on the questionnaire-"Mexican," "Puerto Rican," or "Cuban" -- as well as those who indicated that they were of "Other Spanish/Hispanic" origin. Persons of "Other Spanish/ Hispanic" origin are those whose origins are from Spain, the Spanish-speaking countries of Central or South America, or the Dominican Republic, or they are persons of Hispanic origin identifying themselves generally as Spanish, Spanish-American, Hispanic, Hispano, Latino, and so on.

Origin can be viewed as the ancestry, nationality group, lineage or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race. In this document, persons of Spanish origin have been subtracted from the respective race categories (Black, White, "Other").

SEE "SPANISH ORIGIN: 1980."

Housing Unit: 1980 and 1990

A housing unit is a house, an apartment, a mobile home or trailer, a group of rooms or a single room occupied as separate living quarters or, if vacant, intended for occupancy as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live and eat separately from any other persons in the building and which have direct access from outside the building or through a common hall.

Latino

Latino is the preferred term used by the Institute to refer to individuals identified by the Bureau of the Census as 1) persons of Spanish language in 1970, 2) persons of Spanish origin in 1980, and 3) persons of Hispanic origin in 1990. These latter terms will be used in Institute publications to be consistent with the data collection methods of the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Individuals who have identified themselves as Latino may not necessarily have been assigned to the three aforementioned categories by the Bureau of the Census.

Non-Hispanic

Persons who were White, Black, and "Other" who did not identify themselves as of Hispanic origin on the 1990 census form are classified as non-Hispanic in the tables and figures in this report.

Not Spanish Origin

Persons who were White, Black, and "Other" who did not identify themselves as of Spanish origin on the 1980 census form are classified as not Spanish origin in the tables and figures in this report.

"Other" Race: 1980 and 1990

In this report persons who did not indicate White or Black as their race category. It includes Asian and Pacific Islander; American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut; and others.

Race: 1980 and 1990

The concept of race as used by the Census Bureau reflects self-identification by respondents; it does not denote any clear-cut scientific definition of biological stock.

All persons were asked to identify themselves according to the following race categories on the 1980 questionnaire: White, Black or Negro, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Japanese, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Asian Indian, Vietnamese, Hawaiian, Guamanian, Samoan, and Other.

The categories used on the 1990 questionnaire were White, Black or Negro, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Japanese, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Asian Indian, Vietnamese, Hawaiian, Guamanian, Samoan, and Other.

The Bureau in 1990 tabulated write-in responses of Cambodian, Hmong, Laotian, Thai, Bangladeshi, Burmese, Indonesian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, Amerasian or Eurasian as Asian in the 100% Asian counts. In 1980, this procedure was undertaken when the sample population and housing characteristics were tabulated.

Also in 1990, the Bureau tabulated write-in reponses of a Pacific Islander group such as Tahitian, Northern Mariana Islander, Palauan, Fijian or a cultural group such as Polynesian, Micronesian or Melanesian in the 100% Pacific Islander counts. In 1980, this procedure was only done in the population and housing sample.

Spanish Origin: 1980

The 1980 data were determined by a complete-count question which asked respondents to self-identify whether they are of Spanish origin or descent. Persons marking any one of the four "Spanish" categories, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban or other Spanish are collectively referred to as "persons of Spanish origin."

Youth

The term "youth" as used by the Latino Institute refers to all persons under eighteen years of age.

Source:

Compiled by the Latino Institute, Research Division from U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population and Housing: 1980, Summary Tape File 1, Technical Documentation and Census of Population and Housing: 1990, Public Law 94-171 Data on CD-ROM, Technical Documentation; and U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population, Volume 1, Characteristics of the Population, Chapter B, General Population Characteristics, Part 15, Illinois.

APPENDIX 2

Methodology

Data Sources

The following data sources were used in the development of Volume 1 of the <u>Latino Data Book</u>:

1980 United States, State, Metropolitan and Suburban Data

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population: 1980, Volume 1, Characteristics of the Population, Chapter B, General Population Characteristics, Part 1, United States Summary, and Part 15, Illinois.

1980 Chicago Data

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population and Housing: 1980, Volume 2, Census Tracts, Part 119, Chicago, Illinois Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

1980 Chicago Community Area Data

City of Chicago Department of Planning, Chicago Statistical Abstract: 1980, Community Area Profiles.

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population and Housing: 1980, Neighborhood Statistics Program, Summary Tape File 1G, Area 17-116, Chicago, Illinois.

1990 United States and State Data

U.S. Bureau of the Census press release series "Census Bureau Delivers 1990 Census Counts."

1990 Illinois Geographic Areas

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population: 1990, Public Law 94-171 data on laser disc (CD-ROM).

1990 Chicago and Community Areas

- U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population: 1990, Public Law 94-171 data on laser disc (CD-ROM).
- U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Population: 1990, Summary Tape File 1A on computer tape.

Data Entry Activities

1980

Data for the total population and Spanish origin population from various 1980 census publications were entered into a personal computer for each of the following geographic areas:

- o United States
- o 50 states and District of Columbia
- o 102 counties in Illinois
- o City of Chicago
- o 878 census tracts in the city of Chicago
- o 282 suburbs in Chicago metropolitan area

Computer-generated figures for not Spanish origin were developed by subtracting the Spanish origin from the total population.

Information for the 96 counties of Illinois was grouped as "Downstate" and Chicago data were subtracted from Cook County to yield "Cook County Suburbs."

Additionally, data for the total population by race and Spanish origin were keyed in for each of the 878 census tracts in the city of Chicago. The Chicago tract information was then aggregated into the 77 community areas.

Because of the U.S. Bureau of the Census policy to minimize the disclosure of detailed characteristics about individual persons

(under 15 persons in any specific geographic area), it was necessary to retrieve data from a computer tape from the

Neighborhood Statistics Program, a special data program in 1980, for the Spanish origin youth and adult population for community areas.

Computer printouts developed from all manually-keyed 1980 numbers were verified against the original data sources for accuracy.

1990

All 1990 Illinois state, county, place, and census tract data from the Public Law 94-171 counts were transferred directly from laser disc (CD-ROM) to a personal computer to minimize data errors caused by manual data entry.

United State summary and individual state 1990 data from official U.S. Bureau of the Census press releases were entered into a personal computer.

Summary Tape File 1A census tract data were downloaded from computer tape to diskette and aggregated into community areas.

Data for 1990 were checked against all available Bureau, public, and private publications for data accuracy.