

The Metro Chicago Immigration Fact Book

**Rob Paral
Michael Norkewicz**

June 2003

Institute for Metropolitan Affairs
ROOSEVELT UNIVERSITY

ISBN: 0-9632567-6-9

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Introduction.....	4
The Metro Chicago Immigration Fact Book	6
Country of Origin Maps and Data Tables.....	18
Appendices.....	75

Executive Summary

This report presents data and information on major demographic, social and economic trends involving the immigrant population of metro Chicago. The study uses primarily census data to report on the extent of immigration, the size and diversity of the population, historical trends, residential settlement patterns including port-of-entry neighborhoods, and maps and tables detailing the characteristics of 25 major immigrant groups.

Among the findings in this report:

- Some 1.4 million immigrants live in metro Chicago, representing nearly 18 percent of the region's population.
- The number of immigrants in the region grew by 537,000 in the 1990s.
- The leading countries represented include Mexico (582,028 persons), Poland (137,670) and India (76,931).
- Metro Chicago ranks fifth nationally in the size of its immigrant population; among large metro areas, the Chicago area ranks ninth in the percentage of its population that is foreign born.
- The leading centers of immigrant population are Chicago (628,903), Cicero (37,343) and Aurora (30,858).
- In percentage terms, the places with the largest percent of their population made up of immigrants are Stone Park (50.3 percent), Cicero (43.6) and Schiller Park (39.1).
- The leading "port-of-entry" neighborhood for new immigrants is a portion of Chicago's Edgewater community that received 2,755 immigrants in just five years prior to the year 2000.
- Among the leading ports-of-entry are locations in Mt. Prospect, Arlington Heights, and Palatine.
- Nearly all net growth in the metro Chicago labor force in the 1990s was due to immigration. Immigrants contributed 252,049 or 93.8 percent of the 268,718 workers added to the regional economy in the decade.
- Immigrant poverty rates fell faster than those of natives in the 1990s. Their education levels increased significantly, even while they continue to lag behind natives in overall levels of educational attainment.
- Rates of naturalization and levels of English ability have declined, in part due to large numbers of recent arrivals.
- Only 1.5 percent of immigrants report receiving cash welfare, compared to 2.1 percent of natives.

This report is available in PDF format at www.roosevelt.edu/ima/.

Introduction

For 150 years metropolitan Chicago has been one of the principal destinations of immigrants to the United States, a country largely defined by the contributions of immigrants from throughout the world. Unlike many American metropolises of the south and western portions of the nation, which were principally settled by native-born migration, a large foreign-born presence has been a hallmark of the Chicago area since its earliest days.

Already in 1850, in the first decade after Illinois was awarded statehood in the union, some 40,000 immigrants lived in the six counties that constitute the current metropolitan area.¹ By 1890 well over half a million immigrants resided in the six-county region. At that time, the immigrant population in Chicago was dominated by persons from northern and western Europe, principally Germans (161,000 individuals) and Irish (70,000). These immigrants provided the labor to foster the city's phenomenal growth as a center for trade and manufacturing.

Beginning in the 1880s the character of the immigrant population in the metropolitan region began to change as the sources of European immigration shifted to the east and west. New com-

munities of Russian Jews, Slavs and Italians became established. By 1910 some 122,000 persons from Russia lived in Chicago along with 45,000 Italians. Reaction to such diversity at the national level, however, led to severely restrictive legislation in the 1920s that nearly ceased immigration for more than four decades.

It wasn't until 1965 that immigration began to rebound in the Chicago area. New legislation passed in that year ended quota systems that had halted the previous immigrant streams and extended eligibility for immigrant visas to persons around the world without preference for particular nations. This set the stage for the arrival of immigrants from Asia, the Pacific Islands, Africa and other regions that had previously sent few persons to the U.S. As one example of the growth in Asian immigration resulting from the 1965 reforms, less than 600 Indian immigrants were located by the 1960 census in metro Chicago, while by the year 2000 nearly 77,000 Indians lived here, with metro Chicago the leading metropolitan destination of Indian immigrants to the U.S. Residents of Mexico, while not subject to the restrictive quotas of the mid-Twentieth Century, found expanding job opportunities in the Chicago area, and saw their population grow from 24,000 in 1960 to 582,000 in 2000. Polish immigration, a feature of the area for one hundred years, was further stimulated by diversity visas awarded to Eu-

¹ Except where noted, "metropolitan Chicago" in this report includes the six counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

ropean nations in the early 1990s.

Today the 1.4 million-strong immigrant population includes representatives from more than 100 countries representing all major world regions. Europeans constitute 26 percent of the immigrants, Asians 23 percent, and Latin Americans 47 percent.

Along with the diversification of the immigrant population have come important shifts in the areas where they reside. Immigrants increasingly live in the suburban portion of metropolitan Chicago, which for the first time in history has a larger immigrant population than the city of Chicago. New immigrants are less likely to move to certain older port-of-entry neighborhoods in the city. For example, the historic Lower West Side (“Pilsen”) and South Lawndale (“Little Village”) areas, which have 100-year histories of receiving new arrivals have somewhat fallen from the ranks of leading destinations of immigrants, at the same time that neighborhoods in the Edgewater community area of Chicago’s north side have emerged as leading points of entry, as have parts of Mt. Prospect, Arlington Heights and Palatine, all in the northwest portion of Cook County, in communities largely developed after the Second World War.

Immigrant arrivals have always had to learn English, find employment, accustom their children to American educational systems, and adjust to life here in myriad ways. The need to facilitate the economic contributions and social integration of immigrants has long been a primary goal of social service providers, philanthropic organi-

zations, elected officials and others. In fact, the first publication commissioned by The Chicago Community Trust, in 1921, addressed immigrant integration, particularly in the Polish community. Today, with the racial and ethnic diversity and geographic dispersion of immigrants, the need to provide key services such as language instruction and citizenship education that allow immigrants to fully engage with American society are as important as ever.

This publication is designed to provide persons interested in the immigrant communities of metropolitan Chicago with new data and information on the characteristics of those immigrants. The first portion of the publication addresses basic demographic questions about the size, growth, location and immigration status of the foreign-born population. The remainder of this report provides social and economic data on many of the largest immigrant groups. We selected these groups based on their having at least 9,000 persons in the region and having a population that has been augmenting in recent years; we also highlight certain regions of particular importance, such as Central America, the Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa. It is our hope that this objective information will increase public understanding of the immigrant community.

Data analysis and writing: Rob Paral
Data processing: Michael Norkewicz
Editorial and data analysis advice: Jim Lewis
Publication design: Desktop Edit Shop, Inc.
ISBN: 0-9632567-6-9

This report is a production of
the Institute for Metropolitan Affairs at Roosevelt University
in cooperation with the National Center on Poverty Law

This publication has been made possible with generous support of
The Chicago Community Trust
The Fund for Immigrants and Refugees
The Illinois Department of Human Services

The Metro Chicago Immigration Fact Book

The Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Is Highly Diverse and Undergoing Significant Shifts

The 1.4 million immigrants in metro Chicago come from all corners of the globe. The largest populations are from Mexico (582,000 persons), Poland (138,000) and India (77,000). Europeans are 25.7 percent of all immigrants, while Asians are 22.9 percent and persons from Latin America are 46.8 percent.

In recent decades the rankings of the largest groups have shifted. Between 1990 and 2000 the number of persons from India surpassed the number from the Philippines, while immigrants from China surpassed those from Korea. The populations of certain European groups that experienced their largest immigration during what historians call the Second Great Wave of Immigration (1870-1920) continued a decades-long decline. These include Germany, Italy and Ireland. Other European groups experienced sharp growth, with the number of persons from Poland growing by 75 percent in the 1990s, and the number of Romanians growing by one third. The number of immigrants from the former USSR rose by 106 percent.

But among major groups (i.e., those with more than 10,000 persons) the most dramatic increases

were seen among Pakistanis (up 122 percent in the 1990s), Vietnamese (up 114 percent) and Indians (up 108 percent). The fastest growth overall was among persons from Ghana and Nigeria: beginning with modest population sizes in 1990, these groups increased by 182 percent and 168 percent respectively over the decade.

The Mexican immigrant community more than doubled in the 1990s, and the rapid growth of this population combined with its already-large size in 1990 meant that Mexicans are an increasing proportion of all immigrants. The Mexican-origin share of metro Chicago immigrants rose from 30.8 percent in 1990 to 41.1 percent ten years later (*Table 1*).

Metro Chicago Ranks Among Largest Immigrant Settlement Areas in Nation

The six-county metropolitan Chicago area has a foreign-born population of 1,416,890. These persons represent 17.5 percent of the metropolitan Chicago six-county region, which consists of 8,091,720 persons overall.

Table I. Immigrant Population by Year and Region and Selected Countries of Birth: Metro Chicago

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Total population	6,220,913	6,974,423	7,103,624	7,261,176	8,091,720
Foreign-born population	601,015	563,176	744,930	879,863	1,416,890
Percent of total foreign born	9.7%	8.1%	10.5%	12.1%	17.5%
EUROPE/FORMER USSR	526,712	405,449	328,980	282,281	366,826
Poland	90,109	69,426	62,434	78,802	137,670
USSR (former) *	43,700	28,697	24,508	19,048	47,266
Germany	74,120	58,080	44,660	33,026	26,213
Italy	61,930	53,531	44,756	31,258	25,924
Yugoslavia (former) *	21,574	21,666	22,262	19,939	29,724
Greece	14,995	21,204	23,615	19,449	17,934
United Kingdom	31,405	23,390	18,901	16,401	15,906
Romania	6,893	5,257	5,426	8,741	11,593
Ireland	25,795	18,832	14,792	11,985	10,562
ASIA	14,696	35,341	128,293	200,327	321,239
India	591	3,725	21,451	37,000	76,931
Philippines	2,358	9,176	32,217	46,466	63,107
China *	3,011	5,690	11,693	25,732	44,809
Korea	261	2,028	16,922	26,898	35,468
Pakistan	83	357		8,488	18,821
Vietnam			4,310	6,911	14,764
Japan	2,882	3,840	6,197	8,217	10,417
Iraq				7,529	9,504
AMERICAS	52,402	99,903	232,315	357,666	703,709
Mexico	23,450	47,397	160,729	271,028	582,028
Guatemala	167	1,773	5,959	12,299	19,326
Canada	21,428	18,092	16,417	13,121	15,136
Ecuador	296	2,269	4,022	6,033	12,281
Colombia	531	3,466	5,208	6,831	10,205
Cuba	1,736	15,133	13,920	10,900	9,653
AFRICA	943	2,412	7,734	10,503	23,087
Nigeria				2,525	6,775
Ghana				1,094	3,085
Egypt *	293	903	1,400	1,539	2,121
ALL OTHER	967	1,253	1,577	1,416	2,029

**See notes in the expanded version of this table in the Appendix*

Table 2. Metropolitan Areas Ranked by Number of Immigrants: 2000

New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT-PA	5,182,255
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	5,067,615
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	1,902,304
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	1,558,152
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	1,466,940
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD	980,621
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX	895,944
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	784,642
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA	721,060
San Diego, CA	606,254

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Table based on metro areas with one-million-plus persons. Metro Chicago in this table is a 13-county area.

To compare metropolitan areas nationally we use the Census Bureau's definition of complete metro areas. For metro Chicago, this is a 13-county area reaching into both Wisconsin and Indiana.¹ When comparing metro areas across the country, the 13-county metro Chicago area ranks fifth in the number of foreign-born persons (*Table 2*).

Among large metropolitan areas in the United States, i.e., those areas with at least one million residents overall, the metro Chicago area ranks ninth in terms of the percentage of its population that is foreign born. Again, to compare metro areas nationally, we use the 13-county definition of metropolitan Chicago. The foreign-born are 16.0 percent of this 13-county area.

The Number of Immigrants Is Increasing: Particularly in Suburbs

The immigrant population in metropolitan Chicago reached a record 1.4 million persons in

¹ The counties include Cook, DeKalb, DuPage, Grundy, Kane, Kankakee, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, Will (Illinois), Kenosha (Wisconsin) and Lake and Porter (Indiana).

Table 3. Large Metropolitan Areas Ranked by Foreign-Born Percent of the Population: 2000

Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	40.2%
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	30.9%
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	27.0%
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT-PA	24.4%
San Diego, CA	21.5%
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX	19.2%
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	17.4%
Las Vegas, NV-AZ	16.5%
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	16.0%
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	15.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Table based on metro areas with one-million-plus persons. Metro Chicago in this table is a 13-county area

2000. After declining from a previous high of 1.0 million in 1930, the foreign-born population has grown steadily in the last three decades, increasing by 61.0 percent in the 1990s (*Figure 1*).


In the city of Chicago, the current number of immigrants — 629,000 — is well below the historical peak of 842,000 in 1930. The city's immigrant population grew by 34.0 percent in the 1990s.

Suburban Chicago has experienced dramatic growth among immigrants. In 2000 the population reached an all-time high of 788,000 persons, a number that surpassed the foreign-born population in the city of Chicago for the first time. The suburban immigrant population grew by 377,000 persons in the 1990s, an increase of 91.9 percent. (*See Appendices 2 and 3 for historical data.*)

Immigrant Percent of Population Growing: Remains Below Historical Highs

In terms of the percent of the overall population that was born in another country, the metropolitan area has experienced fairly steady declines since the 1850-1870 period. The relative size of the im-

Figure 1. Number of Immigrants



migrant population, however, began an upswing in 1970. In 2000, immigrants were 17.5 percent of the metropolitan area, 21.7 percent of Chicago, and 15.2 percent of the suburbs (*Figure 2*).

27,000 in Waukegan. The places are spread across the region, representing locations in Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake and Will Counties (*Table 4*).

Immigrants Constitute Large Populations in Numerous Communities

More than 20 places in the metro area have more than 10,000 immigrant residents. After Chicago, with 629,000 immigrants, some 37,000 immigrants reside in Cicero, 31,000 in Aurora, and

More than half the population of Stone Park in western Cook County is comprised of immigrants, the highest percent among all metro Chicago places. Immigrants are 43.6 percent of Cicero residents, and are more than one-third of the population in 15 places throughout the region (*Table 5*). (See *Appendices 4 and 5* for historical data on places and Chicago community areas.)

Figure 2. Immigrant Percent of Population




Table 4. Top 25 Immigrant Population Centers in Metro Chicago: 2000

Chicago city	628,903
Cicero town	37,343
Aurora city	30,858
Waukegan city	26,556
Skokie village	23,437
Elgin city	22,258
Mount Prospect village	15,159
Naperville city	14,963
Schaumburg village	14,262
Palatine village	14,249
Des Plaines city	14,010
Berwyn city	13,552
Addison village	12,235
Hoffman Estates village	11,651
Joliet city	11,566
Evanston city	11,448
Hanover Park village	10,896
Wheeling village	10,817
Arlington Heights village	10,546
Niles village	10,144
Glendale Heights village	9,605
Buffalo Grove village	8,690
Melrose Park village	8,195
West Chicago city	8,150
Glenview village	8,128

Ten places rank in the top 25 metropolitan areas in terms of both the number of immigrants and the percent of their community made up of immigrants:

- Addison
- Cicero
- Glendale Heights
- Hanover Park
- Melrose Park
- Niles
- Skokie
- Waukegan
- West Chicago
- Wheeling

Immigrants Form Large Portion of State Political Constituencies

Just as immigrants are large portions of numer-

Table 5. Top 25 Metro Chicago Places in Immigrants as Pct. of Population


Stone Park village	50.3
Cicero town	43.6
Schiller Park village	39.1
Highwood city	38.6
Rosemont village	37.3
Skokie village	37.0
Prospect Heights city	36.5
Melrose Park village	35.3
Addison village	34.3
West Chicago city	34.1
Lincolnwood village	34.1
Summit village	34.1
Harwood Heights village	34.1
Niles village	33.7
Morton Grove village	33.6
Hodgkins village	32.9
Franklin Park village	32.8
Norridge village	32.5
Bensenville village	31.5
Wheeling village	31.4
Park City city	30.7
Glendale Heights village	30.3
Waukegan city	30.2
Volo village	29.7
Hanover Park village	28.4

ous suburban municipalities and Chicago community areas, they form significantly large constituencies among many state legislative districts. This information is important because with the ongoing devolution of social policy control from the federal government to the states (for example, with regard to welfare reform), legislators at the state government have increasing control over policies and programs that affect immigrants.

Illinois has 118 House of Representatives districts, and of these districts nearly half, 55 districts, have 10,000 or more immigrants. Among the 59 State Senate districts in Illinois, nearly half (26) have 20,000 or more immigrant constituents. (Appendix 6 provides these statistics for each district.)

Both of the major political parties have large

Figure 3. Foreign-Born Population in Chicago Area Legislative Districts, 2000



immigrant constituencies. Of the 55 house districts with 10,000 or more immigrants, 31 are represented (in spring 2000) by Democratic representatives and 24 have Republican representatives. Among senate districts with 20,000 or more

immigrants, 15 have Democratic and 11 have Republican senators. Statewide, a majority of immigrants (63.1 percent or 964,331 persons) live in Democratic house districts as of spring 2000. Well over a third (36.9 percent or 564,712 persons)

are in Republican house districts (*Figure 3*).

Immigration Maintains Population Size in Cook County

Metropolitan Chicago grew by 11.4 percent in the 1990s. Approximately two-thirds or 76.5 percent of population growth in the period may be attributed to immigration. Even without immigration the area would have increased in size by 2.7 percent. Similarly, all metro-area counties with the exception of Cook would have grown in size without immigration, although immigration accounted for more than half of population growth in DuPage County.

Immigration is a much more important demographic factor in Cook County than in the remaining counties of the area. While it is impossible to say whether the native born population would have declined in the total absence of immigration, it is accurate to note that the increase in the immigrant population in Cook represented 174 percent of population change in the county during the 1990s. Theoretically, the County of Cook would have lost nearly four percent of its size in the 1990s without immigration.

Immigrants Are Establishing New “Port-of-Entry” Neighborhoods

The number of “port-of-entry” neighborhoods

— areas receiving large numbers of new immigrants — is expanding while the location of these neighborhoods is shifting across the region. In 1990 there were 24 neighborhoods with at least 1,000 immigrants who entered the U.S. in the last five years. By the year 2000 the number of such neighborhoods grew to 48 (*Table 7*).

Top Port of Entry in Metro Chicago Is in Edgewater Community Area of Chicago

In 2000 the top port-of-entry neighborhood in metropolitan Chicago was an area in the Edgewater community area of Chicago bounded on the north by Sheridan Road (at a point where Sheridan travels westward), on the south by Thorndale Avenue, on the west by Broadway Avenue and on the east by Lake Michigan. Some 5,838 immigrants live in that area, with 2,755 having arrived in the last five years. It is a highly diverse area. Of the overall immigrant population regardless of time of arrival, the largest groups were from Europe/Former USSR (2,046), Asia (1,684) Latin America (1,138), and Africa (930).

The top ports of entry in metropolitan Chicago are found within several miles of each other. After the leading area described above, the second port was an area in Albany Park with 2,170 recent arrivals, bounded by Lawrence Avenue on the north, Montrose Avenue on the south, Kedzie Avenue on the east, and Central Park on the west. This area is home to 6,011 immigrants, with lead-


Table 6. Effect of Immigration on Population Change in 1990s

	Pop. Change With Immigration	Pop. Change Without Immigration	Immigration as Pct. of Pop. Growth
Metro Chicago	11.4%	2.7%	76.5%
Cook County	5.3%	-3.9%	174.2%
DuPage County	15.7%	7.7%	50.8%
Kane County	27.3%	17.1%	37.4%
Lake County	24.8%	16.0%	35.2%
McHenry County	41.9%	37.2%	11.2%
Will County	40.6%	36.6%	9.8%

Table 7. Shifting Port-of-Entry Neighborhoods

At 1990 Census			At 2000 Census		
Place	Entered U.S. in Previous Five Years	Location	Place	Entered U.S. in Previous Five Years	Location
Cook County	164,182		Cook County	265,025	
Tract 2105	2,421	Avondale	Tract 301	2,755	Edgewater
Tract 3018	1,758	South Lawndale	Tract 1407	2,170	Albany Park
Tract 402	1,752	Lincoln Square	Tract 1406	2,147	Albany Park
Tract 209	1,710	West Ridge	Tract 402	2,122	Lincoln Square
Tract 208	1,643	West Ridge	Tract 208	1,944	West Ridge
Tract 1407	1,583	Albany Park	Tract 3017	1,943	South Lawndale
Tract 1406	1,465	Albany Park	Tract 8051.07	1,907	Mt. Prospect (village); Arlington Hts. (village)
Tract 107	1,351	Rogers Park	Tract 209	1,885	West Ridge
Tract 3106	1,300	Lower West Side	Tract 306	1,870	Edgewater
Tract 1402	1,298	Albany Park	Tract 8036.09	1,838	Palatine (village); Palatine twp.
Tract 1403	1,298	Albany Park	Tract 1403	1,829	Albany Park
Tract 206	1,226	West Ridge	Tract 8133	1,792	Cicero (town)
Tract 2106	1,192	Avondale	Tract 105	1,739	Rogers Park
Tract 3109	1,137	Lower West Side	Tract 8026.06	1,686	Prospect Hts. (city) Mt. Prospect (village)
Tract 8026.06	1,127	Prospect Heights (city); Mount Prospect (village)	Tract 8036.06	1,681	Palatine (village); Palatine twp.
Tract 205	1,116	West Ridge	Tract 107	1,657	Rogers Park
Tract 301	1,106	Edgewater	Tract 205	1,621	West Ridge
Tract 315	1,097	Uptown	Tract 1402	1,604	Albany Park
Tract 3009	1,097	South Lawndale	Tract 3018	1,580	South Lawndale
Tract 8117.01	1,050	Franklin Park (village); Leyden twp.	Tract 8025.02	1,577	Wheeling (village); Prospect Heights
Tract 3402	1,042	Armour Square	Tract 8045.04	1,575	Hanover Park (village)
Tract 306	1,022	Edgewater	Tract 102	1,522	Rogers Park
Tract 8133	1,005	Cicero (town)	Tract 206	1,518	West Ridge
			Tract 8051.08	1,500	Mt. Prospect (village); Arlington Hts. (village)
			Tract 2105	1,489	Avondale
			Tract 8051.05	1,449	Rolling Meadows (city)
			Tract 7608	1,412	O'Hare
			Tract 8060.01	1,353	Maine township; Niles (city)
			Tract 315	1,297	Uptown
			Tract 5805	1,292	Brighton Park
			Tract 307	1,289	Edgewater
			Tract 108	1,245	Rogers Park
			Tract 3007	1,224	South Lawndale
			Tract 1510	1,213	Portage Park
			Tract 3009	1,199	South Lawndale
			Tract 1401	1,192	Albany Park
			Tract 3402	1,163	Armour Square
			Tract 8060.02	1,159	Maine township; Des Plaines (city)
			Tract 8047.08	1,144	Hoffman Estates (village); Schaumburg (village)
			Tract 8020.01	1,130	Glenview (city); Northfield twp.
			Tract 3016	1,059	South Lawndale
			Tract 1408	1,048	Albany Park
			Tract 2106	1,043	Avondale
			Tract 1605	1,033	Irving Park
			Tract 1907	1,028	Belmont Cragin
			Tract 3008	1,001	South Lawndale
			Tract 8051.11	1,001	Des Plaines (city); Mt. Prospect (village)

Figure 4. Ports of Entry



ing groups from Latin America (4,060), Asia (1,284) and Europe/Formal USSR (608).

The third port of entry area is directly to the west of the Albany Park community just described. With 2,147 new arrivals, it is bounded by Lawrence Avenue on the north, Montrose Avenue on the south, Central Park Avenue on the east, and Pulaski Road on the west. Its total immigrant population is 5,423 persons, with top groups including Latin America (3,334), Asia (1,237) and Europe/Formal USSR (821).

Ports of Entry Are Shifting to Suburbs

A growing proportion of port-of-entry neighborhoods are outside of Chicago. In 1990 only three of 24 or 12.5 percent of port-of-entry neighborhoods getting at least 1,000 new arrivals in the last five years were located in the suburbs, but by 2000 the suburbs accounted for nearly a third (29.2 percent) of areas with large numbers of new immigrant arrivals (14 of 48 areas). In 1990 the top ten port-of-entry locations were all locat-

ed in Chicago community areas of Avondale, South Lawndale, Lincoln Square, West Ridge, Albany Park, Rogers Park and the Lower West Side. In 2000 the top ten neighborhoods included sites in Edgewater, Mount Prospect/Arlington Heights (where a neighborhood extends across municipal boundaries), and Palatine.

Immigrant Enclaves Show Lower Socioeconomic Status

Immigrants living in close proximity to other immigrants benefit from contact with their fellow country members. They have access to advice and assistance on navigating American society, and are more likely to be able to locate retail shops, community organizations, churches and other institutions that provide support.

At the same time, as immigrant density increases, socioeconomic conditions become relatively lower. As the immigrant percentage of a neighborhood grows, poverty levels are generally higher, high school completion rates are lower, and

Table 8. Characteristics of Immigrant Census Tracts

All Immigrants				
As Pct. of Pop.	# Tracts	Poverty Rate	Pct. Persons w/o High School	Median Rent
>=5 pct.	1,323	6.8	16.5	\$704
>=10 pct.	986	7.9	21.1	\$684
>=25 pct.	440	12	34.2	\$619
>=50 pct.	52	22.2	58.7	\$540
Indian Immigrants				
As Pct. of Pop.	# Tracts	Poverty Rate	Pct. Persons w/o High School	Median Rent
>=5 pct.	49	6.2	15.1	\$794
>=10 pct.	9	10.2	18.7	\$797
Polish Immigrants				
As Pct. of Pop.	# Tracts	Poverty Rate	Pct. Persons w/o High School	Median Rent
>=5 pct.	137	6.5	25.1	\$665
>=10 pct.	77	6.6	26.7	\$660
>=25 pct.	11	8.7	31.9	\$642
Mexican Immigrants				
As Pct. of Pop.	# Tracts	Poverty Rate	Pct. Persons w/o High School	Median Rent
>=5 pct.	556	12.4	32.6	\$618
>=10 pct.	400	14	37.7	\$597
>=25 pct.	153	18.1	52.5	\$555
>=50 pct.	19	31.3	66.5	\$489

housing conditions appear to be worse as measured by median rent. As seen in the accompanying tables, in census tracts of metro Chicago where immigrants are at least 50 percent of the population, the overall poverty rate of those tracts is 22.2 percent. When the analysis is expanded to include tracts where immigrants are at least 25 percent of the population, only 12.0 percent of persons in those tracts are in poverty. This pattern may be seen across the three indicators of poverty, education and rent, and across the three largest immigrant groups in the region.

The relatively low socioeconomic conditions of immigrant enclaves may be due to the presence of many new arrivals who have not had time to secure greater education or a higher paying job. The data suggest that policymakers and social service providers seeking to address conditions in the immigrant community should focus programs and initiatives on areas of high immigrant density.

Role of Immigration in Labor Force Change

Nearly all net growth in the metro Chicago labor force in the 1990s was due to immigration. Immigrants contributed 252,049 or 93.8 percent of the 268,718 workers added to the regional economy in the decade. Nearly half — 48.7 percent — of the job expansion was filled by persons born in Mexico.

Immigrants were one in five or 20.2 percent of all workers in metro Chicago in the year 2000. They were 39.0 percent of production/transportation/material moving workers, 29.1 percent of service workers, and 25.3 percent of construction/extraction/ maintenance workers. Immigrants are 35.3 percent of all manufacturing industry workers.

The well-documented change in the racial/ethnic makeup of the overall population is occurring

Table 9. 1990-2000 Change in Occupational Categories by Race and Nativity: Metro Chicago

	Overall	Management/Professional	Service	Sales and Office	Farm/Fish/Forestry	Const./Extract./Maint.	Prod./Trans./Material Moving
Total population	268,718	260,082	71,274	(20,047)	(18,916)	41,120	(64,795)
NB White not Latino	(111,427)	109,962	(2,519)	(107,982)	(11,324)	(2,021)	(97,543)
NB African American not Latino	31,217	28,530	4,224	9,320	(905)	2,435	(12,387)
NB Latino	57,166	23,377	12,017	21,979	(1,206)	4,696	(3,697)
NB Asian or Pacific Islander not Latino	10,667	6,832	1,072	2,750	(24)	(114)	151
Foreign born (total)	252,049	82,347	52,296	42,982	(5,541)	32,995	46,970
Native born (total)	16,669	177,735	18,978	(63,029)	(13,375)	8,125	(111,765)

NB= Native Born

at an even more rapid pace in the workforce. The number of white, native-born population in Metro Chicago fell by 3.2 percent in the 1990s, while white workers declined in number by 4.7 percent, representing 111,427 fewer white workers in the area by the end of the decade. African-American workers grew in number by 31,217, native-born Latinos by 57,166, and native-born Asians by 10,667.

Significant Undocumented Immigration Occurred in the 1990s

The U.S. Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (formerly the U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service), estimates that 432,000 undocumented immigrants resided in Illinois in the year 2000.¹ Illinois ranked fourth among states in the number of undocumented immi-

¹“Estimates of the Unauthorized Immigrant Population Residing in the United States: 1990 to 2000” Washington, DC: U.S. Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services. The Bureau generally defines unauthorized immigrants as those who “entered without inspection or who violated the terms of a temporary admission and who have not acquired legal permanent resident status or gained temporary protection against removal.”

grants, with 6.2 percent of the estimated nationwide total of 7.0 million undocumented immigrants living in Illinois.


Another perspective on undocumented immigration may be obtained by comparing census data and legal immigration data. The U.S. Census Bureau, while conducting its decennial census in March 2000, asked respondents in Illinois to report if they were immigrants who entered the U.S. in the 1990s. Approximately 688,000 persons reported immigrating in this period. On the other hand, the U.S. State Department issued about 475,000 legal immigrant visas to persons coming to Illinois in the 1990s. The discrepancy of these two data items — persons volunteering to the Census Bureau that they recently arrived compared to persons actually getting a legal immigrant visa — is mostly explained by undocumented immigration.

The extent of undocumented immigration is largest among persons from Mexico. Nearly 75 percent of Mexican immigrants who arrived in Illinois in the 1990s appear to be undocumented. This represents a ratio of 3 undocumented to each legal arrival. The portion of 1990s arrivals who are undocumented is approximately 31 percent for all immigrants, 27 percent for Asian im-

migrants, and 21 percent for European immigrants.

Some 87,000 persons reported to the Census Bureau that they immigrated in the 1990s, lived in Illinois, and came from areas of the world apart from Mexico, Europe or Asia. However, about 162,000 legal immigrant visas were granted to persons to these persons from other areas. The low number reporting their presence to the Census Bureau is most likely due to undercounting of that population in the census.²

Figure 5. Undocumented Percentage of 1990s Arrivals: Illinois (estimate)



² The U.S. Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services, in developing its estimates of the undocumented population, accepts an estimate of a net census undercount of approximately 10 percent of the undocumented population. With regard to Mexican, European and Asian arrivals in the 1990s, an adjustment for census undercounting would increase the estimated percentage that reside here without authorization.

Country of Origin Maps and Data Tables

Socioeconomic Profiles and Residential Profiles of Immigrant Groups

In this section we provide data for 25 foreign-born groups that have populations of at least 9,000 persons in the metropolitan area. For each group we provide a set of social and economic data for 1980, 1990 and 2000. Accompanying maps provide an understanding of where the various groups reside in the region. While numerous observations may be made of the data in the following pages, some highlights are as follows:

Marked Differences Are Seen Among Immigrant Groups

Significantly different levels of education, income and other socioeconomic indicators may be observed among the various national-origin groups that make up the immigrant population. For example, poverty rates range from 23.1 percent among persons from Bosnia and Herzegovina (many of whom are refugees) to only 2.2 percent of Filipinos. Some 65.8 percent of immigrants from India have a college degree compared to only 3.4 percent of immigrants from Mexico.

The extraordinary diversity among immigrants must temper generalizations of them as a group, even while it is helpful to examine overall immigrant characteristics to understand the role of immigration in American society.

Recent Arrivals Represent Large Portion of Immigrant Population

Persons who arrived in the U.S. within the last ten years account for 45.2 percent of all metro Chicago immigrants. This is an increase over the findings of the 1990 and the 1980 censuses, reflecting relatively high levels of contemporary immigration.

Immigrant Poverty Rate Falls

The overall poverty rate among immigrants fell in the 1990s from 13.0 percent to 12.1 percent. This decline outpaced the drop in poverty among natives, among whom 10.9 percent were in poverty in 1990 compared to 10.2 percent in 2000.

Education Levels Increase; Still Below those of Natives

Some 61.7 percent of immigrants have a high school degree compared to 86.0 percent of natives. The college completion rate of immigrants is 24.6 percent compared to 31.7 percent for natives.

Immigrant education levels have improved over the last decade, however. The percent of immigrants with a high school education, for example, grew from 49.3 percent in 1980 to 57.3 percent in 1990 to 61.7 percent in 2000. Immigrants with a bachelor's degree increased from 16.6 percent in 1980 to 20.1 percent in 1990 to 24.6 percent in 2000.

Public Assistance Use Falls

In the era of welfare reform, use of cash welfare by immigrants has fallen rapidly, as it has among natives. Only 1.5 percent of immigrants receive welfare compared to 2.9 percent ten years earlier. Similarly, some 2.1 percent of natives get welfare compared to 4.6 percent ten years earlier.


Naturalization Rate and English Proficiency Lower

Naturalization rates among the immigrants of metro Chicago have fallen. About 39.2 percent of immigrants had become citizens in 2000 compared to 44.1 percent in 1990. (The majority of noncitizens are legal permanent residents.)

English proficiency has also declined. Some 68.7 percent of metro immigrants report speaking English “well” or better in 2000 compared to 73.3 percent in 1990.

Naturalization and language proficiency rates are sensitive to the presence of large numbers of recent immigrants in the population, who have not met the five-year waiting period to become a citizen, and who have not had the opportunity to achieve fluency in English.

Key to Locating Townships and Community Areas



COOK TOWNSHIPS

- C1 Barrington
- C2 Berwyn
- C3 Bloom
- C4 Bremen
- C5 Calumet
- C6 Cicero
- C7 Elk Grove
- C8 Evanston
- C9 Hanover
- C10 Lemont
- C11 Leyden
- C12 Lyons
- C13 Maine
- C14 New Trier
- C15 Niles
- C16 Northfield
- C17 Norwood Park
- C18 Oak Park
- C19 Orland
- C20 Palatine
- C21 Palos
- C22 Proviso
- C23 Rich
- C24 River Forest
- C25 Riverside
- C26 Schaumburg
- C27 Stickney
- C28 Thornton
- C29 Wheeling
- C30 Worth

DUPAGE TOWNSHIPS

- D1 Addison
- D2 Bloomingdale
- D3 Downers Grove
- D4 Lisle
- D5 Milton
- D6 Naperville
- D7 Wayne
- D8 Winfield
- D9 York

KANE TOWNSHIPS

- K1 Aurora
- K2 Batavia
- K3 Big Rock
- K4 Blackberry
- K5 Burlington
- K6 Campton
- K7 Dundee
- K8 Elgin
- K9 Geneva
- K10 Hampshire
- K11 Kaneville
- K12 Plato
- K13 Rutland
- K14 St. Charles
- K15 Sugar Grove
- K16 Virgil

LAKE TOWNSHIPS

- L1 Antioch
- L2 Avon
- L3 Benton
- L4 Cuba
- L5 Ela
- L6 Fremont
- L7 Grant
- L8 Lake Villa
- L9 Libertyville
- L10 Moraine
- L11 Newport
- L12 Shields
- L13 Vernon
- L14 Warren
- L15 Wauconda
- L16 Waukegan
- L17 West Deerfield
- L18 Zion

McHENRY TOWNSHIPS

- M1 Alden
- M2 Algonquin
- M3 Burton
- M4 Chemung
- M5 Coral
- M6 Dorr
- M7 Dunham
- M8 Grafton
- M9 Greenwood
- M10 Hartland
- M11 Hebron
- M12 McHenry
- M13 Marengo
- M14 Nunda
- M15 Richmond
- M16 Riley
- M17 Seneca

WILL TOWNSHIPS

- W1 Channahon
- W2 Crete
- W3 Custer
- W4 Du Page
- W5 Florence
- W6 Frankfort
- W7 Green Garden
- W8 Homer
- W9 Jackson
- W10 Joliet
- W11 Lockport
- W12 Manhattan
- W13 Monee
- W14 New Lenox
- W15 Peotone
- W16 Plainfield
- W17 Reed
- W18 Troy
- W19 Washington
- W20 Wesley
- W21 Wheatland
- W22 Will
- W23 Wilmington
- W24 Wilton

CHICAGO COMMUNITY AREAS


- | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 Rogers Park | 14 Albany Park | 27 East Garfield Park | 40 Washington Park | 53 West Pullman | 66 Chicago Lawn |
| 2 West Ridge | 15 Portage Park | 28 Near West Side | 41 Hyde Park | 54 Riverdale | 67 West Englewood |
| 3 Uptown | 16 Irving Park | 29 North Lawndale | 42 Woodlawn | 55 Hegewisch | 68 Englewood |
| 4 Lincoln Square | 17 Dunning | 30 South Lawndale | 43 South Shore | 56 Garfield Ridge | 69 Greater Grand Crossing |
| 5 North Center | 18 Montclare | 31 Lower West Side | 44 Chatham | 57 Archer Heights | 70 Ashburn |
| 6 Lake View | 19 Belmont Cragin | 32 Loop | 45 Avalon Park | 58 Brighton Park | 71 Auburn Gresham |
| 7 Lincoln Park | 20 Hermosa | 33 Near South Side | 46 South Chicago | 59 McKinley Park | 72 Beverly |
| 8 Near North Side | 21 Avondale | 34 Armour Square | 47 Burnside | 60 Bridgeport | 73 Washington Heights |
| 9 Edison Park | 22 Logan Square | 35 Douglas | 48 Calumet Heights | 61 New City | 74 Mount Greenwood |
| 10 Norwood Park | 23 Humboldt Park | 36 Oakland | 49 Roseland | 62 West Elsdon | 75 Morgan Park |
| 11 Jefferson Park | 24 West Town | 37 Fuller Park | 50 Pullman | 63 Gage Park | 76 O'Hare |
| 12 Forest Glen | 25 Austin | 38 Grand Boulevard | 51 South Deering | 64 Clearing | 77 Edgewater |
| 13 North Park | 26 West Garfield Park | 39 Kenwood | 52 East Side | | |

Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Foreign born (total)

	1980	1990	2000
Number	744,260	873,480	1,422,408
% of total population	10.4%	12.0%	17.3%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	NA
Number entered last 10 years	320,100	341,247	642,965
% entered last 10 years	43.0%	39.1%	45.2%
% citizens	48.4%	44.1%	39.2%
% in families	83.7%	84.4%	84.9%
% male	49.5%	51.1%	51.9%
% female	50.5%	48.9%	48.1%
% under 18 years	12.1%	9.2%	10.1%
% 18 to 64 years	68.9%	77.5%	80.1%
% 65 years and over	19.0%	13.3%	9.8%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	75.8%	73.3%	68.7%
% less than 9th grade education	39.1%	29.0%	24.4%
% high school graduate or higher	49.3%	57.3%	61.7%
% bachelor's degree or higher	16.6%	20.1%	24.6%
% 16 years and over in labor force	62.1%	68.8%	62.3%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	23.1%	23.0%	26.1%
% in service occupations	15.0%	16.9%	18.2%
% in sales and office occupations	18.6%	19.0%	18.3%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.6%	1.6%	0.4%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	7.1%	8.0%	9.7%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	35.4%	31.5%	27.3%
% in manufacturing industries	38.7%	31.1%	27.3%
% persons with public assistance	3.2%	2.9%	1.5%
% below poverty level	11.8%	13.0%	12.1%
% 100%-149% poverty level	8.7%	10.5%	9.8%
% 150%-199% poverty level	9.3%	10.6%	11.0%
% 200% or more poverty level	70.2%	65.9%	67.1%
% homeowners	54.0%	56.6%	58.8%

Country of Origin: All Foreign Born




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Asia except Middle East

	1980	1990	2000
Number	112,100	174,318	293,748
% of total population	1.6%	2.4%	3.6%
% of total foreign born	15.1%	20.0%	20.7%
Number entered last 10 years	86,600	90,411	133,993
% entered last 10 years	77.3%	51.9%	45.6%
% citizens	28.9%	45.5%	48.7%
% in families	89.0%	89.6%	86.5%
% male	48.3%	49.0%	48.6%
% female	51.7%	51.0%	51.4%
% under 18 years	18.7%	10.6%	8.3%
% 18 to 64 years	76.7%	82.7%	82.8%
% 65 years and over	4.6%	6.7%	8.9%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	82.8%	81.6%	83.8%
% less than 9th grade education	10.3%	9.9%	7.6%
% high school graduate or higher	83.8%	82.9%	85.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	53.6%	49.7%	55.7%
% 16 years and over in labor force	74.3%	72.6%	65.1%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	47.5%	41.7%	48.2%
% in service occupations	11.5%	12.2%	10.7%
% in sales and office occupations	20.0%	25.3%	22.4%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	2.5%	2.7%	3.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	18.4%	18.0%	14.9%
% in manufacturing industries	25.8%	20.9%	19.4%
% persons with public assistance	2.1%	3.5%	1.1%
% below poverty level	9.8%	11.1%	8.3%
% 100%-149% poverty level	5.5%	7.1%	6.0%
% 150%-199% poverty level	6.2%	7.2%	6.7%
% 200% or more poverty level	78.5%	74.6%	79.1%
% homeowners	50.2%	55.6%	57.3%

Country of Origin: Asia*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in China/Hong Kong/Taiwan

	1980	1990	2000
Number	14,400	25,422	44,736
% of total population	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
% of total foreign born	1.9%	2.9%	3.1%
Number entered last 10 years	7,640	13,209	20,793
% entered last 10 years	53.1%	52.0%	46.5%
% citizens	45.1%	47.9%	48.5%
% in families	89.7%	88.5%	83.7%
% male	48.6%	49.7%	46.0%
% female	51.4%	50.3%	54.0%
% under 18 years	10.4%	7.9%	9.9%
% 18 to 64 years	81.0%	81.9%	77.2%
% 65 years and over	8.6%	10.3%	12.8%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	72.4%	66.9%	73.7%
% less than 9th grade education	25.2%	21.0%	12.7%
% high school graduate or higher	69.3%	69.5%	77.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	42.0%	42.7%	52.5%
% 16 years and over in labor force	68.2%	64.8%	66.2%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	45.7%	44.2%	54.4%
% in service occupations	22.0%	23.3%	15.9%
% in sales and office occupations	16.4%	17.4%	14.4%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	1.6%	1.1%	3.0%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	14.3%	13.9%	12.4%
% in manufacturing industries	23.2%	19.6%	24.2%
% persons with public assistance	1.4%	3.0%	0.8%
% below poverty level	12.4%	16.6%	9.0%
% 100%-149% poverty level	7.6%	14.1%	9.6%
% 150%-199% poverty level	5.7%	10.2%	5.9%
% 200% or more poverty level	74.3%	59.1%	75.4%
% homeowners	57.1%	61.1%	62.8%

Country of Origin: China*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in India

	1980	1990	2000
Number	21,360	35,208	79,210
% of total population	0.3%	0.5%	1.0%
% of total foreign born	2.9%	4.0%	5.6%
Number entered last 10 years	17,640	18,528	40,914
% entered last 10 years	82.6%	52.6%	51.7%
% citizens	21.2%	36.7%	43.6%
% in families	91.8%	94.6%	89.4%
% male	54.6%	53.3%	53.9%
% female	45.4%	46.7%	46.1%
% under 18 years	13.6%	11.2%	6.8%
% 18 to 64 years	85.2%	84.7%	85.2%
% 65 years and over	1.2%	4.1%	7.9%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	92.8%	89.6%	90.2%
% less than 9th grade education	5.6%	5.9%	5.4%
% high school graduate or higher	87.5%	84.8%	87.5%
% bachelor's degree or higher	63.5%	59.3%	65.8%
% 16 years and over in labor force	79.6%	76.9%	65.5%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	55.5%	48.0%	57.2%
% in service occupations	6.2%	7.1%	5.4%
% in sales and office occupations	17.4%	25.0%	22.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	1.7%	1.9%	0.8%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	19.0%	17.9%	13.7%
% in manufacturing industries	32.0%	28.1%	21.5%
% persons with public assistance	0.4%	1.6%	0.8%
% below poverty level	4.8%	6.9%	5.0%
% 100%-149% poverty level	3.3%	5.8%	4.8%
% 150%-199% poverty level	5.6%	7.6%	5.6%
% 200% or more poverty level	86.3%	79.7%	84.7%
% homeowners	56.6%	63.1%	58.1%

Country of Origin: India




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Korea

	1980	1990	2000
Number	17,280	26,847	32,890
% of total population	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%
% of total foreign born	2.3%	3.1%	2.3%
Number entered last 10 years	15,180	14,553	10,151
% entered last 10 years	87.8%	54.2%	30.9%
% citizens	26.5%	44.6%	55.8%
% in families	94.1%	91.5%	82.4%
% male	47.3%	45.9%	46.7%
% female	52.7%	54.1%	53.3%
% under 18 years	26.6%	12.2%	8.3%
% 18 to 64 years	69.4%	80.9%	79.5%
% 65 years and over	3.9%	6.8%	12.2%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	66.8%	67.0%	63.8%
% less than 9th grade education	9.2%	8.2%	7.1%
% high school graduate or higher	84.7%	85.4%	90.5%
% bachelor's degree or higher	43.3%	43.6%	47.0%
% 16 years and over in labor force	69.8%	67.7%	64.7%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	35.1%	32.5%	38.4%
% in service occupations	8.3%	7.8%	6.8%
% in sales and office occupations	18.7%	32.2%	29.0%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	4.5%	3.7%	4.5%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	33.5%	23.8%	20.1%
% in manufacturing industries	36.2%	14.1%	11.9%
% persons with public assistance	1.9%	4.7%	2.8%
% below poverty level	9.6%	15.1%	15.5%
% 100%-149% poverty level	9.0%	8.4%	3.6%
% 150%-199% poverty level	6.9%	7.3%	7.2%
% 200% or more poverty level	74.4%	69.3%	73.6%
% homeowners	48.4%	47.7%	45.9%

Country of Origin: Korea




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Pakistan

	1980	1990	2000
Number	3,520	7,326	20,735
% of total population	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%
% of total foreign born	0.5%	0.8%	1.5%
Number entered last 10 years	3,260	4,977	12,039
% entered last 10 years	92.6%	67.9%	58.1%
% citizens	22.2%	31.4%	34.2%
% in families	79.0%	89.3%	91.3%
% male	65.9%	55.8%	55.6%
% female	34.1%	44.2%	44.4%
% under 18 years	13.1%	16.8%	15.2%
% 18 to 64 years	86.9%	81.8%	81.7%
% 65 years and over	0.0%	1.4%	3.1%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	90.6%	87.7%	94.6%
% less than 9th grade education	6.5%	6.1%	4.2%
% high school graduate or higher	85.5%	87.0%	81.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	50.8%	51.5%	55.5%
% 16 years and over in labor force	82.5%	66.7%	61.7%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	42.5%	36.3%	46.2%
% in service occupations	10.0%	8.4%	10.3%
% in sales and office occupations	18.3%	28.5%	24.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	1.7%	4.8%	4.3%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	27.5%	22.1%	14.4%
% in manufacturing industries	35.0%	20.9%	11.6%
% persons with public assistance	0.6%	1.5%	0.0%
% below poverty level	9.7%	17.9%	11.7%
% 100%-149% poverty level	13.7%	13.7%	10.4%
% 150%-199% poverty level	8.0%	8.8%	15.0%
% 200% or more poverty level	68.6%	59.6%	62.9%
% homeowners	32.2%	38.6%	44.5%

Country of Origin: Pakistan




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Philippines

	1980	1990	2000
Number	33,260	45,780	63,048
% of total population	0.5%	0.6%	0.8%
% of total foreign born	4.5%	5.2%	4.4%
Number entered last 10 years	25,680	19,638	24,242
% entered last 10 years	77.2%	42.9%	38.5%
% citizens	33.7%	60.6%	59.7%
% in families	88.1%	89.7%	86.8%
% male	42.4%	44.7%	42.5%
% female	57.6%	55.3%	57.5%
% under 18 years	17.9%	6.6%	7.0%
% 18 to 64 years	75.9%	84.2%	84.4%
% 65 years and over	6.3%	9.3%	8.5%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	97.0%	93.7%	96.6%
% less than 9th grade education	6.3%	5.4%	3.8%
% high school graduate or higher	89.7%	91.6%	94.8%
% bachelor's degree or higher	67.4%	58.5%	64.9%
% 16 years and over in labor force	80.4%	80.7%	71.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	51.7%	43.5%	47.7%
% in service occupations	11.4%	14.5%	14.2%
% in sales and office occupations	26.2%	27.5%	23.2%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	1.9%	2.5%	4.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	8.7%	11.8%	10.2%
% in manufacturing industries	16.1%	15.2%	13.7%
% persons with public assistance	2.4%	3.0%	0.2%
% below poverty level	3.4%	3.3%	2.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	2.5%	3.5%	2.7%
% 150%-199% poverty level	4.6%	4.5%	2.8%
% 200% or more poverty level	89.5%	88.7%	92.3%
% homeowners	56.5%	63.3%	70.8%

Country of Origin: Philippines




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Vietnam

	1980	1990	2000
Number	4,460	6,753	14,506
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
% of total foreign born	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
Number entered last 10 years	4,340	4,434	8,947
% entered last 10 years	97.3%	65.7%	61.7%
% citizens	11.2%	40.3%	52.6%
% in families	88.8%	81.6%	88.6%
% male	51.1%	54.0%	56.0%
% female	48.9%	46.0%	44.0%
% under 18 years	39.9%	21.4%	6.5%
% 18 to 64 years	59.6%	76.5%	89.5%
% 65 years and over	0.4%	2.1%	4.1%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	52.8%	72.5%	59.1%
% less than 9th grade education	15.0%	24.2%	17.1%
% high school graduate or higher	75.0%	61.8%	56.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	19.0%	14.7%	14.1%
% 16 years and over in labor force	63.1%	67.3%	62.0%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	30.9%	23.4%	19.6%
% in service occupations	6.2%	12.6%	20.6%
% in sales and office occupations	21.0%	18.4%	16.3%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	3.7%	8.5%	2.0%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	37.0%	37.0%	41.5%
% in manufacturing industries	43.2%	47.4%	45.2%
% persons with public assistance	13.7%	11.3%	3.2%
% below poverty level	35.3%	28.5%	12.7%
% 100%-149% poverty level	13.6%	9.8%	15.9%
% 150%-199% poverty level	10.9%	5.7%	16.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	40.3%	55.9%	55.0%
% homeowners	15.6%	38.8%	51.3%

Country of Origin: Vietnam




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Europe and USSR (former)

	1980	1990	2000
Number	325,000	283,326	374,721
% of total population	4.6%	3.9%	4.6%
% of total foreign born	43.7%	32.4%	26.3%
Number entered last 10 years	56,000	65,226	148,144
% entered last 10 years	17.2%	23.0%	39.5%
% citizens	70.0%	62.8%	51.5%
% in families	80.5%	79.1%	80.3%
% male	46.5%	46.1%	48.0%
% female	53.5%	53.9%	52.0%
% under 18 years	4.2%	4.0%	7.4%
% 18 to 64 years	61.0%	67.4%	70.7%
% 65 years and over	34.8%	28.6%	21.9%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	84.2%	83.2%	80.0%
% less than 9th grade education	42.1%	25.5%	13.1%
% high school graduate or higher	45.5%	59.8%	73.8%
% bachelor's degree or higher	10.7%	15.2%	24.8%
% 16 years and over in labor force	52.8%	57.7%	58.9%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	22.3%	25.9%	30.8%
% in service occupations	16.5%	17.4%	17.5%
% in sales and office occupations	20.2%	20.0%	19.3%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	9.4%	11.6%	12.9%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	31.3%	24.8%	19.4%
% in manufacturing industries	35.3%	27.5%	23.0%
% persons with public assistance	2.7%	2.4%	1.6%
% below poverty level	7.5%	8.5%	8.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	7.7%	6.1%	5.5%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.5%	8.2%	7.0%
% 200% or more poverty level	77.3%	77.1%	79.2%
% homeowners	66.2%	71.7%	69.3%

Country of Origin: Europe




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Bosnia and Herzegovina

	1980	1990	2000
Number	NA	NA	9,224
% of total population	NA	NA	0.1%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	0.6%
Number entered last 10 years	NA	NA	8,329
% entered last 10 years	NA	NA	90.3%
% citizens	NA	NA	22.6%
% in families	NA	NA	94.5%
% male	NA	NA	46.8%
% female	NA	NA	53.2%
% under 18 years	NA	NA	21.1%
% 18 to 64 years	NA	NA	75.9%
% 65 years and over	NA	NA	3.0%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	NA	NA	73.2%
% less than 9th grade education	NA	NA	25.3%
% high school graduate or higher	NA	NA	66.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	NA	NA	13.8%
% 16 years and over in labor force	NA	NA	64.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	NA	NA	8.9%
% in service occupations	NA	NA	33.6%
% in sales and office occupations	NA	NA	15.1%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	NA	NA	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	NA	NA	6.7%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	NA	NA	35.6%
% in manufacturing industries	NA	NA	28.9%
% persons with public assistance	NA	NA	5.2%
% below poverty level	NA	NA	23.1%
% 100%-149% poverty level	NA	NA	0.0%
% 150%-199% poverty level	NA	NA	3.5%
% 200% or more poverty level	NA	NA	73.4%
% homeowners	NA	NA	45.4%

Country of Origin: Bosnia-Herzegovina




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in USSR (former)

	1980	1990	2000
Number	23,860	17,283	42,084
% of total population	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%
% of total foreign born	3.2%	2.0%	3.0%
Number entered last 10 years	6,400	6,201	26,278
% entered last 10 years	26.8%	35.9%	62.4%
% citizens	68.3%	64.2%	47.2%
% in families	74.9%	76.7%	79.1%
% male	46.7%	45.9%	49.9%
% female	53.3%	54.1%	50.1%
% under 18 years	7.5%	8.7%	14.9%
% 18 to 64 years	42.9%	47.9%	64.1%
% 65 years and over	49.6%	43.4%	21.0%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	78.7%	75.7%	72.2%
% less than 9th grade education	39.3%	22.8%	7.3%
% high school graduate or higher	47.4%	67.3%	84.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	18.8%	30.8%	51.2%
% 16 years and over in labor force	42.5%	46.1%	55.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	25.2%	41.4%	49.3%
% in service occupations	18.6%	10.8%	16.7%
% in sales and office occupations	20.0%	24.6%	11.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	6.5%	6.3%	9.8%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	29.6%	16.9%	12.5%
% in manufacturing industries	31.0%	22.8%	17.5%
% persons with public assistance	4.6%	7.0%	7.1%
% below poverty level	15.2%	20.4%	14.5%
% 100%-149% poverty level	12.1%	5.7%	10.4%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.8%	10.8%	8.1%
% 200% or more poverty level	64.9%	63.1%	67.0%
% homeowners	48.0%	53.1%	50.0%

Country of Origin: Former Soviet Union*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Yugoslavia (former)

	1980	1990	2000
Number	22,200	19,191	33,017
% of total population	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
% of total foreign born	3.0%	2.2%	2.3%
Number entered last 10 years	6,360	2,712	16,585
% entered last 10 years	28.6%	14.1%	50.2%
% citizens	63.2%	72.4%	51.2%
% in families	87.3%	85.9%	87.5%
% male	50.7%	51.6%	47.9%
% female	49.3%	48.4%	52.1%
% under 18 years	6.0%	3.3%	10.3%
% 18 to 64 years	72.9%	74.5%	69.2%
% 65 years and over	21.1%	22.3%	20.4%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	78.7%	85.9%	83.4%
% less than 9th grade education	52.6%	35.1%	17.9%
% high school graduate or higher	37.1%	53.5%	72.6%
% bachelor's degree or higher	8.4%	11.1%	20.9%
% 16 years and over in labor force	61.4%	62.9%	57.9%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	14.4%	20.4%	26.9%
% in service occupations	21.5%	21.9%	23.6%
% in sales and office occupations	12.7%	14.9%	18.9%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	9.6%	13.3%	10.1%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	41.9%	28.7%	20.5%
% in manufacturing industries	45.4%	30.2%	24.1%
% persons with public assistance	2.2%	1.6%	3.0%
% below poverty level	5.2%	8.5%	13.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	7.6%	5.1%	10.6%
% 150%-199% poverty level	6.6%	5.1%	2.9%
% 200% or more poverty level	80.6%	81.3%	73.3%
% homeowners	70.9%	76.2%	63.1%

Country of Origin: Former Yugoslavia*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Yugoslavia

	1980	1990	2000
Number	NA	NA	16,990
% of total population	NA	NA	0.2%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	1.2%
Number entered last 10 years	NA	NA	5,399
% entered last 10 years	NA	NA	31.8%
% citizens	NA	NA	61.8%
% in families	NA	NA	85.9%
% male	NA	NA	47.1%
% female	NA	NA	52.9%
% under 18 years	NA	NA	7.5%
% 18 to 64 years	NA	NA	65.0%
% 65 years and over	NA	NA	27.4%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	NA	NA	90.3%
% less than 9th grade education	NA	NA	15.9%
% high school graduate or higher	NA	NA	74.7%
% bachelor's degree or higher	NA	NA	22.2%
% 16 years and over in labor force	NA	NA	54.3%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	NA	NA	34.5%
% in service occupations	NA	NA	20.9%
% in sales and office occupations	NA	NA	22.0%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	NA	NA	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	NA	NA	8.3%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	NA	NA	14.3%
% in manufacturing industries	NA	NA	22.0%
% persons with public assistance	NA	NA	3.1%
% below poverty level	NA	NA	10.3%
% 100%-149% poverty level	NA	NA	12.3%
% 150%-199% poverty level	NA	NA	1.0%
% 200% or more poverty level	NA	NA	76.4%
% homeowners	NA	NA	72.5%

Country of Origin: Yugoslavia*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Poland

	1980	1990	2000
Number	63,140	81,312	138,570
% of total population	0.9%	1.1%	1.7%
% of total foreign born	8.5%	9.3%	9.7%
Number entered last 10 years	14,880	35,697	67,878
% entered last 10 years	23.6%	43.9%	49.0%
% citizens	60.9%	44.1%	40.0%
% in families	78.6%	76.6%	82.9%
% male	47.1%	46.4%	47.8%
% female	52.9%	53.6%	52.2%
% under 18 years	3.3%	5.9%	8.8%
% 18 to 64 years	63.3%	73.7%	78.0%
% 65 years and over	33.4%	20.4%	13.2%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	71.5%	68.1%	70.0%
% less than 9th grade education	49.3%	24.6%	13.7%
% high school graduate or higher	37.3%	61.0%	69.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	7.7%	12.1%	16.0%
% 16 years and over in labor force	57.1%	65.5%	63.3%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	12.8%	15.4%	15.8%
% in service occupations	17.9%	24.3%	20.7%
% in sales and office occupations	14.4%	13.2%	19.0%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	8.3%	13.9%	16.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	46.2%	33.0%	27.9%
% in manufacturing industries	49.6%	34.8%	27.0%
% persons with public assistance	2.8%	2.0%	0.9%
% below poverty level	8.4%	10.9%	6.5%
% 100%-149% poverty level	7.1%	7.6%	3.9%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.2%	9.5%	9.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	77.4%	72.0%	80.2%
% homeowners	65.2%	66.1%	70.5%

Country of Origin: Poland




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Romania

	1980	1990	2000
Number	5,460	9,588	11,109
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
% of total foreign born	0.7%	1.1%	0.8%
Number entered last 10 years	1,660	5,292	5,671
% entered last 10 years	30.4%	55.2%	51.0%
% citizens	69.6%	57.0%	54.7%
% in families	86.8%	87.9%	79.0%
% male	48.0%	48.2%	41.6%
% female	52.0%	51.8%	58.4%
% under 18 years	4.8%	16.7%	10.7%
% 18 to 64 years	62.3%	63.5%	77.0%
% 65 years and over	33.0%	19.8%	12.2%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	81.6%	84.9%	90.5%
% less than 9th grade education	45.9%	30.9%	6.5%
% high school graduate or higher	39.2%	53.4%	88.0%
% bachelor's degree or higher	10.6%	18.0%	33.6%
% 16 years and over in labor force	55.1%	62.6%	64.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	23.9%	20.5%	34.4%
% in service occupations	20.4%	19.5%	16.6%
% in sales and office occupations	12.7%	13.8%	17.1%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	8.5%	13.8%	17.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	32.4%	31.8%	14.2%
% in manufacturing industries	38.7%	28.9%	16.2%
% persons with public assistance	3.4%	3.5%	0.8%
% below poverty level	6.3%	15.2%	3.1%
% 100%-149% poverty level	11.9%	3.2%	1.8%
% 150%-199% poverty level	4.1%	6.9%	15.2%
% 200% or more poverty level	77.8%	74.7%	80.0%
% homeowners	54.6%	60.3%	65.4%

Country of Origin: Romania




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Russia

	1980	1990	2000
Number	NA	NA	12,347
% of total population	NA	NA	0.2%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	0.9%
Number entered last 10 years	NA	NA	8,652
% entered last 10 years	NA	NA	70.1%
% citizens	NA	NA	38.2%
% in families	NA	NA	80.8%
% male	NA	NA	51.4%
% female	NA	NA	48.6%
% under 18 years	NA	NA	24.2%
% 18 to 64 years	NA	NA	58.1%
% 65 years and over	NA	NA	17.7%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	NA	NA	82.2%
% less than 9th grade education	NA	NA	6.0%
% high school graduate or higher	NA	NA	92.8%
% bachelor's degree or higher	NA	NA	53.8%
% 16 years and over in labor force	NA	NA	54.8%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	NA	NA	57.0%
% in service occupations	NA	NA	18.7%
% in sales and office occupations	NA	NA	9.4%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	NA	NA	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	NA	NA	3.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	NA	NA	11.3%
% in manufacturing industries	NA	NA	7.8%
% persons with public assistance	NA	NA	1.6%
% below poverty level	NA	NA	13.0%
% 100%-149% poverty level	NA	NA	8.4%
% 150%-199% poverty level	NA	NA	4.9%
% 200% or more poverty level	NA	NA	73.7%
% homeowners	NA	NA	50.5%

Country of Origin: Russia




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Ukraine

	1980	1990	2000
Number	NA	NA	18,370
% of total population	NA	NA	0.2%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	1.3%
Number entered last 10 years	NA	NA	10,614
% entered last 10 years	NA	NA	57.8%
% citizens	NA	NA	48.7%
% in families	NA	NA	73.9%
% male	NA	NA	45.4%
% female	NA	NA	54.6%
% under 18 years	NA	NA	10.3%
% 18 to 64 years	NA	NA	60.6%
% 65 years and over	NA	NA	29.1%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	NA	NA	60.1%
% less than 9th grade education	NA	NA	9.6%
% high school graduate or higher	NA	NA	78.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	NA	NA	41.1%
% 16 years and over in labor force	NA	NA	47.9%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	NA	NA	45.4%
% in service occupations	NA	NA	15.2%
% in sales and office occupations	NA	NA	11.0%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	NA	NA	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	NA	NA	19.9%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	NA	NA	8.4%
% in manufacturing industries	NA	NA	19.6%
% persons with public assistance	NA	NA	10.4%
% below poverty level	NA	NA	15.7%
% 100%-149% poverty level	NA	NA	15.6%
% 150%-199% poverty level	NA	NA	10.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	NA	NA	58.4%
% homeowners	NA	NA	48.9%

Country of Origin: Ukraine




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Latin America

	1980	1990	2000
Number	220,280	342,138	680,416
% of total population	3.1%	4.7%	8.3%
% of total foreign born	29.6%	39.2%	47.8%
Number entered last 10 years	138,800	155,790	325,106
% entered last 10 years	63.0%	45.5%	47.8%
% citizens	23.6%	27.5%	27.6%
% in families	88.7%	88.5%	87.2%
% male	54.5%	56.0%	55.0%
% female	45.5%	44.0%	45.0%
% under 18 years	19.6%	12.8%	12.4%
% 18 to 64 years	76.7%	83.2%	84.0%
% 65 years and over	3.8%	4.0%	3.6%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	55.6%	58.1%	53.3%
% less than 9th grade education	54.7%	46.4%	42.2%
% high school graduate or higher	33.3%	37.1%	39.1%
% bachelor's degree or higher	7.1%	6.8%	6.7%
% 16 years and over in labor force	72.4%	77.0%	62.5%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	9.3%	9.6%	10.3%
% in service occupations	16.0%	19.9%	23.0%
% in sales and office occupations	13.7%	13.7%	14.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	1.3%	3.3%	0.7%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	6.9%	8.9%	11.5%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	52.8%	44.6%	39.7%
% in manufacturing industries	52.9%	40.6%	35.6%
% persons with public assistance	3.7%	2.8%	1.6%
% below poverty level	17.2%	17.3%	15.8%
% 100%-149% poverty level	11.9%	16.0%	14.1%
% 150%-199% poverty level	14.1%	14.6%	15.6%
% 200% or more poverty level	56.8%	52.0%	54.5%
% homeowners	33.9%	41.2%	51.9%

Country of Origin: Latin America




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Colombia

	1980	1990	2000
Number	5,300	7,290	9,611
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
% of total foreign born	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Number entered last 10 years	3,440	2,790	3,771
% entered last 10 years	64.9%	38.3%	39.2%
% citizens	23.4%	39.5%	56.6%
% in families	87.2%	87.1%	86.5%
% male	51.7%	42.3%	44.1%
% female	48.3%	57.7%	55.9%
% under 18 years	17.4%	9.0%	7.4%
% 18 to 64 years	80.4%	86.3%	86.0%
% 65 years and over	2.3%	4.7%	6.6%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	66.5%	77.1%	65.6%
% less than 9th grade education	22.5%	12.1%	21.1%
% high school graduate or higher	68.0%	74.4%	62.3%
% bachelor's degree or higher	16.3%	25.2%	21.1%
% 16 years and over in labor force	72.2%	80.6%	68.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	20.9%	29.7%	27.0%
% in service occupations	11.5%	15.7%	13.0%
% in sales and office occupations	25.7%	29.7%	23.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	4.7%	6.0%	5.7%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	37.2%	18.8%	30.5%
% in manufacturing industries	44.6%	24.4%	24.6%
% persons with public assistance	3.9%	5.4%	1.4%
% below poverty level	19.0%	11.6%	16.3%
% 100%-149% poverty level	8.4%	13.3%	1.1%
% 150%-199% poverty level	10.3%	10.8%	16.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	62.4%	64.3%	66.2%
% homeowners	43.0%	41.9%	46.9%

Country of Origin: Colombia




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Ecuador

	1980	1990	2000
Number	4,620	6,042	9,123
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
% of total foreign born	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
Number entered last 10 years	2,520	2,418	3,722
% entered last 10 years	54.5%	40.0%	40.8%
% citizens	21.6%	28.9%	46.0%
% in families	92.6%	89.3%	84.1%
% male	50.2%	58.9%	58.0%
% female	49.8%	41.1%	42.0%
% under 18 years	19.0%	10.8%	6.4%
% 18 to 64 years	78.4%	86.4%	89.7%
% 65 years and over	2.6%	2.8%	3.9%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	71.1%	75.9%	70.3%
% less than 9th grade education	21.3%	19.8%	22.4%
% high school graduate or higher	61.9%	66.2%	57.8%
% bachelor's degree or higher	19.4%	14.5%	13.4%
% 16 years and over in labor force	71.9%	77.9%	62.8%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	19.7%	20.6%	17.7%
% in service occupations	8.8%	25.0%	18.9%
% in sales and office occupations	16.1%	21.3%	21.1%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	5.1%	3.3%	22.9%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	50.4%	29.8%	19.5%
% in manufacturing industries	56.2%	23.0%	16.2%
% persons with public assistance	3.5%	3.5%	0.7%
% below poverty level	10.0%	16.3%	11.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	6.1%	10.7%	19.7%
% 150%-199% poverty level	8.3%	14.1%	19.0%
% 200% or more poverty level	75.7%	58.9%	50.2%
% homeowners	40.4%	44.2%	59.5%

Country of Origin: Ecuador




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Mexico

	1980	1990	2000
Number	165,320	268,293	573,627
% of total population	2.3%	3.7%	7.0%
% of total foreign born	22.2%	30.7%	40.3%
Number entered last 10 years	111,000	125,184	282,815
% entered last 10 years	67.1%	46.7%	49.3%
% citizens	19.9%	24.1%	24.5%
% in families	89.4%	89.4%	88.1%
% male	56.4%	58.0%	56.2%
% female	43.6%	42.0%	43.8%
% under 18 years	21.2%	13.9%	12.7%
% 18 to 64 years	75.4%	83.0%	84.5%
% 65 years and over	3.4%	3.1%	2.8%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	49.0%	53.6%	49.6%
% less than 9th grade education	65.8%	54.8%	46.9%
% high school graduate or higher	21.8%	28.1%	33.5%
% bachelor's degree or higher	2.9%	3.1%	3.4%
% 16 years and over in labor force	72.1%	77.5%	62.5%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	4.6%	5.4%	6.7%
% in service occupations	16.1%	20.0%	23.9%
% in sales and office occupations	11.0%	11.1%	13.9%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	1.7%	4.1%	0.8%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	7.5%	9.9%	12.0%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	59.1%	49.4%	42.7%
% in manufacturing industries	57.5%	44.4%	37.7%
% persons with public assistance	3.3%	2.3%	1.6%
% below poverty level	18.9%	18.5%	16.1%
% 100%-149% poverty level	13.0%	17.5%	14.8%
% 150%-199% poverty level	15.7%	15.2%	16.3%
% 200% or more poverty level	52.4%	48.8%	52.8%
% homeowners	32.4%	40.4%	52.3%

Country of Origin: Mexico




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Central America except Mexico

	1980	1990	2000
Number	11,780	24,147	42,323
% of total population	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
% of total foreign born	1.6%	2.8%	3.0%
Number entered last 10 years	8,220	13,794	18,426
% entered last 10 years	69.8%	57.1%	43.5%
% citizens	24.4%	28.3%	33.8%
% in families	86.4%	84.6%	82.1%
% male	45.0%	49.6%	50.6%
% female	55.0%	50.4%	49.4%
% under 18 years	20.4%	12.2%	11.3%
% 18 to 64 years	76.7%	82.2%	83.4%
% 65 years and over	2.9%	5.6%	5.3%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	70.6%	64.5%	63.3%
% less than 9th grade education	34.7%	30.6%	25.5%
% high school graduate or higher	49.3%	51.4%	56.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	7.7%	8.7%	11.8%
% 16 years and over in labor force	75.7%	76.5%	61.8%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	12.1%	13.4%	13.2%
% in service occupations	19.9%	24.3%	21.9%
% in sales and office occupations	11.5%	18.0%	17.9%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.9%	1.6%	0.3%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	7.3%	4.8%	9.8%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	48.3%	37.9%	37.0%
% in manufacturing industries	52.0%	35.6%	32.9%
% persons with public assistance	3.8%	3.6%	2.0%
% below poverty level	15.4%	17.4%	17.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	13.2%	13.4%	13.1%
% 150%-199% poverty level	14.7%	16.5%	12.7%
% 200% or more poverty level	56.8%	52.7%	56.9%
% homeowners	22.8%	32.5%	39.2%

Country of Origin: Central America*




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Guatemala

	1980	1990	2000
Number	5,720	10,695	21,598
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
% of total foreign born	0.8%	1.2%	1.5%
Number entered last 10 years	4,580	6,132	10,023
% entered last 10 years	80.1%	57.3%	46.4%
% citizens	14.7%	25.8%	34.7%
% in families	88.5%	88.0%	80.5%
% male	47.9%	50.2%	53.7%
% female	52.1%	49.8%	46.3%
% under 18 years	21.3%	13.0%	12.4%
% 18 to 64 years	77.3%	82.6%	82.7%
% 65 years and over	1.4%	4.4%	4.9%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	60.9%	62.3%	60.4%
% less than 9th grade education	41.8%	35.2%	26.2%
% high school graduate or higher	35.7%	50.4%	55.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	4.4%	6.3%	5.7%
% 16 years and over in labor force	78.4%	74.8%	58.0%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	4.8%	10.7%	11.7%
% in service occupations	17.6%	23.3%	10.3%
% in sales and office occupations	12.1%	14.9%	22.0%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	6.7%	4.6%	13.3%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	57.6%	45.7%	42.7%
% in manufacturing industries	64.8%	41.0%	37.5%
% persons with public assistance	1.6%	2.6%	3.9%
% below poverty level	11.6%	19.2%	15.1%
% 100%-149% poverty level	13.0%	11.5%	13.9%
% 150%-199% poverty level	22.8%	18.9%	13.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	52.6%	50.4%	57.6%
% homeowners	13.7%	30.7%	48.8%

Country of Origin: Guatemala




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Middle East

	1980	1990	2000
Number	17,320	19,599	29,047
% of total population	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%
% of total foreign born	2.3%	2.2%	2.0%
Number entered last 10 years	12,300	9,624	10,716
% entered last 10 years	71.0%	49.1%	36.9%
% citizens	35.2%	51.0%	62.1%
% in families	89.3%	91.5%	89.5%
% male	54.6%	54.3%	58.3%
% female	45.4%	45.7%	41.7%
% under 18 years	19.3%	11.7%	6.7%
% 18 to 64 years	72.7%	82.8%	79.9%
% 65 years and over	8.0%	5.5%	13.4%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	83.1%	85.9%	86.3%
% less than 9th grade education	28.3%	23.7%	17.4%
% high school graduate or higher	61.5%	63.2%	72.1%
% bachelor's degree or higher	21.4%	19.4%	26.0%
% 16 years and over in labor force	63.3%	68.9%	62.1%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	23.4%	25.2%	33.2%
% in service occupations	10.2%	10.2%	7.4%
% in sales and office occupations	26.6%	28.8%	36.9%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	7.6%	4.2%	5.9%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	32.2%	31.6%	16.6%
% in manufacturing industries	34.7%	26.1%	13.5%
% persons with public assistance	4.0%	4.7%	2.4%
% below poverty level	18.4%	16.7%	14.4%
% 100%-149% poverty level	9.2%	11.1%	9.7%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.3%	7.3%	7.7%
% 200% or more poverty level	65.0%	65.0%	68.2%
% homeowners	47.8%	46.0%	55.0%

Country of Origin: Middle East




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Iraq

	1980	1990	2000
Number	4,360	7,686	11,050
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
% of total foreign born	0.6%	0.9%	0.8%
Number entered last 10 years	4,040	3,624	3,632
% entered last 10 years	92.7%	47.2%	32.9%
% citizens	11.0%	55.9%	62.5%
% in families	92.2%	95.2%	92.6%
% male	56.0%	53.3%	49.2%
% female	44.0%	46.7%	50.8%
% under 18 years	20.6%	7.7%	3.4%
% 18 to 64 years	75.7%	86.8%	74.7%
% 65 years and over	3.7%	5.5%	22.0%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	81.9%	87.1%	78.2%
% less than 9th grade education	28.1%	29.1%	29.2%
% high school graduate or higher	59.7%	60.1%	57.0%
% bachelor's degree or higher	19.4%	10.2%	10.6%
% 16 years and over in labor force	73.6%	73.6%	60.6%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	18.2%	13.8%	22.0%
% in service occupations	4.1%	13.0%	10.7%
% in sales and office occupations	24.8%	28.1%	40.3%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	9.9%	4.7%	3.6%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	43.0%	40.4%	23.5%
% in manufacturing industries	54.5%	40.8%	19.4%
% persons with public assistance	0.5%	5.4%	4.6%
% below poverty level	13.8%	10.0%	12.7%
% 100%-149% poverty level	3.7%	15.4%	2.1%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.8%	5.4%	7.4%
% 200% or more poverty level	74.8%	69.2%	77.8%
% homeowners	32.4%	47.0%	51.9%

Country of Origin: Iraq




Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Born in Sub-Saharan Africa

	1980	1990	2000
Number	5,560	7,230	21,828
% of total population	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%
% of total foreign born	0.7%	0.8%	1.5%
Number entered last 10 years	3,900	4,341	13,685
% entered last 10 years	70.1%	60.0%	62.7%
% citizens	32.4%	29.8%	38.6%
% in families	80.2%	66.1%	81.5%
% male	57.6%	65.7%	52.3%
% female	42.4%	34.3%	47.7%
% under 18 years	14.0%	8.5%	11.5%
% 18 to 64 years	77.0%	89.8%	88.5%
% 65 years and over	9.0%	1.7%	0.0%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	93.4%	94.8%	93.3%
% less than 9th grade education	13.3%	6.4%	2.1%
% high school graduate or higher	80.0%	89.6%	95.4%
% bachelor's degree or higher	35.2%	51.5%	57.4%
% 16 years and over in labor force	67.3%	84.0%	75.4%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	36.7%	37.4%	45.5%
% in service occupations	10.9%	12.8%	21.7%
% in sales and office occupations	19.7%	26.1%	20.8%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	5.4%	1.1%	3.4%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	26.5%	22.7%	8.6%
% in manufacturing industries	25.2%	10.3%	6.9%
% persons with public assistance	2.8%	3.9%	0.4%
% below poverty level	20.1%	14.3%	13.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	6.6%	8.8%	8.6%
% 150%-199% poverty level	7.7%	10.1%	7.8%
% 200% or more poverty level	65.7%	66.8%	70.4%
% homeowners	31.9%	28.3%	45.5%

Country of Origin: Sub-Saharan Africa



Appendices

Appendix I. Immigrant Population by Year and Region/Country of Birth: Metro Chicago

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Total population	6,220,913	6,974,423	7,103,624	7,261,176	8,091,720
Foreign-born population	601,015	563,176	744,930	879,863	1,416,890
Percent of total foreign born	9.7%	8.1%	10.5%	12.1%	17.5%
EUROPE/FORMER USSR	526,712	405,449	328,980	282,281	366,826
Austria	20,805	14,986	8,963	5,134	3,684
Czechoslovakia (former) *	28,156	17,906	10,728	6,533	7,172
France	3,872	3,636	3,739	3,097	4,060
Germany	74,120	58,080	44,660	33,026	26,213
Greece	14,995	21,204	23,615	19,449	17,934
Hungary	13,645	10,236	6,990	4,884	3,437
Ireland	25,795	18,832	14,792	11,985	10,562
Italy	61,930	53,531	44,756	31,258	25,924
Netherlands	6,462	4,619	3,535	2,818	2,154
Poland	90,109	69,426	62,434	78,802	137,670
Portugal *	99	305	556	208	235
Romania	6,893	5,257	5,426	8,741	11,593
Spain	485	1,148	1,008	1,035	1,746
Sweden	26,316	13,504	6,442	3,491	2,011
USSR (former) *	43,700	28,697	24,508	19,048	47,266
United Kingdom	31,405	23,390	18,901	16,401	15,906
Yugoslavia (former) *	21,574	21,666	22,262	19,939	29,724
Other Europe	56,351	39,026	25,665	16,432	19,535
Albania	616	676			
Belgium	3,451	2,394	1,790	1,180	
Bulgaria	432	573			
Denmark	6,093	3,891	2,225	1,362	
Estonia	956	784		431	
Finland	2,036	1,374	773	415	
Iceland	95	30			
Latvia	4,585	3,776	2,777	1,956	
Lithuania	24,802	16,850	10,583	6,873	
Luxembourg	918	578			
Norway	9,854	5,588	2,950	1,522	
Switzerland	2,112	1,816	1,258	1,158	
residual Europe	401	696	3,309	1,535	19,535
ASIA	14,696	35,341	128,293	200,327	321,239
China *	3,011	5,690	11,693	25,732	44,809
India	591	3,725	21,451	37,000	76,931
Israel	858	1,982	3,882	3,527	4,615
Japan	2,882	3,840	6,197	8,217	10,417
Korea	261	2,028	16,922	26,898	35,468
Lebanon	216	301	1,343	1,863	2,312
Philippines	2,358	9,176	32,217	46,466	63,107
Turkey	1,902	1,909	1,937	1,620	2,548

Appendix I. Immigrant Population by Year and Region/Country of Birth: Metro Chicago (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Other Asia *	2,617	6,690	32,651	49,004	81,032
Afghanistan				357	511
Bangladesh					1,466
Cambodia				2,176	2,653
Indonesia				545	1,439
Iran			3,392	4,520	5,463
Iraq				7,529	9,504
Jordan				3,343	5,271
Laos				2,746	2,447
Malaysia				721	1,371
Myanmar				880	
Pakistan	83	357		8,488	18,821
Saudi Arabia				470	
Syria	148	324		1,857	4,031
Thailand			3,040	3,848	4,990
Vietnam			4,310	6,911	14,764
residual Asia	2,381	6,009	21,909	4,613	8,301
AMERICAS	52,402	99,903	232,315	357,666	703,709
Canada	21,428	18,092	16,417	13,121	15,136
Mexico	23,450	47,397	160,729	271,028	582,028
El Salvador	62	109	1,072	4,964	6,735
Guatemala	167	1,773	5,959	12,299	19,326
Cuba	1,736	15,133	13,920	10,900	9,653
Dominican Republic	147	589	1,028	1,168	2,319
Haiti	122	1,150	2,655	2,939	4,197
Jamaica	546	1,670	4,375	5,258	7,512
Argentina	775	1,683	2,145	2,533	2,924
Brazil	548	998	1,591	2,009	2,839
Colombia	531	3,466	5,208	6,831	10,205
Ecuador	296	2,269	4,022	6,033	12,281
Peru	307	973	1,904	3,514	5,030
Other Americas	2,287	4,601	11,290	15,069	23,524
Belize	73	785		2,620	
Costa Rica	87	233		675	1,078
Honduras	115	614		2,495	6,457
Nicaragua	71	126		967	1,327
Panama	233	378		1,264	1,551
residual North/Central America			5,581	479	4,575
Antigua and Barbuda				39	
Bahamas				201	
Barbados			176	241	247
Grenada				5	
Trinidad and Tobago		300	725	717	1,092
residual West Indies	586	481	1,281	647	1,195
Bolivia	135	366		995	909

Appendix I. Immigrant Population by Year and Region/Country of Birth: Metro Chicago (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
Chile	169	405		1,236	1,329
Guyana			461	961	863
Paraguay	13				
Uruguay	21	69		286	
Venezuela	180	315		766	1,863
residual South America	604	529	3,066	475	1,038
AFRICA	943	2,412	7,734	10,503	23,087
Egypt *	293	903	1,400	1,539	2,121
Other Africa	650	1,509	6,334	8,964	20,966
Ethiopia *				1,036	1,289
Ghana				1,094	3,085
Kenya				480	
Morocco				340	
Nigeria				2,525	6,775
Senegal				11	
Sierra Leone					132
South Africa	145	273		821	1,586
residual Africa	505	1,236	6,334	2,657	8,099
ALL OTHER	967	1,253	1,577	1,416	2,029
Australia	685	871		1,133	1,464
residual all other	282	382		283	565
Melanesia					61
Micronesia					57
Polynesia					37
other Oceania					410
NOT REPORTED	5,295	18,818	46,031	27,670	-

Notes: Blank spaces indicate data not reported by Census Bureau on summary tape files. Czechoslovakia in 2000 includes Czechoslovakia, Czech Republic, and Slovakia and is 100% comparable to previous censuses. Portugal includes Azores. Azores was deducted from Africa in 1960 and from all other in 1970 and added to Europe. USSR (former) 2000 includes Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine in Europe plus Armenia now tabulated by the Bureau of the Census in Asia on Summary File 3. We have estimated data from the eight other former constituent republics and USSR not elsewhere classified based on PUMS 1% data which recently became available. We similarly estimated data for Croatia, Macedonia, and Slovenia to augment the SF3 numbers for Yugoslavia (former) for 2000. China for 1960 probably excludes Taiwan and Hong Kong; for 1970 probably excludes Hong Kong only; and for 1980 excludes Taiwan only. Armenia included in USSR (former) in Europe prior to census 2000. Egypt, a part of the United Arab Republic, was deducted from Asia in 1960. Eritrea was included in Ethiopia in 1990 prior to its independence.

Source: Summary Tape Files of 1960-2000 Censuses

**Appendix 2. Immigrant Population:
1850-2000**

	Metro Chicago	Chicago	Suburbs
1850	39,145	15,682	23,463
1860	102,864	54,624	48,240
1870	207,254	144,557	62,697
1880	284,799	204,859	79,940
1890	537,982	450,666	87,316
1900	685,407	587,112	98,295
1910	912,649	781,217	131,432
1920	952,704	805,482	147,222
1930	1,025,423	842,057	183,366
1940	825,194	672,705	152,489
1950	669,158	526,058	143,100
1960	601,015	438,392	162,623
1970	563,176	373,919	189,257
1980	744,930	435,232	309,698
1990	879,863	469,187	410,676
2000	1,416,890	628,903	787,987

Note: Data for 1910-1950 are for foreign-born white persons only.

**Appendix 3. Immigrant Percent
of Population: 1850-2000**

	Metro Chicago	Chicago	Suburbs
1850	34.0%	52.3%	27.5%
1860	39.7%	50.0%	32.1%
1870	42.0%	48.4%	32.2%
1880	36.9%	40.7%	29.8%
1890	38.7%	41.0%	29.9%
1900	32.9%	34.6%	25.5%
1910	33.8%	35.7%	25.4%
1920	28.1%	29.8%	21.2%
1930	23.0%	24.9%	17.1%
1940	18.1%	19.8%	13.0%
1950	12.9%	14.5%	9.2%
1960	9.7%	12.3%	6.1%
1970	8.1%	11.1%	5.2%
1980	10.5%	14.5%	7.6%
1990	12.1%	16.9%	9.2%
2000	17.5%	21.7%	15.2%

Note: Data for 1910-1950 are for foreign-born white persons only.

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Addison village	300	1,407	3,739	6,240	12,235	34.3
Algonquin village	.	142	215	528	1,485	6.3
Alsip village	208	446	792	1,028	1,760	8.9
Antioch village	.	130	250	165	295	3.3
Arbary Hills CDP	.	.	30	.	.	.
Arlington Heights village	908	2,214	4,571	7,042	10,546	13.9
Aurora city	3,506	3,471	6,557	11,944	30,858	21.5
Bannockburn village	.	.	134	241	185	13.0
Barrington Hills village	.	115	283	345	214	5.1
Barrington village	255	305	410	542	661	6.6
Bartlett village	.	115	1,049	1,038	4,032	10.9
Batavia city	389	315	416	564	1,242	5.2
Beach Park village	.	.	.	337	728	7.5
Bedford Park village	.	.	76	11	26	4.6
Beecher village	.	.	52	26	51	2.5
Bellwood village	1,635	1,919	1,726	1,073	1,256	6.1
Bensenville village	594	800	1,831	3,413	6,461	31.5
Berkeley village	310	335	383	450	704	13.4
Berwyn city	6,714	6,002	6,078	5,443	13,552	25.1
Bloomington village	.	158	1,053	1,519	2,858	13.2
Blue Island city	1,619	1,583	2,378	3,073	5,159	22.1
Bolingbrook village	.	147	2,309	3,235	8,108	14.4
Braidwood city	.	.	39	44	77	1.5
Bridgeview village	312	579	1,197	1,294	2,897	18.9
Broadview village	469	611	478	344	325	3.9
Brookfield village	1,632	1,349	1,257	1,207	1,755	9.2
Buffalo Grove village	.	384	1,574	2,890	8,690	20.4
Bull Valley village	.	.	12	33	29	4.2
Burbank city	.	1,150	2,080	2,813	6,072	21.8
Burlington village	.	.	7	4	28	6.1
Burnham village	.	124	140	236	283	6.8
Burr Ridge village	.	.	416	730	1,359	13.2
Calumet City city	1,546	1,293	2,743	1,943	2,841	7.3
Calumet Park village	507	462	694	519	343	4.0
Carol Stream village	.	140	997	3,104	6,689	16.8
Carpentersville village	371	770	1,428	2,289	8,006	26.4
Cary village	83	184	273	375	674	4.4
Channahon village	.	.	64	56	94	1.3
Channel Lake CDP	.	.	75	43	70	3.9
Chicago city	438,392	373,919	435,232	469,187	628,903	21.7
Chicago Heights city	2,652	2,537	2,441	2,120	3,700	11.2
Chicago Ridge village	166	225	818	1,079	1,909	13.8
Cicero town	10,280	8,311	8,145	16,119	37,343	43.6
Clarendon Hills village	233	207	343	429	628	8.1
Country Club Hills city	49	124	602	599	382	2.4
Countryside city	.	144	445	366	964	16.1
Crest Hill city	223	254	373	328	577	4.4
Crestwood village	.	184	624	370	386	3.4
Crete village	77	60	118	137	117	1.6
Crystal Lake city	498	615	856	1,033	2,784	7.4

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Crystal Lawns CDP	.	.	.	81	86	2.9
Darien city	.	284	1,508	1,721	3,486	15.2
Deer Park village	.	.	123	170	270	8.4
Deerfield village	484	773	874	1,290	1,621	8.8
Des Plaines city	1,818	3,284	5,892	7,209	14,010	23.9
Dixmoor village	124	113	166	163	379	9.2
Dolton village	616	835	1,505	1,039	958	3.7
Downers Grove village	1,052	1,284	2,763	3,217	4,752	9.8
East Dundee village	.	25	69	82	199	6.9
East Hazel Crest village	.	.	75	75	78	4.8
Elburn village	.	.	31	12	95	3.5
Elgin city	2,253	2,113	4,353	9,909	22,258	23.7
Elk Grove Village village	151	747	2,438	3,143	4,964	14.3
Elmhurst city	1,940	2,586	3,091	2,845	3,433	8.0
Elmwood Park village	3,140	3,304	3,563	3,090	6,163	24.3
Elwood village	.	.	9	2	33	2.0
Evanston city	6,951	7,247	8,384	8,389	11,448	15.4
Evergreen Park village	1,823	2,016	1,416	1,140	879	4.2
Fairmont CDP	.	.	.	146	123	4.7
Flossmoor village	145	263	595	518	934	10.0
Ford Heights village	80	24	37	1	21	0.6
Forest Lake CDP	.	.	59	76	156	10.1
Forest Park village	1,699	1,706	2,249	2,150	2,329	14.8
Forest View village	.	.	26	27	40	5.0
Fox Lake Hills CDP	.	.	66	62	75	2.9
Fox Lake village	359	275	385	251	615	6.7
Fox River Grove village	.	.	221	197	215	4.4
Fox River Valley Gardens village	.	.	14	11	72	8.6
Frankfort Square CDP	.	.	.	246	265	3.3
Frankfort village	.	.	180	291	439	4.3
Franklin Park village	1,385	1,714	2,457	3,795	6,368	32.8
Gages Lake CDP	198	.	213	332	863	8.3
Gages Lake-Wildwood (U)	.	225
Geneva city	474	361	355	356	887	4.5
Gilberts village	.	.	20	36	30	2.4
Glen Ellyn village	616	629	1,246	1,617	2,905	10.7
Glenbard South CDP	.	.	.	159	.	.
Glencoe village	714	632	742	604	571	6.5
Glendale Heights village	.	383	2,693	4,281	9,605	30.3
Glenview village	794	1,422	2,377	4,184	8,128	19.5
Glenwood village	.	257	559	317	315	3.5
Godley village	.	.	1	1	11	1.9
Golf village	.	.	47	30	17	3.8
Goodings Grove CDP	.	.	.	699	1,361	8.0
Grandwood Park CDP	.	.	.	122	411	9.2
Grass Lake CDP	.	.	93	.	.	.
Grayslake village	127	208	242	206	1,548	8.4
Green Oaks village	.	.	75	200	349	9.6
Greenwood village	-	-
Gurnee village	.	85	283	815	3,362	11.7

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Hainesville village	.	.	2	5	314	13.9
Hampshire village	.	.	48	31	59	2.0
Hanover Park village	.	535	2,705	4,518	10,896	28.4
Harvard city	153	134	192	558	2,186	26.8
Harvey city	1,530	1,140	1,546	1,315	2,478	8.2
Harwood Heights village	528	1,128	1,562	1,837	2,801	34.1
Hawthorn Woods village	.	.	91	243	455	7.1
Hazel Crest village	173	372	667	400	502	3.4
Hebron village	.	.	13	8	14	1.4
Hickory Hills city	119	639	871	1,019	2,340	16.8
Highland Park city	2,146	2,644	2,845	3,340	4,798	15.3
Highwood city	1,257	1,004	1,428	1,548	1,573	38.6
Hillside village	356	581	612	711	1,117	13.5
Hinsdale village	568	869	925	977	1,582	9.0
Hodgkins village	.	.	215	450	710	32.9
Hoffman Estates village	108	699	2,921	5,769	11,651	23.1
Holiday Hills village	.	.	16	21	28	3.3
Hometown city	254	248	134	116	128	2.9
Homewood village	635	774	756	760	851	4.4
Huntley village	.	.	52	78	316	5.6
Indian Creek village	.	.	17	10	5	2.4
Indian Head Park village	.	.	258	211	252	6.6
Ingalls Park CDP	.	.	.	133	117	4.0
Ingleside CDP	.	.	70	.	.	.
Inverness village	.	.	245	509	708	11.1
Island Lake village	.	.	119	106	459	5.7
Itasca village	141	230	659	693	1,551	18.8
Johnsburg village	.	.	29	21	45	0.8
Joliet city	4,073	3,401	5,081	5,623	11,566	10.9
Justice village	147	442	620	855	2,333	19.3
Kenilworth village	141	65	124	236	211	8.5
Kildeer village	.	.	102	132	295	8.3
La Grange Highlands (U)	.	217
La Grange Park village	792	767	857	964	934	7.1
La Grange village	642	375	677	583	880	5.6
Lake Barrington village	.	.	94	195	244	5.1
Lake Bluff village	157	177	150	275	449	7.4
Lake Catherine CDP	.	.	37	64	107	7.4
Lake Forest city	918	837	1,087	1,217	1,298	6.5
Lake in the Hills village	.	71	146	114	1,999	8.5
Lake Villa village	.	.	77	99	281	4.6
Lake Zurich village	154	227	601	814	1,589	8.8
Lakemoor village	.	.	33	50	111	4.0
Lakewood Shores CDP	.	.	.	-	20	1.3
Lakewood village	.	.	33	59	116	4.9
Lansing village	815	966	1,198	997	1,563	5.6
Lemont village	99	123	253	344	1,277	9.7
Libertyville village	462	449	1,213	1,277	2,122	10.3
Lily Lake village	29	3.5
Lincolnshire village	.	45	163	349	657	10.6

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Lincolnwood village	1,246	1,477	2,274	3,141	4,216	34.1
Lindenhurst village	.	218	279	261	672	5.3
Lisle village	173	221	789	1,438	2,757	13.1
Lockport city	316	310	170	170	594	4.0
Lombard village	1,035	1,399	2,114	2,687	4,850	11.6
Long Grove village	.	.	161	449	550	8.3
Long Lake CDP	220	.	50	88	223	6.4
Lynwood village	.	.	161	241	371	5.1
Lyons village	994	1,088	815	1,014	1,797	17.7
Manhattan village	.	.	21	13	83	2.5
Maple Park village	.	.	12	2	3	0.4
Marengo city	146	85	156	151	448	7.1
Markham city	245	207	275	164	359	2.8
Matteson village	124	140	426	437	500	3.9
Maywood village	1,961	1,386	1,534	1,384	1,946	7.2
McCook village	.	.	13	7	-	-
McCullom Lake village	.	.	37	44	27	2.6
McHenry city	107	305	650	501	1,329	6.2
McHenry Shores village	.	.	59	.	.	.
Medinah CDP	.	.	.	242	.	.
Melrose Park village	2,487	2,848	3,742	4,956	8,195	35.3
Merrionette Park village	.	.	116	63	52	2.6
Mettawa village	.	.	24	14	67	20.7
Midlothian village	312	433	466	311	547	3.8
Mokena village	.	.	117	84	601	4.1
Monee village	.	.	12	36	51	1.7
Montgomery village	.	112	154	202	291	5.8
Morton Grove village	1,260	1,715	3,838	5,090	7,535	33.6
Mount Prospect village	543	1,456	5,707	8,630	15,159	26.7
Mundelein village	304	568	1,097	2,595	7,290	23.8
Naperville city	421	833	1,886	5,382	14,963	11.7
New Lenox village	.	54	164	115	237	1.3
Niles village	1,516	2,832	5,089	6,161	10,144	33.7
Norridge village	1,127	1,591	2,827	2,910	4,775	32.5
North Aurora village	.	104	200	359	849	8.0
North Barrington village	.	.	62	90	199	6.9
North Chicago city	1,182	1,414	1,942	2,181	4,633	12.9
North Riverside village	770	825	654	429	968	14.4
Northbrook village	463	1,200	2,538	3,263	5,089	15.2
Northfield village	229	361	414	452	668	12.2
Northlake city	802	986	1,400	2,116	2,903	24.6
Oak Brook village	.	242	739	1,704	1,874	22.2
Oak Forest city	247	529	859	973	1,630	5.8
Oak Lawn village	1,082	3,069	4,146	4,234	6,380	11.5
Oak Park village	5,070	4,855	4,178	3,548	5,165	9.8
Oakbrook Terrace city	.	.	195	185	357	14.9
Oakwood Hills village	.	.	83	32	119	5.4
Old Mill Creek village	.	.	17	-	37	12.2
Olympia Fields village	.	126	269	338	393	8.3
Orland Hills village	.	.	101	238	594	8.7

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Orland Park village	28	93	1,617	2,652	4,813	9.4
Palatine village	351	995	2,167	3,251	14,249	21.9
Palos Heights city	184	327	614	598	724	6.4
Palos Hills city	196	221	1,456	1,901	2,912	16.4
Palos Park village	.	176	193	449	391	8.6
Park City city	.	90	219	599	2,131	30.7
Park Forest village	1,119	1,437	1,157	833	726	3.1
Park Ridge city	1,585	2,469	3,117	3,812	4,792	12.7
Peotone village	.	.	34	31	35	1.0
Phoenix village	147	16	29	71	58	2.7
Pingree Grove village	.	.	2	6	-	-
Pistakee Highlands CDP	.	.	150	92	81	2.1
Plainfield village	.	27	35	58	510	3.9
Posen village	276	197	175	208	497	10.7
Prairie Grove village	.	.	41	32	39	3.7
Preston Heights CDP	.	.	.	104	90	3.5
Prospect Heights city	.	754	1,454	3,049	6,399	36.5
Richmond village	.	.	24	35	37	3.4
Richton Park village	.	144	380	487	575	4.6
Ringwood village	31	7.0
River Forest village	670	461	793	924	887	7.6
River Grove village	576	904	1,117	1,650	2,667	25.1
Riverdale village	738	791	760	478	499	3.3
Riverside village	691	644	557	717	821	9.0
Riverwoods village	.	.	241	211	520	13.8
Robbins village	28	20	41	7	42	0.6
Rockdale village	.	.	109	91	174	9.1
Rolling Meadows city	187	472	1,856	2,980	5,245	21.3
Romeoville village	32	237	590	617	1,992	9.4
Roselle village	113	162	1,302	1,848	3,516	15.1
Rosemont village	.	294	504	842	1,555	37.3
Round Lake Beach village	263	232	574	1,374	5,516	21.5
Round Lake Heights village	.	.	32	82	165	11.4
Round Lake Park village	141	203	161	253	922	15.4
Round Lake village	.	.	210	302	1,202	21.0
Sauk Village village	87	239	422	212	412	4.0
Schaumburg village	.	908	3,947	6,569	14,262	19.1
Schiller Park village	338	686	1,672	2,099	4,604	39.1
Shorewood village	.	.	164	148	331	4.3
Skokie village	5,237	6,846	11,926	16,609	23,437	37.0
Sleepy Hollow village	.	.	111	150	321	8.8
South Barrington village	.	.	91	294	725	19.4
South Chicago Heights village	351	391	219	220	313	7.9
South Elgin village	111	94	159	405	1,419	9.1
South Holland village	490	920	1,472	912	1,142	5.1
Spring Grove village	.	.	5	18	82	2.1
St. Charles city	728	709	775	726	1,840	6.6
Steger village	385	275	271	261	442	4.6
Stickney village	513	533	398	453	994	16.2
Stone Park village	172	369	1,439	1,619	2,571	50.3

Appendix 4. Immigrant Population in Metro Chicago Places (cont.)

	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	Pct. Foreign Born in 2000
Streamwood village	76	471	1,478	2,855	7,304	19.9
Sugar Grove village	.	.	52	52	69	1.7
Summit village	1,195	970	1,713	2,524	3,626	34.1
Symerton village	.	.	-	-	-	-
Third Lake village	.	.	6	37	70	5.2
Thornton village	188	97	109	91	36	1.4
Tinley Park village	220	262	1,133	1,260	2,804	5.8
Tower Lakes village	.	.	65	55	98	7.5
Trout Valley village	25	4.2
Union village	.	.	14	10	12	2.1
University Park village	.	.	156	109	243	3.7
Valley View village	.	.	54	.	.	.
Venetian Village CDP	.	82	89	138	121	4.1
Vernon Hills village	.	.	888	1,545	4,365	21.2
Villa Park village	1,140	1,036	1,715	1,796	3,203	14.4
Virgil village	-	-
Volo village	62	29.7
Wadsworth village	.	.	32	60	155	5.1
Warrenville city	117	222	360	581	1,239	9.4
Wauconda village	145	215	250	236	1,113	11.9
Waukegan city	3,912	4,297	7,188	10,730	26,556	30.2
Wayne village	.	.	28	64	105	5.0
West Chicago city	312	547	1,657	3,112	8,150	34.1
West Dundee village	115	165	224	126	370	6.9
Westchester village	1,109	1,264	1,460	1,357	1,587	9.5
Western Springs village	428	406	475	534	477	3.8
Westmont village	434	527	1,521	2,295	4,793	19.7
Wheaton city	1,012	1,191	2,535	3,320	5,256	9.5
Wheeling village	246	525	1,996	3,805	10,817	31.4
Wildwood CDP	.	.	98	.	.	.
Willow Springs village	.	136	319	293	657	13.3
Willowbrook CDP (Will)	.	.	.	80	78	3.7
Willowbrook village (DuPage)	.	.	474	1,007	1,511	16.8
Wilmette village	1,566	1,664	2,296	3,490	3,659	13.2
Wilmington city (Will)	52	62	23	74	72	1.4
Winfield village	.	93	121	472	566	6.3
Winnetka village	935	654	1,012	707	627	5.1
Winthrop Harbor village	135	114	194	261	197	3.0
Wonder Lake CDP (McHenry)	190	239	449	398	343	4.5
Wonder Lake village (McHenry)	.	.	55	29	29	2.1
Wood Dale city	270	864	1,586	1,628	2,970	21.2
Woodridge village	.	502	1,594	2,377	5,387	17.3
Woodstock city	331	267	515	989	3,412	16.8
Worth village	265	508	566	464	1,020	9.1
York Center CDP	.	.	.	495	.	.
Zion city	393	384	570	696	2,029	8.8

Note: Missing data indicate lack of census data. "U"=unincorporated;"CDP"=census designated place

Source: Summary Tape Files of 1960-2000 Censuses

Appendix 5. Immigrant Population in Chicago Community Areas: 1930-2000

	FBW 1930	FBW 1940	FBW 1950	FB 1960	FB 1970	FB 1980	FB 1990	FB 2000	%FBW 1930	%FBW 1940	%FBW 1950	%FB 1960	%FB 1970	%FB 1980	%FB 1990	%FB 2000
01 Rogers Park	9,001	9,419	9,070	10,051	12,044	16,064	17,591	21,473	15.8	15.6	14.6	17.7	19.8	28.9	29.1	33.8
02 West Ridge	6,953	6,340	6,574	11,638	14,647	19,717	25,405	33,391	17.5	14.6	13.7	18.2	22.4	32.3	38.9	45.6
03 Uptown	13,405	13,932	12,715	12,368	14,807	20,431	20,809	20,982	19.8	17.9	15.1	16.3	19.8	31.7	32.6	33.0
04 Lincoln Square	10,431	8,770	7,496	9,655	12,116	15,575	16,910	17,206	22.5	18.6	15.8	19.4	25.3	35.4	37.7	38.6
05 North Center	13,025	11,055	8,421	7,931	6,810	6,601	6,499	4,613	27.3	22.7	17.6	18.1	17.3	18.8	19.7	14.5
06 Lake View	33,123	28,694	22,714	23,883	20,123	19,786	13,676	13,117	28.8	23.6	18.2	20.1	17.5	20.3	15.0	13.8
07 Lincoln Park	33,070	25,041	17,921	15,377	9,461	7,049	4,633	5,235	33.8	24.8	17.5	17.3	14.0	12.3	7.6	8.1
08 Near North Side	20,976	15,428	10,298	5,850	4,510	5,503	5,564	9,732	26.4	20.0	11.5	7.7	6.4	8.2	8.9	13.3
09 Edison Park	657	554	667	1,008	928	1,339	1,057	1,289	12.2	9.2	8.5	8.0	7.1	10.7	9.3	11.5
10 Norwood Park	2,599	2,412	3,034	4,185	4,179	5,222	6,296	7,045	18.0	14.6	11.3	10.2	10.0	12.9	16.7	18.7
11 Jefferson Park	4,797	3,923	3,389	3,656	3,415	3,751	3,992	7,083	23.4	18.2	14.4	13.3	12.4	15.3	16.9	27.4
12 Forest Glen	916	944	1,335	2,084	2,229	2,925	2,603	3,594	22.5	14.2	11.0	10.8	10.9	15.4	14.7	19.8
13 North Park	3,008	2,881	2,900	3,985	3,875	4,629	5,483	7,114	27.2	23.5	19.0	22.3	23.0	30.3	33.8	38.4
14 Albany Park	17,894	16,880	13,714	13,480	10,461	16,717	23,083	30,110	32.2	29.8	25.9	27.3	22.2	36.3	46.6	52.2
15 Portage Park	15,221	12,434	10,105	9,238	9,230	10,442	13,049	24,373	23.7	18.7	15.6	14.0	14.5	18.2	23.1	37.3
16 Irving Park	15,936	12,437	9,905	8,581	8,042	9,580	13,098	20,975	23.9	18.7	15.3	14.7	14.7	19.4	26.1	35.8
17 Dunning	4,583	4,485	4,976	6,016	6,476	6,567	7,229	14,540	23.3	19.2	15.4	14.5	14.8	17.3	19.6	34.5
18 Montclare	2,261	2,048	2,038	2,220	1,970	2,416	2,225	4,251	26.6	21.1	18.3	18.8	16.8	22.4	21.0	33.6
19 Belmont Cragin	16,227	13,911	11,633	10,281	10,629	11,665	18,169	33,001	26.9	22.0	18.3	16.9	18.6	21.9	32.0	42.2
20 Hermosa	6,237	4,834	3,744	3,351	2,713	3,924	5,474	8,692	26.5	21.1	16.4	15.6	13.6	20.1	23.7	32.4
21 Avondale	12,303	9,678	7,158	5,822	5,752	9,119	14,029	18,957	25.4	20.3	15.8	14.6	16.1	27.2	39.4	44.0
22 Logan Square	33,856	25,687	18,384	16,468	16,041	19,781	21,186	23,328	29.7	23.3	17.2	17.4	18.1	23.3	25.6	28.2
23 Humboldt Park	25,388	20,559	15,512	14,654	12,978	10,350	8,811	12,405	31.4	25.9	20.4	20.5	18.2	14.6	13.0	18.8
24 West Town	72,371	51,377	37,055	34,752	23,909	26,519	24,932	19,937	38.6	30.2	22.9	24.9	19.1	27.5	28.4	22.8
25 Austin	25,706	21,256	18,439	18,077	13,856	9,162	3,442	3,510	19.6	16.1	13.9	14.4	10.8	6.6	3.0	3.0
26 West Garfield Park	12,947	10,757	8,959	5,677	252	266	42	346	25.9	22.2	18.5	12.4	.5	.8	.2	1.5
27 East Garfield Park	15,134	13,291	9,482	3,580	168	179	89	143	23.9	20.2	13.5	5.4	.3	.6	.4	.7
28 Near West Side	38,306	28,944	18,221	9,434	5,303	5,164	4,507	5,827	25.1	21.2	11.4	7.5	6.7	9.0	9.8	12.6
29 North Lawndale	50,538	38,359	26,551	2,298	763	1,255	579	979	45.0	37.4	26.4	1.8	.8	2.0	1.2	2.3
30 South Lawndale	24,171	17,909	12,744	10,870	14,092	31,743	38,057	44,017	31.5	25.3	19.0	17.8	22.4	42.2	46.9	48.3
31 Lower West Side	24,251	16,550	11,479	9,621	11,421	20,106	22,434	21,608	36.6	28.6	21.3	19.9	25.6	44.7	49.1	49.1
32 Loop	2,214	1,205	729	306	365	750	1,074	2,478	28.2	19.4	10.4	7.1	7.4	11.6	9.0	15.3
33 Near South Side	1,922	947	535	323	223	336	113	562	18.5	13.0	4.7	3.1	2.6	4.6	1.7	5.8
34 Armour Square	5,363	3,711	2,193	2,232	3,129	4,287	4,819	6,251	25.0	20.1	9.4	14.1	24.0	34.4	44.6	52.0
35 Douglas	1,437	628	260	818	1,200	1,665	1,128	1,463	2.9	1.2	.3	1.6	2.7	4.7	3.7	5.5
36 Oakland	2,081	1,658	618	98	52	115	69	167	13.9	11.4	2.5	.4	.3	.7	.8	2.7
37 Fuller Park	4,060	3,361	1,685	121	10	48	9	79	28.1	22.3	9.8	1.0	.1	.8	.2	2.3
38 Grand Boulevard	1,194	473	183	230	152	428	122	185	1.4	.5	.2	.3	.2	.8	.3	.7
39 Kenwood	4,946	4,863	4,480	1,213	977	1,159	921	1,590	18.4	16.4	12.5	2.9	3.6	5.3	5.1	8.7

Appendix 5. Immigrant Population in Chicago Community Areas: 1930-2000 (cont.)

	FBW 1930	FBW 1940	FBW 1950	FB 1960	FB 1970	FB 1980	FB 1990	FB 2000	%FBW 1930	%FBW 1940	%FBW 1950	%FB 1960	%FB 1970	%FB 1980	%FB 1990	%FB 2000
40 Washington Park	878	514	201	221	105	280	65	151	2.0	1.0	.4	.5	.2	.9	.3	1.1
41 Hyde Park	9,666	9,982	9,824	4,998	3,858	3,808	4,155	4,886	20.1	19.7	17.8	11.0	11.5	12.2	14.5	16.3
42 Woodlawn	9,846	8,722	5,753	1,424	577	846	454	540	14.9	12.2	7.1	1.8	1.1	2.3	1.7	2.0
43 South Shore	13,070	11,328	10,162	9,713	4,614	1,864	989	1,587	16.6	14.2	12.8	13.3	5.7	2.4	1.6	2.6
44 Chatham	7,009	5,847	5,221	2,368	384	721	397	481	19.3	15.5	12.8	5.6	.8	1.8	1.1	1.3
45 Avalon Park	1,643	1,317	1,247	1,306	420	197	75	305	16.4	12.6	11.0	10.3	2.9	1.4	.6	2.8
46 South Chicago	13,349	11,454	8,844	6,956	5,863	7,844	6,259	5,112	23.6	20.8	15.9	13.9	12.8	16.9	15.4	13.2
47 Burnside	1,291	1,092	910	759	643	239	74	88	37.1	30.6	25.6	21.9	20.2	6.1	2.1	2.7
48 Calumet Heights	1,629	1,364	1,366	2,472	1,942	999	673	648	22.2	18.4	14.6	12.8	9.7	4.9	3.9	4.0
49 Roseland	12,492	9,811	8,055	6,289	3,543	1,225	287	441	28.9	22.3	14.2	10.7	5.6	1.9	.5	.8
50 Pullman	2,770	1,969	1,728	1,360	858	710	610	471	41.3	30.2	19.4	16.2	7.8	6.9	6.5	5.3
51 South Deering	2,263	2,237	2,257	2,454	2,448	2,202	1,926	2,188	28.7	23.2	12.9	13.1	12.7	11.4	10.8	12.9
52 East Side	4,391	3,190	2,767	2,671	2,174	3,010	3,672	6,753	26.1	19.3	12.8	11.5	8.8	14.1	18.0	28.5
53 West Pullman	8,888	6,933	5,421	4,483	3,336	1,559	1,292	1,254	31.2	24.9	18.5	12.7	8.3	3.5	3.2	3.4
54 Riverdale	440	344	255	130	73	162	78	223	29.6	22.8	2.6	1.1	.5	1.2	.7	2.3
55 Hegewisch	2,552	1,867	1,369	1,137	958	961	921	1,324	32.3	24.9	19.2	12.7	8.4	8.3	9.1	13.5
56 Garfield Ridge	1,787	1,607	1,944	3,478	3,104	3,084	3,805	7,015	29.5	23.6	15.1	8.6	7.2	8.1	11.2	19.4
57 Archer Heights	2,599	2,173	1,896	1,743	1,576	1,731	2,464	5,542	32.0	26.4	21.9	16.5	14.1	17.8	26.7	43.8
58 Brighton Park	14,102	11,471	8,692	7,745	6,247	6,327	9,435	20,804	30.3	25.5	21.0	20.4	17.5	20.6	29.3	46.3
59 McKinley Park	5,064	3,644	2,404	1,685	1,285	1,282	2,507	6,051	23.0	17.8	12.8	10.0	8.2	9.7	18.9	37.9
60 Bridgeport	15,372	10,860	7,626	5,373	3,371	4,475	7,115	10,835	28.7	22.1	16.6	12.9	9.6	14.5	23.8	32.2
61 New City	25,500	18,161	12,829	9,473	8,599	13,358	10,996	15,259	29.3	22.5	16.9	14.0	14.2	23.9	20.7	29.5
62 West Elsdon	901	819	1,220	1,809	1,585	1,526	2,530	5,965	31.5	25.2	15.8	12.7	11.3	11.9	20.6	37.5
63 Gage Park	8,061	6,462	5,435	4,465	4,005	3,791	6,162	17,715	25.6	21.3	18.0	15.8	15.0	15.5	22.9	45.2
64 Clearing	1,247	999	1,167	1,515	2,131	2,072	2,034	3,802	22.9	16.5	11.0	8.1	8.7	9.2	9.5	17.0
65 West Lawn	1,782	1,765	2,078	2,923	2,213	2,735	2,791	8,961	20.0	17.2	14.4	10.9	12.0	11.1	11.9	30.7
66 Chicago Lawn	10,146	8,777	8,561	10,110	10,097	8,778	11,271	12,890	21.4	17.8	17.1	19.7	20.8	18.8	22.0	21.0
67 West Englewood	14,622	11,786	8,783	6,806	3,759	706	431	431	22.9	18.4	14.0	11.6	6.1	1.1	.8	1.0
68 Englewood	19,394	15,228	10,917	4,179	578	388	413	412	21.8	16.4	11.6	4.3	.6	.7	.9	1.0
69 Gr. Grand Crossing	12,862	10,095	7,828	1,569	277	524	260	412	21.4	16.4	12.7	2.5	.5	1.2	.7	1.1
70 Ashburn	105	96	421	2,379	2,799	3,078	3,029	4,520	14.3	13.1	5.6	6.2	5.9	7.6	8.2	11.4
71 Auburn Gresham	10,070	7,907	7,039	7,466	2,870	690	482	692	17.5	13.8	11.5	12.6	4.2	1.1	.8	1.2
72 Beverly	1,545	1,249	1,459	1,385	1,286	752	558	568	11.2	7.9	7.2	5.6	4.8	3.2	2.5	2.6
73 Washington Heights	2,861	2,412	2,393	2,421	872	219	279	392	16.0	12.5	9.8	8.1	2.4	.6	.9	1.3
74 Mount Greenwood	807	781	1,181	1,496	1,573	1,205	694	720	24.4	17.8	9.6	6.8	6.8	6.0	3.6	3.8
75 Morgan Park	1,149	959	1,195	1,305	901	773	487	616	9.0	6.1	5.3	4.7	2.9	2.6	1.8	2.4
76 O'Hare	-	-	-	-	566	1,774	2,351	4,743	-	-	-	-	9.1	16.0	21.0	39.7
77 Edgewater	11,396	9,848	8,294	8,894	13,121	17,002	17,958	22,458	21	17.7	15.2	17.2	21.3	29.0	29.6	36.1

Note: "FBW"=Foreign-Born White; "FB"=Foreign Born; "PCTFBW"= Pct. Foreign-Born White; "PCTFB"= Pct. Foreign Born

Appendix 6. Immigrant and LEP Data by State House District

Dist.	Representative	Party	Foreign Born	Pct. Foreign Born	1990s Arrivals	Non-citizens	Persons 5+ Years Who Do Not Speak English Well
	STATE		1,529,043	12.3%	687,563	925,525	541,844
1	Susana Mendoza	D	45,922	44.2%	21,469	33,966	23,821
2	Edward J. Acevedo	D	47,906	45.0%	22,445	34,929	27,910
3	William Delgado	D	41,151	38.7%	17,335	28,022	21,565
4	Cynthia Soto	D	27,117	25.8%	12,201	19,342	17,639
5	Kenneth Dunkin	D	10,359	10.1%	5,735	6,213	3,984
6	Patricia Bailey	D	14,486	13.8%	7,039	9,822	7,952
7	Karen A. Yarbrough	D	9,517	9.0%	4,249	5,984	3,290
8	Calvin L. Giles	D	9,135	8.5%	3,684	5,215	3,086
9	Arthur L. Turner	D	11,176	10.3%	5,862	7,536	4,007
10	Annazette Collins	D	7,470	7.2%	3,015	4,492	3,397
11	John A. Fritchey	D	15,533	14.6%	5,893	8,740	5,051
12	Sara Feigenholtz	D	15,145	14.2%	7,066	8,292	2,257
13	Larry McKeon	D	36,760	35.8%	19,123	23,469	13,362
14	Harry Osterman	D	38,601	36.5%	23,007	26,408	13,014
15	Ralph C. Capparelli	D	35,042	32.8%	12,567	15,172	8,528
16	Lou Lang	D	44,579	42.6%	20,541	22,391	10,082
17	Elizabeth Coulson	R	23,683	22.4%	8,365	9,370	3,666
18	Julie Hamos	D	15,055	14.3%	7,067	9,375	2,244
19	Joseph M. Lyons	D	35,053	33.3%	16,915	19,769	11,057
20	Michael P. McAuliffe	R	26,654	25.7%	9,070	11,761	7,007
21	Robert S. Molaro	D	17,983	16.9%	7,713	10,738	6,383
22	Michael J. Madigan	D	22,545	21.6%	8,793	12,176	7,852
23	Daniel J. Burke	D	46,485	44.2%	19,634	33,273	24,251
24	Frank Aguilar	R	43,010	41.0%	17,881	30,445	20,780
25	Barbara Flynn Currie	D	11,762	11.1%	5,650	7,492	3,427
26	Lovana Jones	D	8,086	7.5%	3,829	4,709	886
27	Monique D. Davis	D	5,169	4.9%	1,649	2,670	1,927
28	Robert Rita	D	5,137	5.0%	1,528	2,596	2,099
29	David E. Miller	D	5,486	5.1%	1,508	2,499	1,552
30	William Davis	D	4,697	4.6%	2,019	2,984	1,956
31	Mary E. Flowers	D	7,134	6.7%	2,461	3,143	2,045
32	Charles G. Morrow III	D	11,822	11.5%	3,909	7,167	4,921
33	Marlow H. Colvin	D	10,919	10.3%	3,299	5,612	4,720
34	Constance A. Howard	D	4,828	4.5%	1,381	2,412	1,385
35	Kevin Carey Joyce	D	8,161	7.7%	2,016	2,783	1,807
36	James D. Brosnahan	D	9,011	8.5%	2,844	3,788	1,928
37	Kevin A. McCarthy	D	7,271	7.0%	2,023	2,376	1,541
38	Robin Kelly	D	4,698	4.4%	1,382	2,242	1,101
39	Maria Antonia Berrios	D	37,627	36.3%	17,437	26,742	20,615
40	Richard T. Bradley	D	47,274	45.1%	25,130	32,169	20,084
41	Robert A. Biggins	R	10,631	10.1%	3,219	4,055	2,127
42	Sandra M. Pihos	R	13,254	12.7%	6,459	7,616	2,672

Appendix 6. Immigrant and LEP Data by State House District (cont.)

Dist.	Representative	Party	Foreign Born	Pct. Foreign Born	1990s Arrivals	Non-citizens	Persons 5+ Years Who Do Not Speak English Well
43	Ruth Munson	R	26,802	26.2%	14,564	20,481	14,610
44	Terry R. Parke	R	26,137	24.1%	13,149	16,550	8,412
45	Carole Pankau	R	20,395	19.1%	9,529	11,630	5,387
46	Lee A. Daniels	R	22,496	21.4%	9,942	12,908	7,622
47	Patricia R. Bellock	R	15,013	14.4%	7,087	8,043	2,468
48	James H. Meyer	R	11,674	11.3%	5,001	6,261	1,455
49	Timothy L. Schmitz	R	7,762	7.6%	2,919	4,101	1,940
50	Patricia Reid Lindner	R	4,433	4.2%	1,542	2,076	1,123
51	Ed Sullivan Jr.	R	13,637	12.9%	6,068	7,724	3,838
52	Mark H. Beaubien Jr.	R	7,329	7.0%	3,053	4,263	2,454
53	Sidney H. Mathias	R	20,385	19.2%	9,812	10,829	4,340
54	Suzanne Bassi	R	20,072	19.1%	9,598	12,107	5,556
55	John J. Millner	R	13,228	13.0%	5,063	6,448	2,917
56	Paul D. Froehlich	R	18,579	17.2%	7,265	9,033	3,155
57	Elaine Nekritz	D	38,062	36.3%	18,412	20,906	10,146
58	Karen May	D	12,813	12.2%	4,838	6,550	3,208
59	Kathleen A. Ryg	D	22,785	21.3%	11,615	13,463	5,911
60	Eddie Washington	D	28,305	27.1%	15,619	21,825	14,444
61	JoAnn D. Osmond	R	7,741	7.3%	2,557	4,408	2,099
62	Robert W. Churchill	R	13,069	12.5%	5,947	7,988	4,015
63	Jack D. Franks	D	9,095	8.8%	4,860	6,400	3,850
64	Rosemary Kurtz	R	7,242	6.9%	2,848	3,869	1,532
65	Rosemary Mulligan	R	23,841	23.9%	9,361	12,248	6,478
66	Carolyn H. Krause	R	21,587	20.4%	11,648	14,441	7,208
67	Charles E. Jefferson	D	9,442	9.1%	5,263	6,762	4,257
68	Dave Winters	R	3,890	3.7%	1,734	2,265	1,053
69	Ronald A. Wait	R	7,002	6.6%	2,873	4,197	2,345
70	David A. Wirsing	R	6,666	6.3%	3,454	4,221	2,095
71	Mike Boland	D	3,884	3.7%	1,709	2,189	1,421
72	Joel Brunsvold	D	3,794	3.6%	1,604	2,189	1,397
73	David R. Leitch	R	2,979	2.8%	1,310	1,420	580
74	Donald L. Moffitt	R	1,718	1.6%	588	930	625
75	Mary K. O'Brien	D	2,158	2.0%	710	1,023	533
76	Frank J. Mautino	D	3,212	3.1%	1,189	1,848	1,206
77	Angelo Saviano	R	32,856	29.8%	16,614	21,199	11,540
78	Deborah L. Graham	D	14,541	13.8%	5,919	8,903	5,576
79	John Philip Novak	D	3,632	3.5%	1,633	2,020	1,368
80	George Scully Jr.	D	6,923	6.5%	2,567	3,963	2,447
81	Renee Kosel	R	5,121	4.8%	746	1,247	595
82	Eileen Lyons	R	11,347	10.8%	3,220	3,988	1,666
83	Linda Chapa LaVia	D	27,756	26.3%	14,855	21,001	15,426
84	Tom Cross	R	5,818	5.5%	2,018	2,726	950
85	Brent Hassert	R	10,707	10.0%	4,902	6,649	2,622

Appendix 6. Immigrant and LEP Data by State House District (cont.)

Dist.	Representative	Party	Foreign Born	Pct. Foreign Born	1990s Arrivals	Non-citizens	Persons 5+ Years Who Do Not Speak English Well
86	Jack McGuire	D	12,001	11.3%	5,781	8,705	5,885
87	Bill Mitchell	R	1,235	1.1%	443	577	390
88	Dan Brady	R	4,140	4.1%	2,280	2,780	1,085
89	Jim Sacia	R	1,719	1.6%	789	1,014	603
90	Jerry L. Mitchell	R	2,542	2.4%	916	1,444	833
91	Michael K. Smith	D	973	1.0%	269	461	331
92	Ricca Slone	D	3,153	3.0%	1,450	1,764	1,020
93	Art Tenhouse	R	1,792	1.7%	1,083	1,283	1,103
94	Richard P. Myers	R	1,445	1.4%	761	930	572
95	Randall M. Hultgren	R	13,827	13.0%	6,802	9,019	5,335
96	Joe Dunn	R	12,293	11.8%	6,536	7,690	1,607
97	Jim Watson	R	988	0.9%	382	476	338
98	Gary Hannig	D	945	0.9%	256	435	293
99	Raymond Poe	R	1,914	1.8%	672	908	414
100	Rich Brauer	R	1,904	1.9%	648	864	458
101	Robert F. Flider	D	1,292	1.3%	440	664	474
102	Ron Stephens	R	1,071	1.0%	382	531	393
103	Naomi D. Jakobsson	D	11,624	11.1%	7,909	8,915	1,993
104	William B. Black	R	1,864	1.8%	746	943	595
105	Shane Cultra	R	3,323	3.1%	1,340	1,947	774
106	Keith P. Sommer	R	1,685	1.6%	651	948	393
107	Kurt M. Granberg	D	877	0.8%	337	412	341
108	Charles A. Hartke	D	742	0.7%	243	350	390
109	Roger L. Eddy	R	799	0.7%	218	416	441
110	Chapin Rose	R	1,578	1.5%	699	918	613
111	Steve Davis	D	1,002	1.0%	277	450	408
112	Jay C. Hoffman	D	2,161	2.0%	648	1,024	624
113	Thomas Holbrook	D	2,753	2.7%	1,150	1,514	993
114	Wyvetter H. Younge	D	1,685	1.6%	398	699	392
115	Mike Bost	R	3,835	3.6%	2,635	2,971	1,084
116	Dan Reitz	D	904	0.9%	278	393	314
117	Gary Forby	D	932	0.9%	207	396	290
118	Brandon W. Phelps	D	783	0.8%	320	437	217

Note that Limited English Persons include native- and foreign-born persons.

Appendix 7. Immigrant and LEP Data by State Senate District

Dist.	Senator	Party	Foreign Born	Pct. Foreign Born	1990s Arrivals	Non-citizens	Persons 5+ Years Who Do Not Speak English Well
1	Antonio Munoz	D	93,828	44.6%	43,914	68,895	51,731
2	Miguel del Valle	D	68,268	32.3%	29,536	47,364	39,204
3	Mattie Hunter	D	24,845	12.0%	12,774	16,035	11,936
4	Kimberly A. Lightford	D	18,652	8.8%	7,933	11,199	6,376
5	Rickey R. Hendon	D	18,646	8.8%	8,877	12,028	7,404
6	John J. Cullerton	D	30,678	14.4%	12,959	17,032	7,308
7	Carol Ronen	D	75,361	36.1%	42,130	49,877	26,376
8	Ira I. Silverstein	D	79,621	37.6%	33,108	37,563	18,610
9	Jeffrey M. Schoenberg	D	38,738	18.4%	15,432	18,745	5,910
10	James A. DeLeo	D	61,707	29.5%	25,985	31,530	18,064
11	Louis S. Viverito	D	40,528	19.2%	16,506	22,914	14,235
12	Martin A. Sandoval	D	89,495	42.6%	37,515	63,718	45,031
13	Barack Obama	D	19,848	9.3%	9,479	12,201	4,313
14	Emil Jones, Jr.	D	10,306	5.0%	3,177	5,266	4,026
15	James T. Meeks	I	10,183	4.9%	3,527	5,483	3,508
16	Jacqueline Y. Collins	D	18,956	9.1%	6,370	10,310	6,966
17	Donne E. Trotter	D	15,747	7.4%	4,680	8,024	6,105
18	Edward D. Maloney	D	17,172	8.1%	4,860	6,571	3,735
19	M. Maggie Crotty	D	11,969	5.7%	3,405	4,618	2,642
20	Iris Y. Martinez	D	84,901	40.7%	42,567	58,911	40,699
21	Dan Cronin	R	23,885	11.4%	9,678	11,671	4,799
22	Steven J. Rauschenberger	R	52,939	25.1%	27,713	37,031	23,022
23	James Pate Philip	R	42,891	20.2%	19,471	24,538	13,009
24	Kirk W. Dillard	R	26,687	12.8%	12,088	14,304	3,923
25	Chris Lauzen	R	12,195	5.9%	4,461	6,177	3,063
26	William E. Peterson	R	20,966	9.9%	9,121	11,987	6,292
27	Wendell E. Jones	R	40,457	19.1%	19,410	22,936	9,896
28	Kathleen L. Wojcik	R	31,807	15.1%	12,328	15,481	6,072
29	Susan Garrett	D	50,875	24.2%	23,250	27,456	13,354
30	Terry Link	D	51,090	24.2%	27,234	35,288	20,355
31	Adeline Jay Geo-Karis	R	20,810	9.9%	8,504	12,396	6,114
32	Open seat	n/a	16,337	7.8%	7,708	10,269	5,382
33	Dave Sullivan	R	45,428	22.1%	21,009	26,689	13,686
34	Dave Syverson	R	13,332	6.4%	6,997	9,027	5,310
35	J. Bradley Burzynski	R	13,668	6.4%	6,327	8,418	4,440
36	Denny Jacobs	D	7,678	3.7%	3,313	4,378	2,818
37	Dale E. Risinger	R	4,697	2.2%	1,898	2,350	1,205
38	Patrick Welch	D	5,370	2.6%	1,899	2,871	1,739
39	Don Harmon	D	47,397	22.0%	22,533	30,102	17,116
40	Debbie DeFrancesco Halvorson	D	10,555	5.0%	4,200	5,983	3,815
41	Christine Radogno	R	16,468	7.8%	3,966	5,235	2,261
42	Edward Petka	R	33,574	15.9%	16,873	23,727	16,376

Appendix 7. Immigrant and LEP Data by State Senate District (cont.)

Dist. Senator	Party	Foreign Born	Pct. Foreign Born	1990s Arrivals	Non-citizens	Persons 5+ Years Who Do Not Speak English Well	
43	Lawrence M. Walsh	D	22,708	10.7%	10,683	15,354	8,507
44	Bill Brady	R	5,375	2.5%	2,723	3,357	1,475
45	Todd Sieben	R	4,261	2.0%	1,705	2,458	1,436
46	George P. Shadid	D	4,126	2.0%	1,719	2,225	1,351
47	John M. Sullivan	D	3,237	1.5%	1,844	2,213	1,675
48	Peter J. Roskam	R	26,120	12.4%	13,338	16,709	6,942
49	Vince Demuzio	D	1,933	0.9%	638	911	631
50	Larry K. Bomke	R	3,818	1.8%	1,320	1,772	872
51	Frank C. Watson	R	2,363	1.1%	822	1,195	867
52	Richard J. Winkel, Jr.	R	13,488	6.4%	8,655	9,858	2,588
53	Dan Rutherford	R	5,008	2.3%	1,991	2,895	1,167
54	John O. Jones	R	1,619	0.8%	580	762	731
55	Dale A. Righter	R	2,377	1.1%	917	1,334	1,054
56	William R. Haine	D	3,163	1.5%	925	1,474	1,032
57	James F. Clayborne, Jr.	D	4,438	2.1%	1,548	2,213	1,385
58	David Luechtefeld	R	4,739	2.2%	2,913	3,364	1,398
59	Larry D. Woolard	D	1,715	0.8%	527	833	507

Note that Limited English Persons include native- and foreign-born persons

Appendix 8. Median Household Income (Adjusted for Inflation) of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

	1980	1990	2000
Total population	\$43,637	\$46,723	\$51,200
Native born:			
Total	\$44,562	\$48,021	\$53,000
White not Latino	\$49,401	\$53,472	\$61,000
Black or African American not Latino	\$26,489	\$28,813	\$34,000
Latino	\$32,087	\$36,340	\$40,000
Asian or Pacific Islander not Latino	\$44,530	\$51,914	\$55,000
Foreign born:			
Total	\$39,511	\$41,532	\$46,000
Born in:			
Europe and USSR (former)	\$38,560	\$43,089	\$44,680
Poland	\$38,298	\$39,748	\$44,000
Romania	\$37,203	\$45,328	\$34,200
USSR (former)	\$27,354	\$28,870	\$35,200
Russia	NA	NA	\$35,200
Ukraine	NA	NA	\$23,050
Yugoslavia (former)	\$46,817	\$44,678	\$41,180
Bosnia and Herzegovina	NA	NA	\$39,000
Yugoslavia	NA	NA	\$50,000
Asia	\$49,582	\$51,295	\$58,770
Asia except Middle East	\$52,145	\$51,914	\$59,100
China/Hong Kong/Taiwan	\$46,806	\$38,741	\$58,500
India	\$55,453	\$59,053	\$65,000
Korea	\$47,498	\$41,856	\$41,600
Pakistan	\$38,298	\$38,936	\$59,000
Philippines	\$61,717	\$64,983	\$73,300
Vietnam	\$33,650	\$42,319	\$39,000
Middle East	\$40,346	\$42,674	\$48,800
Iraq	\$41,723	\$45,573	\$49,000
Latin America	\$37,288	\$37,254	\$41,800
Caribbean	\$41,074	\$38,936	\$41,000
Central America except Mexico	\$33,416	\$34,768	\$40,600
Guatemala	\$34,097	\$31,159	\$41,600
Mexico	\$36,171	\$36,340	\$42,000
South America	\$45,115	\$45,425	\$46,000
Colombia	\$40,563	\$40,765	\$45,000
Ecuador	\$44,998	\$40,397	\$35,100
Africa	\$34,905	\$33,225	\$40,000
Subsaharan Africa	\$31,923	\$28,553	\$45,000
Foreign born of Arab ancestry	\$37,586	\$37,638	\$41,000

Appendix 9. Demographic, Social, and Economic Characteristics of the Chicago Metropolitan Area: 1980-2000

Population Group: Native born (total)

	1980	1990	2000
Number	6,386,000	6,391,725	6,780,311
% of total population	89.6%	88.0%	82.7%
% of total foreign born	NA	NA	NA
Number entered last 10 years	NA	NA	NA
% entered last 10 years	NA	NA	NA
% citizens	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% in families	86.2%	83.6%	82.1%
% male	48.2%	48.1%	48.4%
% female	51.8%	51.9%	51.6%
% under 18 years	31.0%	28.2%	30.6%
% 18 to 64 years	60.1%	60.7%	58.4%
% 65 years and over	8.9%	11.0%	11.0%
% speak English 'well' or 'very well'	99.1%	99.0%	98.8%
% less than 9th grade education	13.8%	6.5%	3.8%
% high school graduate or higher	70.4%	80.1%	86.0%
% bachelor's degree or higher	18.9%	25.2%	31.7%
% 16 years and over in labor force	66.2%	68.5%	66.4%
% in management, professional, and related occupations	27.8%	33.6%	39.3%
% in service occupations	11.2%	10.6%	11.2%
% in sales and office occupations	32.6%	33.6%	31.4%
% in farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%
% in construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations	7.2%	7.0%	7.2%
% in production, transportation, and material moving occupations	20.8%	14.6%	10.8%
% in manufacturing industries	24.5%	17.2%	12.7%
% persons with public assistance	4.8%	4.6%	2.1%
% below poverty level	11.4%	10.9%	10.2%
% 100%-149% poverty level	6.0%	5.5%	5.9%
% 150%-199% poverty level	6.6%	6.5%	6.3%
% 200% or more poverty level	76.0%	77.1%	77.6%
% homeowners	59.2%	63.7%	67.6%